Volume 12 (2005), Number 1, 1–10

TWO-STEP SYSTEMS FOR G-H-RELAXED PSEUDOCOCOERCIVE NONLINEAR VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS BASED ON PROJECTION METHODS

RAVI P. AGARWAL, DONAL O'REGAN, RAM U. VERMA

Abstract. The approximation-solvability of a generalized system of nonlinear variational inequalities (SNVI) involving relaxed pseudococoercive mappings, based on the convergence of a system of projection methods, is presented. The class of relaxed pseudococoercive mappings is more general than classes of strongly monotone and relaxed cocoercive mappings. Let K_1 and K_2 be nonempty closed convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , respectively. The two-step SNVI problem considered here is as follows: find an element $(x^*, y^*) \in H_1 \times H_2$ such that $(g(x^*), g(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ and

> $\langle S(x^*, y^*), g(x) - g(x^*) \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ g(x) \in K_1,$ $\langle T(x^*, y^*), h(y) - h(y^*) \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ h(y) \in K_2,$

where $S: H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1, T: H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2, g: H_1 \to H_1$ and $h: H_2 \to H_2$ are nonlinear mappings.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J40, 65B05.

Key Words and phrases: Cocoercive mapping, relaxed cocoercive mapping, relaxed pseudococoercive variational inequality, two-step system of variational inequalities, convergence of projection methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

The convergence analysis for projection/projection type methods has been frequently applied to the approximate solvability of problems arising in several fields of applied mathematical sciences, including complementarity problems, convex quadratic programming, optimization theory, and variational problems. There exists an enormous amount of literature on the approximate solvability of several classes of variational inequalities and related problems in different space settings. Since the notion of relaxed pseudococoercivity is more general than strong monotonicity and relaxed cocoercivity, the obtained results generalize investigations on strongly monotone and relaxed cocoercive types of variational inequality problems based on projection methods. Verma [6] has introduced and studied the approximation solvability of a two-step system of strongly monotone nonlinear variational inequalities in a Hilbert space setting, while Fang and Huang [2] have introduced and studied a system of variational inclusions involving H-monotone and strongly monotone mappings based on an algorithm using the resolvent operator technique. Recently, Rhoades and Verma [5] and Kassay and Kolumban [3] have studied systems of variational inequalities in

different space settings. In this paper, we consider, based on a system of projection methods, the approximation solvability of a system of nonlinear relaxed pseudococcoercive variational inequalities on Hilbert spaces. For more details on variational inequalities and projection methods, we refer the reader to [1-12].

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces with the inner product \langle , \rangle and norm $\| \cdot \|$ on both H_1 and H_2 . Let $S : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ and $T : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2$ be any mappings on $H_1 \times H_2$ and, K_1 and K_2 be closed convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. We consider problem tied with a system of nonlinear variational inequalities (abbreviated as SNVI) as follows: determine an element $(x^*, y^*) \in H_1 \times H_2$ such that $(g(x^*), h(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ and

$$\langle S(x^*, y^*), g(x) - g(x^*) \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ g(x) \in K_1,$$
 (1.1)

$$\langle T(x^*, y^*), h(y) - h(y^*) \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ h(y) \in K_2, \tag{1.2}$$

where $g: H_1 \to H_1$ and $h: H_2 \to H_2$ are any mappings.

When $g \equiv I$ and $h \equiv I$ (identity), we have the SNVI problem: find an element $(x^*, y^*) \in K_1 \times K_2$ such that

$$\langle S(x^*, y^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ x \in K_1, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\langle T(x^*, y^*), y - y^* \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ y \in K_2.$$

$$(1.4)$$

The SNVI (1.1)–(1.2) problem is equivalent to the following projection formulas

$$g(x^*) = P_K [g(x^*) - \rho S(x^*, y^*)] \quad \text{for} \quad \rho > 0, h(y^*) = Q_K [h(y^*) - \eta T(x^*, y^*)] \quad \text{for} \quad \eta > 0,$$

where P_K is the projection of H_1 onto K_1 and Q_K is the projection of H_2 onto K_2 .

