

Starlike Functions in the Hornich Space

Martin Lamprecht

(Communicated by Stephan Ruscheweyh)

Abstract. We will show that the set of starlike univalent functions in \mathbb{D} is starlike in the Hornich space, i.e. for starlike functions f and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the function $\int_0^z (f'(\zeta))^\alpha d\zeta$ is also starlike. This solves a problem given by Kim, Ponnusamy and Sugawa in [6]. An important step in proving this result will be to show that for starlike functions f and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have $|\int_0^1 \arg(z/\gamma'(t)) dt| < \pi/2$, where $\gamma(t) := f^{-1}(tf(z))$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Keywords. Starlike functions; Hornich operations; Integral transform.

2000 MSC. 30C45; 30C80; 44A05.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f analytic in $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and \mathcal{H} the class of functions f in \mathcal{A} that are locally univalent, i.e. that satisfy $f' \neq 0$ in \mathbb{D} . In [4] H. Hornich introduced an addition and a scalar multiplication for functions in the class \mathcal{H} . For $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the *Hornich operations* are defined by

$$(f \oplus g)(z) := \int_0^z f'(\zeta)g'(\zeta) d\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha \odot f)(z) := \int_0^z [f'(\zeta)]^\alpha d\zeta,$$

where the branch of $(f')^\alpha$ is taken so that $(f')^\alpha(0) = 1$. It is clear that with these operations the set \mathcal{H} becomes a complex vector space — the so called *Hornich space* — with zero element $\text{id}: z \mapsto z$. Obviously the set \mathcal{S} of functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathbb{D} is a subset of \mathcal{H} . Even though in general the Hornich sum of two functions in \mathcal{S} is not univalent, it will at least be locally univalent. This, of course, does not have to be true for the usual sum of two functions in \mathcal{S} .

Therefore it seems a logical step to examine the structure of \mathcal{S} in the Hornich space more closely. For instance, Pfaltzgraff [9] showed that $\alpha \odot \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$ if $|\alpha| < 1/4$. On the other hand, for each $|\alpha| > 1/3$, $\alpha \neq 1$, Royster [10] was able

Received August 9, 2007, in revised form October 11, 2007.

Published online October 17, 2007.

to find a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\alpha \odot f \notin \mathcal{S}$. These, however, are the only results obtained so far in this direction.

Regarding the more special class \mathcal{K} of functions in \mathcal{S} that map the unit disk onto a convex set, it is shown in [2] that \mathcal{K} is convex in \mathcal{H} , i.e. that for $f, g \in \mathcal{K}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$ also $[t \odot f] \oplus [(1-t) \odot g] \in \mathcal{K}$. Y. J. Kim and Merkes [7] proved that the same is true for the set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$ of *close-to-convex functions* (cf. [11, p. 46] for the definition of close-to-convex functions).

For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ the *Kaplan class* $K(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined to be the set of functions f which are analytic and non-vanishing in \mathbb{D} and satisfy $f(0) = 1$ as well as

$$-\alpha\pi - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \leq \arg f(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg f(re^{i\theta_1})$$

for $0 < r < 1$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_1 + 2\pi$ [11, p. 33]. Both \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} can be characterized in terms of the Kaplan classes: $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in \mathcal{K} if and only if $f' \in K(0, 2)$ and in \mathcal{C} if and only if $f' \in K(1, 3)$ [11, p. 46]. Therefore the results mentioned above are only special cases of the following theorem.

Theorem A. *For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ let $C(\alpha, \beta)$ be the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f' \in K(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for $f \in C(\alpha, \beta)$, $g \in C(\mu, \lambda)$ and all $t, s \geq 0$ we have*

$$(t \odot f) \oplus (s \odot g) \in C(t\alpha + s\mu, t\beta + s\lambda).$$

In particular, for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ the set $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is convex in the Hornich space.

From the definition of the Kaplan classes it can be easily seen that for $f \in K(\alpha, \beta)$, $g \in K(\mu, \lambda)$ and $t \geq 0$ we have $fg \in K(\alpha + \mu, \beta + \lambda)$ and $f^t \in K(t\alpha, t\beta)$ and so the proof of Theorem A becomes almost trivial.

