

INTEGRABILITY OF THE MAJORANT OF THE FOURIER SERIES PARTIAL SUMS WITH RESPECT TO BASES

GEORGE TKEBUCHAVA

Abstract. The majorant of Fourier series partial sums with respect to the system of functions formed by the product of $L([0, 1])$ space bases is considered. It is proved that in any Orlicz space wider than $L(\log^+ L)^d([0, 1]^d)$, $d \geq 1$, the set of functions with such a majorant is integrable on $[0, 1]^d$ and has the first Baire category.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15, 41A63, 41A58.

Key words and phrases: Majorant of partial sums, Haar system, Orlicz space, bases in Banach spaces.

In investigations of the convergence of Fourier series with respect to various systems of functions the majorant of partial sums plays an important role (see [1], [2]). P. L. Ul'janov ([3], p. 928) constructed a function $f \in \bigcup_{0 \leq r < 1} L(\log^+ L)^r(I)$, $I = [0, 1]$, such that its majorant of partial Fourier–Haar sums is not integrable. A. M. Olevskii ([1], p. 75) extended this statement to arbitrary bases of $L(I)$ and arbitrary spaces of functions wider than $L \log^+ L$. O. Kovachik [4] proved that, for an arbitrary convex function $Q : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$, under the condition $Q(u) = o(u \log^2 u)$ for $u \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a function $f(x_1, x_2)$ such that $\int_{I^2} Q(f) < \infty$ and its majorant of partial sums is not integrable on I^2 . Similar problems for martingales are considered in [5], [6], see also [7].

The aim of the present paper is to establish a condition for the integrability of the majorant of partial sums with respect to the system formed by the product of bases.

For an integer $d \geq 1$ let I^d be the d -dimensional unit cube and let Ψ_d be the system defined by

$$\Psi_d = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \psi_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_j), \quad x_j \in I, \quad i_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\{\psi_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_j)\}_{i_j=1}^\infty$, $x_j \in I$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, are the bases of the space $L(I)$ and let $\{\bar{\psi}_{i_j}^{(j)}\}_{i_j=1}^\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, be the system of functionals dual to them. Let the partial Fourier sums of the function $f \in L(I^d)$ with respect to the system Ψ_d be defined by

$$S_{k_1, \dots, k_d}(f, \Psi_d) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \cdots \sum_{i_d=1}^{k_d} c_{i_1, \dots, i_d}(f, \Psi_d) \prod_{j=1}^d \psi_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_{i_j}), \quad (2)$$

where $c_{i_1, \dots, i_d}(f, \Psi_d)$ is the Fourier coefficient of the function f with respect to the system Ψ_d . We use below the following majorants of partial sums:

$$S_{M_1, \dots, M_d}^*(f, \Psi_d) = \max \{ |S_{k_1, \dots, k_d}(f, \Psi_d)| : 1 \leq k_j \leq M_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d \}, \quad (3)$$

$$S^*(f, \Psi_d) = \max \{ |S_{k_1, \dots, k_d}(f, \Psi_d)| : 1 \leq k_j < \infty, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d \}. \quad (4)$$

Let $H_1 = \{\mathcal{X}_{i_1}(x_1)\}_{i_1=1}^\infty$ be the Haar system on the interval $I^1 = I$ (see [1], p. 77), and for $d \geq 2$, let $H_d = \{\prod_{j=1}^d \mathcal{X}_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_j), x_j \in I, i_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, d\}$ be the d -multiple Haar system defined on I^d .

Throughout the paper C_r , $r = 0, \dots, 9$, are positive absolute constants and $\log x = \log_2 x$, $\log^+ u = \max\{0, \log u\}$. In the sequel $L_Q(I^d)$ denotes the Orlicz space of functions defined on the cube I^d which is generated by the Young function Q and equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_Q(I^d)}$.

In addition, $L(\log^+ L)^r(I^d)$, $r \geq 0$, denotes the Orlicz space generated by the Young function which is equal to $u \log^r u$ for $u \geq 2$ ([8], p. 28) and equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L(\log^+ L)^r(I^d)}$.