The SNVI (1.1)–(1.2) problem extends the nonlinear variational inequality problem: determine an element $x^* \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x^*, x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \ x \in K.$$

$$(1.5)$$

Now we need to recall the following auxiliary results, most frequently used in the context of approximation-solvability of nonlinear variational inequality problems based on iterative procedures.

Lemma 1.1 ([3]). For an element $z \in H$ and for a nonempty closed convex subset K of H, we have

 $x \in K$ and $\langle x - z, y - x \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall y \in K$ if and only if $x = P_K(z)$.

Lemma 1.2. An element u is a solution to (1.5) iff $u = P_K[u - \lambda T(u, u)]$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Definition 1.1. A mapping $T : H \to H$ is called: (i) monotone if for each $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0;$$

(ii) r-strongly monotone if for each $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \ge r ||x - y||^2$$
 for a constant $r > 0$;

(iii) *r*-expansive if

$$||T(x) - T(y)|| \ge r||x - y||;$$

(iv) expansive if

$$||T(x) - T(y)|| \ge ||x - y||;$$

(v) s-Lipschitz continuous (or Lipschitzian) if there exists a constant $s \ge 0$ such that

$$||T(x) - T(y)|| \le s ||x - y|| \quad \forall x, y \in H;$$

(vi) μ -cocoercive [1,6] if for each $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \ge \mu \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2$$
 for a constant $\mu > 0$.

Clearly, every μ -cocoercive mapping T is $(1/\mu)$ -Lipschitz continuous.

We can easily see that the following implications on monotonicity, strong monotonicity and expansiveness hold:

strong monotonicity
$$\Rightarrow$$
 expansive
 $\downarrow \downarrow$
monotonicity

Definition 1.2. A mapping T : H is said to be:

(i) relaxed g-cocoercive if there exists a constant g > 0 such that

 $\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \ge (-\gamma) \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H;$

(ii) relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive if there exist constants g, r > 0 such that

 $\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \ge (-\gamma) ||T(x) - T(y)||^2 + r ||x - y||^2.$

On the top of that we have the implication

strong r-monotonicity \Rightarrow relaxed γ -r-cocoercivity.

Definition 1.3. A mapping $T: H \to H$ is said to be:

(i) relaxed $(g,\gamma,r)\text{-}\mathrm{coccoercive}$ if there exist positive constants γ and r such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), g(x) - g(y) \rangle \ge (-\gamma) \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 + r \|g(x) - g(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

where $g: H \to H$ is any mapping on H ;

(ii) relaxed (g, γ, r) -pseudococcoercive if there exist positive constants γ and r such that

$$\langle T(y), g(x) - g(y) \rangle \geq 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle T(x), g(x) - g(y) \rangle \ge (-\gamma) \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 + r \|g(x) - g(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H,$ where $q: H \to H$ is any mapping on H.

Proposition 1.1. If $T : H \to H$ is r-strongly monotone, then T is relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive for $\gamma, r > 0$, while the converse may not be true in general.

Example 1.1. Consider a μ -Lipschitz continuous mapping $T : H \to H$ on H. Then we have:

(i) I - T is $(1 - \mu)$ -strongly monotone for $0 < \mu < 1$.

(ii) I - T is relaxed $(\gamma, (1 - \mu))$ -cocoercive for $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1$, where I is the identity mapping on H.

2. Systems of Projection Methods

In this section we present the convergence of projection methods in the context of the approximation-solvability of the SNVI (1.1)-(1.2).