Things become more difficult if we consider the class $\mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}$ of *starlike functions*, i.e. functions that map the unit disk univalently onto a starlike set. In contrast to the classes \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} , a characterization of \mathcal{S}^* in terms of the $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is not known. In fact, only little is known about the derivatives of starlike functions besides the fact that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike if and only if $\operatorname{Re} z f'(z)/f(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

In [6] Kim, Ponnusamy and Sugawa showed that \mathcal{S}^* is not convex in the Hornich space. Having also proved that the straight line between the *Koebe function* $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ and the zero element id lies in \mathcal{S}^* , they raised the question if the class \mathcal{S}^* was at least starlike in \mathcal{H} , i.e. if for all $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ the relation $\alpha \odot f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ would hold.

In this paper we will show that the answer to this question is positive.

Theorem 1. *For all $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ the straight line $\alpha \odot f$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, between f and id is contained in \mathcal{S}^* .*

As we will see, this theorem is a consequence of the following statement concerning the mapping behaviour of the integral transforms

$$I_f(z) := \int_0^z \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \log \frac{zf'(\zeta)}{f(z)} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

of starlike functions f . Obviously I_f is analytic in \mathbb{D} for $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ (even for $f \in \mathcal{S}$).

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then $|\operatorname{Im} I_f(z)| < \pi/2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.*

If we choose the special integration path $\gamma(t) := f^{-1}(tf(z))$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then $\gamma'(t)f'(\gamma(t)) = f(z)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and so $I_f(z) = \int_0^1 \log(z/\gamma'(t)) dt$. In particular, Theorem 2 has the following equivalent formulation.

Theorem 2'. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have*

$$\left| \int_0^1 \arg \frac{z}{\gamma'(t)} dt \right| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where $\gamma(t) := f^{-1}(tf(z))$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

It seems this property of starlike functions has been unknown until now.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be presented in Section 3 and Theorem 2 will be shown in Section 4. Before this we will point out some preliminary facts in Section 2.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we will need (a slightly extended version of) the Clunie-Jack Lemma [5], a consequence of the maximum modulus principle that has already found many other applications. We give it here as a reference.

Clunie-Jack Lemma. *Let F be an analytic function in \mathbb{D} with $F(0) = 0$. Suppose that F has an analytic extension to a neighborhood N of some point $w \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and that $|F(z)| \leq |F(w)|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \cup (\mathbb{T} \cap N)$. Then $wF'(w)/F(w) \geq 1$.*

2. Preliminaries

The following statement will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \geq \pi/2$ we have*

$$(1) \quad \pi \left(\log \left(b + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + 1 + a \right) - 2b \left(b + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \cosh \frac{\pi a}{2b} < 0.$$

Proof. Using the estimate $\log(1+x) < x$, $x > 0$, we find that the left-hand side of (1) is smaller than $\pi^2/2 + \pi a + \pi b - 2b\pi \cosh(\pi a/2b)$ and therefore it will be enough to show that

$$(2) \quad \frac{a}{2b} - \cosh \frac{\pi a}{2b} < \frac{\pi}{4b} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that $(\operatorname{arsinh}(1/\pi) - \sqrt{1+\pi^2})/\pi = -.94\dots < -1/2$ is the maximum value of $x - \cosh(\pi x)$ in \mathbb{R} . Since $\pi/4b > 0$ when $b \geq \pi/2$, (2) is proven. \blacksquare

We will now present some properties of the integral transform I_f .

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then $\text{Im } I_f$ is in h^∞ , i.e. $\text{Im } I_f$ is a bounded harmonic function in \mathbb{D} . Further, if f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood N of a point $w = e^{it_0} \in \mathbb{T}$, then $I_f(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{D} \cup (\mathbb{T} \cap N)$ and $t \mapsto I_f(e^{it})$ is differentiable in t_0 . If $f'(w) = 0$, then*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow w, z \in \mathbb{D}} f'(z) \log f'(z) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and set $\gamma(t) := f^{-1}(tf(z)), t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\gamma'(t)f'(\gamma(t)) = f(z)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and thus

$$\text{Im } I_f(z) = \int_0^1 \arg f'(\gamma(t)) dt - \arg \frac{f(z)}{z}.$$

As $|\arg f'(z)| < 3\pi/2$ and $|\arg f(z)/z| < \pi$ in \mathbb{D} for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ (cf. [3]) we find that $|\text{Im } I_f(z)| < 5\pi/2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since I_f is analytic in \mathbb{D} , it follows that $\text{Im } I_f \in h^\infty$.