We shall prove

Theorem 1. *Let $L_Q(I^d)$ be the Orlicz space satisfying the condition*

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} Q(u)u^{-1} \log^{-d} u = 0 \quad (5)$$

and let Ψ_d be a system of form (1) generated by arbitrary bases of the space $L(I)$. Then the set

$$E = \{f \in L_Q(I^d) : S^*(f, \Psi_d) \in L(I^d)\} \quad (6)$$

has the first Baire category in the space $L_Q(I^d)$.

First we prove the following

Lemma 1. *Let $L_Q(I^d)$ be an Orlicz space satisfying condition (5). Then for any $R \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a polynomial $g_{R,Q}^{(d)}$ with respect to the system H_d*

$$g_{R,Q}^{(d)} = g_{R,Q}^{(d)}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i_1=1}^M \cdots \sum_{i_d=1}^M a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \mathcal{X}_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{X}_{i_d}(x_d) \quad (7)$$

such that the following estimates hold:

$$\|g_{R,Q}^{(d)}\|_{L_Q(I^d)} \leq 2, \quad (8)$$

$$\int_{I^d} |g_{R,Q}^{(d)}| (\log^+ |g_{R,Q}^{(d)}|)^{d-1} \leq 1, \quad (9)$$

$$\|S_{M, \dots, M}^*(g_{R,Q}^{(d)}, H_d)\|_{L(I^d)} > R 8^{-d} d^{-d}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Let R be an arbitrary positive integer. By virtue of (5) there exists $u_{R,Q} > 2^R$ such that

$$Q(u_{R,Q})u_{R,Q}^{-1} \log^{-1} u_{R,Q} < 2^{-d} R^{-1} \quad (11)$$

and

$$2^{dp_R} \leq u_{R,Q} < 2^{d(p_R+1)}, \quad (12)$$

where $p_R = p_R(Q, d) = [\frac{1}{d} \log u_{R,Q}]$; here $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a . We put

$$g_{R,Q}^{(d)} = g_{R,Q}^{(d)}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \begin{cases} Rd^{-d}(p_R+1)^{-d}2^{dp_R} & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 2^{-p_R}, \\ & 1 \leq j \leq d, \\ 0, & \text{in other points on } I^d. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

In view of the convexity of the function Q and the property of an Orlicz space norm (see [8], p. 89), from (11) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{R,Q}^{(d)}\|_{L_Q(I^d)} &\leq 1 + \int_{I^d} Q(g_{R,Q}^{(d)}) \leq 1 + Q(2^{dp_R})2^{-dp_R}Rd^{-d}(p_R+1)^{-d} \\ &\leq 1 + Q(u_{R,Q})R2^d2^{-d(p_R+1)}d^{-d}(p_R+1)^{-d} \\ &\leq 1 + Q(u_{R,Q})R2^d u_{R,Q}^{-1} \log^{-1} u_{R,Q} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (9) follows directly from (13):

$$\int_{I^d} |g_{R,Q}^{(d)}| (\log^+ g_{R,Q}^{(d)})^{d-1} \leq Rd^{-d}(p_R+1)^{-d}d^{d-1}p_R^{d-1} \leq 1.$$

By (13) the function g can be represented as polynomial (7) with respect to the system H_d , where $M = M(R, Q, d) = 2^{p_R+1}$. From the estimate of [1], p. 96, follows (10):

$$\|S_{M,\dots,M}^*(g_{R,Q}^{(d)}, H_d)\|_{L(I^d)} \geq Rd^{-d}(p_R+1)^{-d}2^{-2d}p_R^d \geq R8^{-d}d^{-d}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We consider the case $d = 2$ as a typical one, since in the general case the proof is similar but cumbersome. Let $\{\psi_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_j)\}_{i_j=1}^\infty$, $x_j \in I$, $j = 1, 2$, be the bases of the space $L(I)$ and Ψ_2 be the system defined according to (1). Using A. M. Oleviskii's theorem ([2], p. 62) we find, for $j = 1, 2$, systems $\{u_{i_j}^{(j)}(x_j)\}_{i_j=1}^\infty$ and sequences $\{n_{p_j}^{(j)}\}_{p_j=1}^\infty$, $0 < n_1^{(j)} < n_2^{(j)} < \dots$, such that the following conditions hold:

a) for any integer N_j , $j = 1, 2$, there exist measure-preserving bijections $\lambda_{N_j}^{(j)}; I \rightarrow I$ such that

$$u_{i_j}(x_j) = \mathcal{X}_{i_j}(\lambda_{N_j}^{(j)}(x_j)) \quad \text{for } i_j = 1, \dots, N_j, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad (14)$$

b)

$$\|A_{p_j,j}^{i_j}\|_{L(I)} \leq 2^{-p_j-i_j}, \quad p_j \neq i_j, \quad p_j, i_j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (15)$$

where

$$A_{p_j,j}^{i_j}(x_j) = \sum_{n_j \in \Gamma^{(j)}(p_j)} (u_{i_j}^{(j)}, \overline{\psi}_{n_j}^{(j)}) \psi_{n_j}^{(j)} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\Gamma^{(j)}(p_j) = \{n_{p_j}^{(j)} + 1, \dots, n_{p_j+1}^{(j)}\}. \quad (17)$$

Let arbitrary $R \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let the function $f_{R,Q}$ be defined by

$$f_{R,Q} = f_{R,Q}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1) u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2), \quad (18)$$

where M and a_{i_1, i_2} are defined according Lemma 1 (see (7)–(10)).

Then by (14), (8) and (18) we have

$$\|f_{R,Q}\|_{L_Q(I^2)} \leq 2. \quad (19)$$

Now we shall prove that for the system Ψ_2 the following inequality holds (see (3)):

$$\|S_{r_1(M+1), r_2(M+1)}^*(f_{R,Q}, \Psi_2)\|_{L(I^2)} > 2^{-8}R - C^*, \quad (20)$$

where C^* is the absolute positive constant defined below and $r_j(p) = n_p^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$.

By virtue of (16), (17), (2), for $k_j \leq M$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{r_1(k_1+1), r_2(k_2+1)}(f_{R,Q}, \Psi_2) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} \sum_{p_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{n_1 \in \Gamma^{(1)}(p_1)} (u_{i_1}^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}_{n_1}^{(1)}) \psi_{n_1}^{(1)} \sum_{p_2=1}^{k_2} \sum_{n_2 \in \Gamma^{(2)}(p_2)} (u_{i_2}^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}_{n_2}^{(2)}) \psi_{n_2}^{(2)} \\ &= \sum_{p_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{p_2=1}^{k_2} a_{p_1, p_2} A_{p_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) A_{p_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{p_1, i_2} A_{p_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) \sum_{p_2=1, p_2 \neq i_2}^{k_2} A_{p_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p_2=1}^{k_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^M a_{i_1, p_2} A_{p_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \sum_{p_1=1, p_1 \neq i_1}^{k_1} A_{p_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} \sum_{p_1=1, p_1 \neq i_1}^{k_1} A_{p_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) \sum_{p_2=1, p_2 \neq i_2}^{k_2} A_{p_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1) u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1) [A_{i_2, 2}^{i_2}(x_2) - u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2) [A_{i_1, 1}^{i_1}(x_1) - u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} [A_{i_1, 1}^{i_1}(x_1) - u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1)] [A_{i_2, 2}^{i_2}(x_2) - u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1) \sum_{p_2=1, p_2 \neq i_2}^{k_2} A_{i_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \\
& + \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} [A_{i_1, 1}^{i_1}(x_1) - u_{i_1}^{(1)}(x_1)] \sum_{p_2=1, p_2 \neq i_2}^{k_2} A_{i_2, 2}^{p_2}(x_2) \\
& + \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2) \sum_{p_1=1, p_1 \neq i_1}^{k_1} A_{i_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) \\
& + \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^{k_2} a_{i_1, i_2} [A_{i_2, 2}^{i_2}(x_2) - u_{i_2}^{(2)}(x_2)] \sum_{p_1=1, p_1 \neq i_1}^{k_1} A_{i_1, 1}^{p_1}(x_1) \\
& + \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^M a_{i_1, i_2} \sum_{p_1=1, p_1 \neq i_1}^{k_1} A_{p_1, 1}^{i_1}(x_1) \sum_{p_2=1, p_2 \neq i_2}^{k_1} A_{p_2, 2}^{i_2}(x_2) \\
& = \sum_{r=1}^9 L_r(x_1, x_2). \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (10) and (14) that

$$\left\| \max\{|L_1| : 1 \leq k_j \leq M, \ j = 1, 2\} \right\|_{L(I^2)} > 2^{-8}R. \tag{22}$$