Algorothm 2.1. For an arbitrarily chosen initial point $(g(x^0), h(y^0)) \in K_1 \times K_2$, compute sequences $\{g(x^k)\}$ and $\{h(y^k)\}$ such that

$$g(x^{k+1}) = (1 - a^k)g(x^k) + a^k P_K [g(x^k) - \rho S(x^k, y^k))],$$

$$h(y^{k+1}) = (1 - a^k)h(y^k) + a^k Q_K [h(y^k) - \eta T(x^k, y^k)],$$

where P_K is the projection of H_1 onto K_1 and Q_K is the projection of H_2 onto $K_2, \rho, \eta > 0$ are constants, and

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty.$

When $g \equiv I$ and $h \equiv I$, Algorithm 2.1 reduces to

Algorothm 2.2. For an arbitrarily chosen initial point $(x^0, y^0) \in K_1 \times K_2$, compute sequences $\{x^k\}$ and $\{y^k\}$ such that

$$x^{k+1} = (1 - a^k)x^k + a^k P_K [x^k - \rho S(x^k, y^k))],$$

$$y^{k+1} = (1 - a^k)(y^k) + a^k Q_K [y^k - \eta T(x^k, y^k)]$$

where P_K is the projection of H_1 onto K_1 and Q_K is the projection of H_2 onto $K_2, \rho, \eta > 0$ are constants, and

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty.$

We now present, based on Algorithm 2.1, the approximation-solvability of the SNVI (1.1)–(1.2) problem involving relaxed (g, γ, r) -pseudococcoercive and relaxed (h, λ, s) -pseudococcoercive mappings in the Hilbert space setting.

Theorem 2.1. Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces, and K_1 and K_2 be nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Let $S : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow$ H_1 be relaxed (g, γ, r) -pseudococoercive and (g, μ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable, and let S be (h, ν) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable. Let $T : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be relaxed (h, λ, s) -pseudococoercive and (h, β) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable, and let T be (g, τ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable. Let $g : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $h : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be expansive mappings. Let $\|(u, v)\|_x$ denote the norm on $H_1 \times H_2$ defined by

$$||(u,v)||_x = ||u|| + ||v||$$
 for $(u,v) \in H_1 \times H_2$,

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on both H_1 and H_2 . In addition, let $\delta = \max\{\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu\}$, where

$$\theta = \left[1 - 2\rho r + 2\rho\gamma\mu^{2} + \rho + (\rho\mu^{2}/2) + (\rho\mu)^{2}\right]^{1/2}, \sigma = \left[1 - 2\eta s + 2\eta\lambda\beta^{2} + \eta + (\eta\beta^{2}/2) + (\eta\beta)^{2}\right]^{1/2}, \theta + \eta\tau < 1, \quad \sigma + \rho\nu < 1.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) the element $(g(x^*), h(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ forms a solution to the SNVI (1.1)-(1.2) problem;

(ii) sequences $\{g(x^k)\}$ and $\{h(y^k)\}$ are generated by Algorithm 2.1;

(iii) the sequence $\{a^k\}$ satisfies

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty;$

(iv) $\langle S(x^*, y^k), g(x^k) - g(x^*) \rangle \ge 0;$ (v) $\langle T(x^k, y^*), h(y^k) - h(y^*) \rangle \ge 0.$ Then the sequence $\{(g(x^k), h(y^k))\}$ converges to $(g(x^*), h(y^*)).$

Corollary 2.1. Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and K_1 and K_2 be nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Let $S : K_1 \times K_2 \to H_1$ be (g, r)-strongly monotone and (g, μ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable, and let S be (h, ν) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable. Let $T : K_1 \times K_2 \to H_2$ be (h, s)-strongly monotone and (h, β) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable, and let T be (g, τ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable. Let $g : K_1 \to K_1$ and $h : K_2 \to K_2$ be expansive mappings. Let $\|\cdot\|_x$ denote the norm on $H_1 \times H_2$ defined by

$$||(u,v)||_x = ||u|| + ||v||$$
 for $(u,v) \in H_1 \times H_2$,

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on both H_1 and H_2 .