Now suppose that f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood N of a point $w = e^{it_0} \in \mathbb{T}$. Since by Koebe's 1/4-Theorem $f(w) \neq 0$, it is clear that if f' does not vanish in w , then $I_f(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{D} \cup (\mathbb{T} \cap N)$ and $t \mapsto I_f(e^{it})$ is differentiable in t_0 . As

$$(4) \quad I_f(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)} \int_0^z f'(\zeta) \log f'(\zeta) d\zeta - \log \frac{f(z)}{z},$$

it is also clear that the same will hold in the case $f'(w) = 0$ once we have shown (3). Thus, suppose that $f'(w) = 0$. Since $|\arg f'(z)| < 3\pi/2$ in \mathbb{D} for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow w, z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z) \log f'(z)| \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow w, z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)| \left(\log |f'(z)| + \frac{3\pi}{2} \right) = 0,$$

as required. ■

Our examination of the transform I_f will be based on the fact that it satisfies the following differential equation.

Lemma 5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and suppose that f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of a point $w = re^{it_0} \in \mathbb{D}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, if $f'(w) \neq 0$,*

$$(5) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} I_f(re^{it}) \right|_{t=t_0} = iwI'_f(w) = i + \frac{iwf'(w)}{f(w)} \left(\log \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} - 1 - I_f(w) \right),$$

while

$$(6) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} I_f(e^{it}) \right|_{t=t_0} = \lim_{z \rightarrow w, z \in \mathbb{D}} izI'_f(z) = i,$$

in the case that $f'(w) = 0$.

Proof. Using the representation (4) of I_f , a simple calculation shows that if $f'(w) \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} I_f(re^{it}) \right|_{t=t_0} &= i + \frac{iwf'(w)}{f(w)} \left(\log f'(w) - 1 - \int_0^w \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(w)} \log f'(\zeta) d\zeta \right) \\ &= i + \frac{iwf'(w)}{f(w)} \left(\log \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} - 1 - I_f(w) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $f'(w) = 0$, then, because of (3),

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} I_f(e^{it}) \right|_{t=t_0} = i - \frac{iwf'(w)}{f^2(w)} \int_0^w f'(\zeta) \log f'(\zeta) d\zeta - \frac{iwf'(w)}{f(w)} = i.$$

■

Lemma 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and suppose that there is a $w = e^{is} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood N of w and satisfies $\operatorname{Re} wf'(w)/f(w) = 0$ and $|\operatorname{Im} I_f(z)| < |\operatorname{Im} I_f(w)|$ in \mathbb{D} . Then $f'(w) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} I_f(w) < \pi/2$ if $\arg wf'(w)/f(w) = \pi/2$ and $\operatorname{Im} I_f(w) > -\pi/2$ if $\arg wf'(w)/f(w) = -\pi/2$.*

Proof. Since $|\operatorname{Im} I_f(z)| < |\operatorname{Im} I_f(w)|$ in \mathbb{D} , we have $[d/dt \operatorname{Im} I_f(e^{it})]_{t=s} = 0$ and therefore $f'(w) \neq 0$ by Lemma 5. Taking the imaginary part of (5) and setting $r := iw f'(w)/f(w)$ and $\varphi := \arg(-ir)$, we obtain $0 = 1 + r(\varphi - \operatorname{Im} I_f(w))$. Since $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$ and $r\varphi < 0$, the lemma follows. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1

For $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ set $f_\alpha := \alpha \odot f$. Theorem 1 will be proved if we can show that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have $\operatorname{Re} f_\alpha(z)/(zf'_\alpha(z)) > 0$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{S}_c^* the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ that are analytic in a neighborhood of the closed unit disk and by $\mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$ the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ that satisfy $\operatorname{Re} zf'(z)/f(z) > 0$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If f is any function in \mathcal{S}^* , then, for $0 < r < 1$, $g(z) := f(rz)/r$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$ and we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'_\alpha(z)}{g_\alpha(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{z(g'(z))^\alpha}{\int_0^z (g'(\zeta))^\alpha d\zeta} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{rz(f'(rz))^\alpha}{\int_0^{rz} (f'(\zeta))^\alpha d\zeta} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{rzf'_\alpha(rz)}{f_\alpha(rz)}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Therefore we only have to show that Theorem 1 is true for functions in the class $\mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$.