It is well known that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded from the space $L \log^+ L(I)$ into $L(I)$ (see [7], pp. 59 and 279).

Therefore taking into account the fact that for any $i_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ the function

$$h(t_1) = \int_I g_{R, Q}^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) \mathcal{X}_{i_2}(t_2) dt_2$$

belongs to the space $L \log^+ L(I)$ and using the well-known estimates of the majorant of partial sums of a Fourier–Haar series by the maximal function (see [2], p. 74) and the Haar function estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \max_{1 \leq k_1 \leq M} \left\| \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} a_{i_1, i_2} \mathcal{X}_{i_1} \right\| \right\|_{L(I)} = \|S_M^*(h, H_1)\|_{L(I)} \\
& \leq C_0 \|h\|_{L \log^+ L(I)} \leq C_0 \sqrt{i_2} \left\| \int_I |g_{R, Q}^{(2)}(\cdot, t_2)| dt_2 \right\|_{L \log^+ L(I)}. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the system $\{\psi_{i_2}^{(2)}\}_{i_2=1}^\infty$ forms the basis in the space $L(I)$, from (14)–(17) follows

$$\|u_{i_2}^{(2)} - A_{i_2, 2}^{i_2}\|_{L(I)} \leq \sum_{p_2 \neq i_2} \|A_{i_2, 2}^{p_2}\|_{L(I)} \leq 2^{i_2}, \quad i_2 = 1, 2, \dots \tag{24}$$

Now taking into account (14), (9) and the Jenssen inequality, from (23) and (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \max \{ |L_2| : 1 \leq k_j \leq M, \quad j = 1, 2 \} \right\|_{L(I^2)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i_2=1}^M \left\| A_{i_2,2}^{i_2} - u_{i_2}^{(2)} \right\|_{L(I)} \left\| \max_{1 \leq k_j \leq M} \left| \sum_{i_1=1}^{k_1} a_{i_1,i_2} u_{i_1}^{(1)} \right| \right\|_{L(I)} \\
& \leq C_1 \left(1 + \int_I \left[\int_I |g_{R,Q}^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)| dt_1 \log^+ \int_I |g_{R,Q}^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)| dt_1 \right] dt_2 \right) \sum_{i_2=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{i_2} 2^{-i_2} \\
& \leq C'_1 \left(1 + \int_{I^2} |g_{R,Q}^{(2)}| \log^+ |g_{R,Q}^{(2)}| \right) = 2C'_1 = C_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Similarly, we can prove for $r = 3, \dots, 9$ the estimates

$$\left\| \max \{ |L_r| : 1 \leq k_j \leq M, \quad j = 1, 2 \} \right\|_{L(I^2)} \leq C_r.$$

Setting $C^* = \sum_{r=2}^9 C_r$, from (22), (25) and (21) we have (20). Finally, we shall prove that the set E (see (6)) has, in $L_Q(I^2)$, the first Baire category. We use the representation $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, where (see (3), (4))

$$E_k = \bigcap_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \{ f \in L_Q(I^2) : \| S_{N_1, N_2}^{(*)}(f, \Psi_2) \|_{L(I^2)} \leq k \},$$

and assume that even if one of the sets E_k contains a sphere, i.e., there exist a function $F_0 \in L_Q(I^2)$, a positive number ε_0 and an integer k_0 such that for any integers N_1 and N_2 and for any function $F \in L_Q(I^2)$, under the condition