In addition, let $d = \max\{\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu\}$, where

$$\theta = \left[1 - 2\rho r + (\rho \mu)^2\right]^{1/2}, \sigma = \left[1 - 2\eta s + (\eta \beta)^2\right]^{1/2}, \theta + \eta \tau < 1, \quad \sigma + \rho \nu < 1.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) the element $(g(x^*), h(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ forms a solution to the SNVI (1.1)-(1.2) problem;

(ii) sequences $\{g(x^k)\}$ and $\{h(y^k)\}$ are generated by Algorithm 2.1;

(iii) The sequence $\{a^k\}$ satisfies

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty.$

Then the sequence $\{(g(x^k), h(y^k))\}$ converges to $(g(x^*), h(y^*))$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $(g(x^*), h(y^*))$ is a solution of the SNVI (1.1)–(1.2) problem, it follows that

$$g(x^*) = P_K [g(x^*) - \rho S(x^*, y^*)] \text{ and } h(y^*) = Q_K [h(y^*) - \eta T(x^*, y^*)].$$

Applying Algorithm 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g(x^{k+1}) - g(x^*) \right\| &= \left\| (1 - a^k) g(x^k) + a^k P_K \left[g(x^k) - \rho S(x^k, y^k) \right] \\ &- (1 - a^k) g(x^*) - a^k P_K \left[g(x^*) - S(x^*, y^*) \right] \right\| \\ &\leq (1 - a^k) \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\| + a^k \left\| P_K \left[g(x^k) - \rho S(x^k, y^k) \right] \\ &- P_K \left[g(x^*) - \rho S(x^*, y^*) \right] \right\| \\ &\leq (1 - a^k) \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\| + a^k \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) - \rho \left[S(x^k, y^k) - S(x^*, y^*) \right] \right\| \\ &\leq (1 - a^k) \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\| + a^k \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) - \rho \left[S(x^k, y^k) - S(x^*, y^k) \right] \right\| \\ &+ a^k \rho \left\| S(x^*, y^k) - S(x^*, y^*) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.1)$$

Since S is relaxed (g, γ, r) -pseudococcoercive and (g, μ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable, and by (iv) we have

$$\begin{split} \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*}) - \rho \left[S(x^{k}, y^{k}) - S(x^{*}, y^{k})\right]\right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\|^{2} - 2\rho \left\langle S(x^{k}, y^{k}) - S(x^{*}, y^{k}), g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\rangle \\ &+ \rho^{2} \left\|S(x^{k}, y^{k}) - S(x^{*}, y^{k})\right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\|^{2} - 2\rho \left\langle S(x^{k}, y^{k}), g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\rangle \\ &+ 2\rho \left\langle S(x^{*}, y^{k}), g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\rangle + r^{2} \left\|S(x^{k}, y^{k}) - S(x^{*}, y^{k})\right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\|^{2} + 2\rho\gamma \left\|S(x^{k}, y^{k}) - S(x^{*}, y^{k})\right\|^{2} \\ &+ (\rho^{2}\mu^{2}) \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\|^{2} - 2\rho r \left\|g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\rho \left\langle S(x^{*}, y^{k}), g(x^{k}) - g(x^{*})\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Since

$$2 \langle S(x^*, y^k), g(x^k) - g(x^*) \rangle \leq \left[\left\| S(x^*, y^k) \right\|^2 + \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\|^2 \right], \\ 2 \left\| S(x^*, y^k) \right\|^2 \leq \left\| S(x^*, y^k) - S(x^k, y^k) \right\|^2 \\ \text{for} \quad 2 \left\| S(x^k, y^k) \right\|^2 - \left\| S(x^*, y^k) + S(x^k, y^k) \right\|^2 > 0,$$

and

$$||S(x^*, y^k)||^2 \le (\mu^2/2) ||g(x^k) - g(x^*)||^2,$$

we have

$$2\rho \langle S(x^*, y^k), g(x^k) - g(x^*) \rangle \le \left[\rho + (\rho \mu^2 / 2)\right] \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) - \rho \left[S(x^k, y^k) - S(x^*, y^k) \right] \right\|^2 \\ \leq \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\|^2 - 2\rho r \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\|^2 + 2\rho \gamma \mu^2 \left\| g(x^k) - g(x^*) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (\rho\mu)^2 \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\|^2 + [\rho + (\rho\mu^2/2)] \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\|^2$$