Thus, let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$. Then there is a $c > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} zf'(z)/f(z) > c$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and so there must be a $0 \leq \alpha^* < 1$ such that $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$ for $\alpha^* < \alpha \leq 1$. Suppose that Theorem 1 is wrong and that the f we have chosen is a counterexample to it. This means we can assume that $\alpha^* > 0$ is such that $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$ for $\alpha^* < \alpha \leq 1$, but that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\alpha \in (\alpha^* - \epsilon, \alpha^*)$ with $f_\alpha \notin \mathcal{S}_{c,+}^*$. Since \mathcal{S}^* is compact, f_{α^*} must then be a member of \mathcal{S}_c^* and satisfy $\operatorname{Re} wf'_{\alpha^*}(w)/f_{\alpha^*}(w) = 0$ and $f'_{\alpha^*}(w) \neq 0$ for a w on the unit circle ($f'(w) \neq 0$ obviously implies $f'_\alpha(w) \neq 0$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$). This, however, is a contradiction to the next lemma since $(f_{\alpha^*})_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha\alpha^*} \in \mathcal{S}^*$ for $1 < \alpha \leq 1/\alpha^*$. Theorem 1 must therefore be true.

Lemma 7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ with $\operatorname{Re} wf'(w)/f(w) = 0$ and $f'(w) \neq 0$ for some $w \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there is a number $\alpha^* > 1$ such that $f_{\alpha} \notin \mathcal{S}^*$ for $1 < \alpha < \alpha^*$.*

Proof. Since $f'(w) \neq 0$, also $wf'(w)/f(w) \neq 0$ and so $wf'(w)/f(w) = i\phi$ for a $\phi \neq 0$. Assume that $\phi > 0$.

To prove the lemma it will clearly be enough to show that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \frac{f_{\alpha}(w)}{wf'_{\alpha}(w)} \Big|_{\alpha=1} < 0.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \frac{f_{\alpha}(w)}{wf'_{\alpha}(w)} \Big|_{\alpha=1} &= \frac{d}{d\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^w \frac{1}{w} \left(\frac{f'(\zeta)}{f'(w)} \right)^{\alpha} d\zeta \Big|_{\alpha=1} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^w \frac{f'(\zeta)}{wf'(w)} \log \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f'(w)} d\zeta \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(w)}{iwf'(w)} i \left(\int_0^w \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(w)} \log \frac{wf'(\zeta)}{f(w)} d\zeta - \log \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi} \left(\operatorname{Im} I_f(w) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since it follows readily from Theorem 2 and Lemma 6 that $\operatorname{Im} I_f(w) < \pi/2$, the lemma is proven in the case $\phi > 0$.

In the case $\phi < 0$ one can proceed similarly to complete the proof. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 2

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{D}_n be the set of functions f of the form

$$(7) \quad f(z) = \frac{z}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - ze^{-i\theta_j})^{\alpha_j}},$$

where $\theta_j < \theta_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ ($\theta_{n+1} := \theta_1 + 2\pi$) as well as $\alpha_j > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 2$; in addition, set $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$. A function $f \in \mathcal{D}_n$ as above maps the unit disk onto the complement of n rays lying on straight lines through the origin: for $\theta_j < t < \theta_{j+1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, the argument of $f(e^{it})$ is constant and to each $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is a $\phi_j \in (\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ such that $f'(e^{i\phi_j}) = 0$ and such that $t \mapsto |f(e^{it})|$ decreases from ∞ to $|f(e^{i\phi_j})|$ in (θ_j, ϕ_j) and increases from $|f(e^{i\phi_j})|$ to infinity in (ϕ_j, θ_{j+1}) . In particular, we have

$$(8) \quad \arg \frac{e^{it} f'(e^{it})}{f(e^{it})} = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \arg \frac{e^{it} f'(e^{it})}{f(e^{it})} = -\frac{\pi}{2}$$

for $t \in (\theta_j, \phi_j)$ and $t \in (\phi_j, \theta_{j+1})$, respectively. All this is not hard to see if we observe that for $f \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $r(t) := ie^{it} f'(e^{it})/f(e^{it})$ we have

$$r(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j}{2} \cot \frac{t - \theta_j}{2}, \quad \text{and hence} \quad r'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j}{4 \sin^2 \frac{t - \theta_j}{2}} > 0$$

and

$$f(e^{it}) = -e^{i(\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j \alpha_j)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta_j - t}{2} \right)^{-\alpha_j}$$

in $\theta_1 < t < \theta_{n+1}$, $t \neq \theta_j$.