$$\| F - F_0 \|_{L_Q(I^2)} \leq \varepsilon_0, \tag{26}$$

we have

$$\| S_{N_1, N_2}^{(*)}(f, \Psi_2) \|_{L(I^2)} \leq k_0. \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, by virtue of the above reasoning (see (18)–(20)), for the number $R = 2^8(5k_0\varepsilon_0^{-1} + C^*)$ one can find a function $f_{R,Q}(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\| f_{R,Q} \|_{L(I^2)} \leq 2$$

and

$$\| S_{r_1(M+1), r_2(M+1)}^*(f_{R,Q}, \Psi_2) \|_{L(I^2)} > 5k_0\varepsilon_0^{-1}. \tag{28}$$

Let now

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} f_{R,Q}(x_1, x_2) + F_0(x_1, x_2).$$

Then

$$\| F - F_0 \|_{L_Q(I^2)} \leq \varepsilon_0 \tag{29}$$

and, by virtue of (26) and (27), we have

$$\| S_{r_1(M+1), r_2(M+1)}^*(F, \Psi_2) \|_{L(I^2)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \| S_{r_1(M+1), r_2(M+1)}^*(f_{R,Q}, \Psi_2) \|_{L(I^2)}$$

$$-\|S_{r_1(M+1), r_2(M+1)}^*(F_0, \Psi_2)\|_{L(I^2)} > k_0. \quad (30)$$

The contradiction between (30) and (27) (see also (29) and (26)) shows that the above assumption does not hold.

Since condition (5) is equivalent to the condition $L_Q(I^d) \setminus L(\log^+ L)^d(I^d) \neq \emptyset$, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 2. *Let Ψ_d be an arbitrary system of form (1) and*

$$L_Q(I^d) \setminus L(\log^+ L)^d(I^d) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there exists a function $f_0 \in L_Q(I^d)$ such that $S^(f_0, \Psi_d) \notin L(I^d)$.*

Remark 1. The example of the Haar system shows that condition (5) is necessary for Theorem 1 to hold. For $d = 1$ it is proved in [3], p. 928, with the help of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function; for $d > 1$ one can apply similar arguments (see, for example, [11], Chapter II).

REFERENCES

1. S. B. KASHIN and A. A. SAAKYAN, Orthogonal series. (Translated from the Russian) *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, 75. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989; Russian original: *Nauka, Moscow*, 1984.
2. A. M. OLEVSKII, Fourier series with respect to general orthogonal systems. (Translated from the Russian) *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 86*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.
3. P. L. UL'JANOV, Series with respect to a Haar system with monotone coefficients. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **28**(1964), 925–950.
4. O. KOVACHIK, Fourier-Haar double series. (Russian) *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **1991**, No. 11, 36–38 (1992); English transl.: *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **35**(1991), No. 11, 36–38.
5. D. L. BURKHOLDER, Distribution function inequalities for martingales. *Ann. Probability* **1**(1973), 19–42.
6. J. BROSSARD, Régularité des martingales à deux indices et inégalités de normes. *Two-index random processes (Paris, 1980)*, 91–121, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 863, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1981.
7. F. SCHIPP, Multiple Walsh analysis. *Theory and applications of Gibbs derivatives (Kupari-Dubrovnik, 1989)*, 73–90, *Mat. Inst., Belgrade*, 1990.
8. M. A. KRASNOSELSKII and JA. B. RUTIZKII, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces. *Problems of Contemporary Mathematics Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit.*, Moscow, 1958.
9. G. E. TKEBUCHAVA, On the majorant of partial sums of Fourier series in bases. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **54**(1993), No. 4, 154–156; English transl.: *Math. Notes* **54**(1993), No. 3-4, 1083–1084 (1994).
10. A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series. I. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1959; Russian transl.: *Mir, Moscow*, 1965.
11. M. GUZMAN, Differentiation of integrals in R^n . *Measure theory (Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1975)*, 181–185. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 541, Springer, Berlin, 1976; Russian transl.: *Mir, Moscow*, 1978.

(Received 10.06.2004)

Author's address:

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

2, University St., Tbilisi 0143

Georgia

E-mail: george_tkebuchava@hotmail.com