= $[1 - 2\rho r + 2\rho\gamma\mu^2 + \rho + (\rho\mu^2/2) + (\rho\mu)^2] \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\|^2.$

As a result, we have

$$\|g(x^{k+1}) - g(x^*)\| \le (1 - a^k) \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\| + a^k \theta \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\| + a^k \rho \nu \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\|,$$
(2.2)

where $\theta = [1 - 2\rho r + 2\rho\gamma\mu^2 + \rho + (\rho\mu^2/2) + (\rho\mu)^2]^{1/2}$. Similarly, we have

$$\|h(y^{k+1}) - h(y^*)\| \le (1 - a^k) \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\| + a^k \sigma \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\| + a^k \eta \tau \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\|,$$
(2.3)

where $\sigma = [1 - 2\eta s + 2\eta\lambda\beta + \eta + (\eta\beta^2/2) + (\eta\beta)^2]^{1/2}$. It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} \|g(x^{k+1}) - g(x^*)\| + \|h(y^{k+1}) - h(y^*)\| \\ \leq & (1 - a^k) \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\| + a^k \delta \|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\| \\ & + (1 - a^k) \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\| + a^k \delta \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\| \\ = & [1 - (1 - \delta)a^k](\|g(x^k) - g(x^*)\| + \|h(y^k) - h(y^*)\|) \\ \leq & \prod_{j=0}^k [1 - (1 - \delta)a^j](\|g(x^0) - g(x^*)\| + \|h(y^0) - h(y^*)\|), \end{split}$$

where $d = \max\{\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu\}$ and $\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu < 1$. Since $\delta < 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k$ is divergent, we have from [10] that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{k} [1 - (1 - \delta)a^j] = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$||g(x^{k+1}) - g(x^*)|| + ||h(y^{k+1}) - h(y^*)|| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Consequently, the sequence $\{(g(x^k), h(y^k))\}$ converges strongly to $(g(x^*), h(y^*))$, a solution to the SNVI (1.1)–(1.2). This, in turn, using the expansiveness of gand h, implies that sequences $\{x^k\}$ and $\{y^k\}$ converge, respectively, to x^* and y^* for $\delta < 1$.

Corollary 2.2. Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and K_1 and K_2 be nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Let $S: K_1 \times K_2 \rightarrow$ H_1 be relaxed (g, γ, r) -cocoercive and (g, μ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable, and let S be (h, ν) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable. Let $T: K_1 \times K_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be relaxed (h, λ, s) -cocoercive and (h, β) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable, and let T be (g, τ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable. Let $g: K_1 \to K_1$ and $h: K_2 \to K_2$ be expansive mappings. Let $\|\cdot\|_x$ denote the norm on $H_1 \times H_2$ defined by

$$||(u,v)||_x = ||u|| + ||v||$$
 for $(u,v) \in H_1 \times H_2$,

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on both H_1 and H_2 . In addition, let $\delta = \max\{\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu\}$, where

$$\theta = [1 - 2\rho r + 2\rho\gamma\mu^{2} + (\rho\mu)^{2}]^{1/2},$$

$$\sigma = [1 - 2\eta s + 2\eta\lambda\beta^{2} + (\eta\beta)^{2}]^{1/2},$$

$$\theta + \eta\tau < 1, \quad \sigma + \rho\nu < 1.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) the element $(g(x^*), h(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ forms a solution to the SNVI (1.1)-(1.2);

(ii) sequences $\{g(x^k)\}$ and $\{h(y^k)\}$ are generated by Algorithm 2.1;

(iii) the sequence $\{a^k\}$ satisfies

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty$

Then the sequence $\{(g(x^k), h(y^k))\}$ converges to $(g(x^*), h(y^*))$.