The set \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{S}^* with respect to the compact open topology of the class \mathcal{A} . This follows from the fact that $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ if and only if $f/z \in K(0, 2)$ [11, p. 46] and from the fact that $K(0, 2)$ is the closure of \mathcal{D}/z [11, p. 32]. It will therefore suffice to prove Theorem 2 for functions in \mathcal{D} . Further, since $\text{Im } I_f \in h^\infty$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ (as seen in Lemma 4), we have

$$\text{Im } I_f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(e^{it}, z) \text{Im } I_f(e^{it}) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $P(e^{it}, z) = \text{Re}(e^{it} + z)/(e^{it} - z)$ is the Poisson kernel (cf. [1, Ch. 6]). It will thus be enough to show the following statement in order to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 8. *For $f \in \mathcal{D}$ as in (7) we have $|\text{Im } I_f(e^{it})| < \pi/2$ for all $t \in (\theta_1, \theta_{n+1})$, $t \neq \theta_j$.*

First we will show that this is true in the limit case where t tends to θ_j .

To that end, for $\theta \in (\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ set $\gamma_\theta(t) = f^{-1}(tf(e^{i\theta}))$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where the branch of f^{-1} is chosen such that $\gamma_\theta(1) = e^{i\theta}$. Then $\gamma_\theta(0) = 0$ and

$$(9) \quad \gamma'_\theta(t) f'(\gamma_\theta(t)) = f(e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

For $\theta, \theta^* \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\theta, \theta^* \in (\theta_j, \phi_j)$ or $\theta, \theta^* \in (\phi_j, \theta_{j+1})$ set

$$\gamma_{\theta, \theta^*}(t) = f^{-1}(f(e^{i\theta}) + t(f(e^{i\theta^*}) - f(e^{i\theta}))), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where the branch of f^{-1} is chosen such that $\gamma_{\theta, \theta^*}(0) = e^{i\theta}$. Then $\gamma_{\theta, \theta^*}(1) = e^{i\theta^*}$ and

$$(10) \quad \gamma'_{\theta, \theta^*}(t) f'(\gamma_{\theta, \theta^*}(t)) = f(e^{i\theta^*}) - f(e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Lemma 9. *For $f \in \mathcal{D}_n$ as in (7) and $j = 1, \dots, n$ we have*

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+} \text{Im } I_f(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_{j+1}^-} \text{Im } I_f(e^{i\theta}) = -\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

In particular, the bound $\pi/2$ in Theorem 2 is sharp.

Proof. Let $\theta_j < \theta < \theta^* < \phi_j$. Applying the relations (9) and (10) and Cauchy's Integral Theorem and using also the mapping properties of functions in \mathcal{D}_n as described at the beginning of this section, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} I_f(e^{i\theta}) &= \operatorname{Im} \int_0^{e^{i\theta^*}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(e^{i\theta})} \log \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(\zeta)}{f(e^{i\theta})} d\zeta + \operatorname{Im} \int_{e^{i\theta^*}}^{e^{i\theta}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(e^{i\theta})} \log \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(\zeta)}{f(e^{i\theta})} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{f(e^{i\theta^*})}{f(e^{i\theta})} \int_0^1 \arg \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t)} dt + \left(1 - \frac{f(e^{i\theta^*})}{f(e^{i\theta})}\right) \int_0^1 \arg \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t)} dt \\ &= \frac{f(e^{i\theta^*})}{f(e^{i\theta})} \int_0^1 \arg \frac{\gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t)}{\gamma'_{\theta^*}(t)} dt + \int_0^1 \arg \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\theta \rightarrow \theta_j$ on both sides of this equation, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+} \operatorname{Im} I_f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+} \int_0^1 \arg \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t)} dt$$

for all $\theta_j < \theta^* < \phi_j$. For $\theta_j < \theta < \theta^* < \phi_j$ the curve $\gamma_{\theta^*,\theta}$ is one-to-one and maps into the unit circle. Also, $\gamma_{\theta^*,\theta}(0) = e^{i\theta^*}$, $\gamma_{\theta^*,\theta}(1) = e^{i\theta}$ and $\arg \gamma_{\theta^*,\theta}$ is decreasing. Hence, $\theta - \pi/2 \leq \arg \gamma'_{\theta^*,\theta}(t) \leq \theta^* - \pi/2$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and thus, because of (11),

$$\theta_j - \theta^* + \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+} \operatorname{Im} I_f(e^{i\theta}) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since this holds for all $\theta_j < \theta^* < \phi_j$, we obtain the desired result in the case that $\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+$.