Corollary 2.3. Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and K_1 and K_2 be nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Let $S : K_1 \times K_2 \to H_1$ be (g, r)-strongly pseudomonotone and (g, μ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable, and let S be (h, ν) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable. Let $T : K_1 \times K_2 \to H_2$ be (h, s)-strongly pseudomonotone and (h, β) -Lipschitz continuous in the second variable, and let T be (g, τ) -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable. Let $g : K_1 \to K_1$ and $h : K_2 \to K_2$ be expansive mappings. Let $\|\cdot\|_x$ denote the norm on $H_1 \times H_2$ defined by

$$||(u,v)||_x = ||u|| + ||v||$$
 for $(u,v) \in H_1 \times H_2$,

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on both H_1 and H_2 . In addition, let $\delta = \max\{\theta + \eta\tau, \sigma + \rho\nu\}$, where

$$\theta = [1 - 2\rho r + \rho + (\rho \mu^2/2) + (\rho \mu)^2]^{1/2},$$

$$\sigma = [1 - 2\eta s + \eta + (\eta \beta^2/2) + (\eta \beta)^2]^{1/2},$$

$$\theta + \eta \tau < 1, \quad \sigma + \rho \nu < 1.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(i) the element $(g(x^*), h(y^*)) \in K_1 \times K_2$ forms a solution to the SNVI (1.1)-(1.2) problem;

(ii) sequences $\{g(x^k)\}$ and $\{h(y^k)\}$ are generated by Algorithm 2.1;

(iii) the sequence $\{a^k\}$ satisfies

$$0 \le a^k \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \infty;$

(iv) $\langle S(x^*, y^k), g(x^k) - g(x^*) \rangle \ge 0;$

(v) $\langle T(x^k, y^*), h(y^k) - h(y^*) \rangle \ge 0.$ Then the sequence $\{(g(x^k), h(y^k))\}$ converges to $(g(x^*), h(y^*)).$

References

- J. C. DUNN, Convexity, monotonicity, and gradient processes in Hilbert space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 53(1976), No. 1, 145–158.
- Y. P. FANG and N. J. HUANG, H-monotone operators and system of variational inclusions. Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 11(2004), No. 1, 93–101.
- G. KASSAY and J. KOLUMBAN, System of multi-valued variational inequalities. Publ. Math. Debrecen 56(2000), No. 1-2, 185–195.
- 4. D. KINDERLEHRER and G. STAMPACCHIA, An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 88. *Academic Press, Inc.* [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980.
- 5. B. E. RHOADES and R. U. VERMA, Two-step algorithms and their applications to variational problems. *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **11**(2004), No. 2, 45–55.
- R. U. VERMA, Projection methods, algorithms, and a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 41(2001), No. 7-8, 1025–1031.
- R. U. VERMA, A new class of iterative algorithms for approximation-solvability of nonlinear variational inequalities. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 41(2001), No. 3-4, 505–512.
- 8. R. U. VERMA, Role of generalized partial pseudomonotonicity in solvability of nonlinear variational inequalities. Int. J. Comput. Numer. Anal. Appl. 3(2003), No. 2, 125–132.
- R. U. VERMA, Nonlinear variational and constrained hemivariational inequalities involving relaxed operators. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 77(1997), No. 5, 387–391.
- R. WITTMANN, Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. Arch. Math. (Basel) 58(1992), No. 5, 486–491.
- N. H. XIU and J. Z. ZHANG, Local convergence analysis of projection-type algorithms: unified approach. Dedicated to Professor Wolfram Stadler. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 115(2002), No. 1, 211–230.
- 12. E. ZEIDLER, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/A. Linear monotone operators. (Translated from the German) Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

(Received 14.04.2004)

Authors' addresses:

Ravi P. Agarwal Florida Institute of Technology Department of Mathematics Melbourne, Florida 32901, USA; E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

Donal O'Regan National University of Ireland Department of Mathematics Galway, Ireland; E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie Ram U. Verma University of Toledo Department of Mathematics Toledo, Ohio 43606, USA E-mail: verma99@msn.com

10