The second asserted relation can be proved in a similar way. ■

We are now ready to give the proof of Lemma 8.

Proof of Lemma 8. Suppose Lemma 8 is wrong. Then, because of Lemma 9, there is a $w = e^{is} \in \mathbb{T}$, $s \neq \theta_j$, such that, putting $a + ib := I_f(w)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(12) \quad |b| = |\operatorname{Im} I_f(w)| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Im} I_f(z)| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and consequently also

$$(13) \quad \operatorname{Im} iw I'_f(w) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Im} I_f(e^{it}) \right|_{t=s} = 0.$$

By Lemma 6 we have $f'(w) \neq 0$ and therefore I_f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of w .

Set $r := iw f'(w)/f(w)$ and $\varphi := \arg(-ir)$. Then, due to (8), $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\varphi| = \pi/2$. Further, it follows from Lemma 6 and (12) that $\varphi b < 0$ and thus

$|b - \varphi| = \pi/2 + |b|$. Taking the imaginary part of (5), we get $0 = 1 + r(\varphi - b)$ or $r = 1/(b - \varphi)$ and this, together with (5) and (13), yields

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn}(b)iwI'_f(w) &= \operatorname{sgn}(b) \operatorname{Re} iwI'_f(w) \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(b)r \left(\log \left| \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right| - 1 - \operatorname{Re} I_f(w) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{|b| + \frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\log \left(|b| + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + 1 + a \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $z \mapsto \int_0^z f'(\zeta) \log f'(\zeta) d\zeta$ has at least a double zero at the origin and that $h(z) := f(z)/z$ is analytic at 0 with $h(0) = f'(0) = 1$; hence, we see from the representation (4) that $I_f(0) = 0$. Because of this and (12) it follows from the mapping properties of the tangent (cf. [8, p. 277]) that $F(z) := \tan(i\pi I_f(z)/(4b))$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} with $F(0) = 0$ that has an analytic extension to a neighborhood N of w and satisfies $|F(z)| \leq |F(w)| = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \cup (\mathbb{T} \cap N)$. The Clunie-Jack Lemma yields that

$$(15) \quad 1 \leq \frac{wF'(w)}{F(w)} = \frac{i\pi wI'_f(w)}{2b \sin \frac{i\pi I_f(w)}{2b}}.$$

Since

$$\sin \frac{i\pi I_f(w)}{2b} = \sin \left(\frac{i\pi a}{2b} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = -\cosh \frac{\pi a}{2b},$$

this and (14) give

$$1 \leq -\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(b)i\pi wI'_f(w)}{2|b| \cosh \frac{\pi a}{2|b|}} = \frac{\pi(\log(|b| + \frac{\pi}{2}) + 1 + a)}{2|b|(|b| + \frac{\pi}{2}) \cosh \frac{\pi a}{2|b|}}.$$

But this is impossible for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|b| \geq \pi/2$ by Lemma 3.

This completes the proof of Lemma 8 and hence Theorem 2. \blacksquare

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Stamatis Koumandos and Stephan Ruscheweyh for their helpful suggestions and the discussions that followed.

References

1. S. Axler, P. Bourdon and W. Ramey, *Harmonic Function Theory*, Springer, 2001.
2. J. A. Cima and J. A. Pfaltzgraff, A Banach space of locally univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **17** (1970), 321–334.
3. A. W. Goodman, The rotation theorem for starlike univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 278–286.
4. H. Hornich, Ein Banachraum analytischer Funktionen im Zusammenhang mit den schlichten Funktionen, *Monatsh. Math.* **73** (1969), 36–45.
5. I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 469–474.
6. Y. C. Kim, S. Ponnusamy and T. Sugawa, Mapping properties of nonlinear integral operators and pre-Schwarzian derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **299** (2004), 433–447.

7. Y. J. Kim and E. P. Merkes, On certain convex sets in the space of locally schlicht functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **196** (1974), 217–224.
8. Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, Dover, 1952.
9. J. A. Pfaltzgraff, Univalence of the integral of $f'(z)^\lambda$, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1975), 245–256.
10. W. C. Royster, On the univalence of a certain integral, *Michigan Math. J.* **12** (1965), 385–387.
11. S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, *Seminaire de Math. Sup.* **83**, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1982.

Martin Lamprecht

E-MAIL: martin@ucy.ac.cy

ADDRESS: *The University of Cyprus, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus.*