

## ARCWISE CONNECTED CONTINUA AND WHITNEY MAPS

IVAN LONČAR

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a non-metric continuum, and  $C(X)$  be the hyperspace of subcontinua of  $X$ . It is known that there is no Whitney map on the hyperspace  $2^X$  for non-metric Hausdorff compact spaces  $X$ . On the other hand, there exist non-metric continua which admit and ones which do not admit a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ . In particular, a locally connected or a rim-metrizable continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  if and only if it is metrizable. In this paper we investigate the properties of continua  $X$  which admit a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  or for  $C^2(X)$ .

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 54F15, 54B20; Secondary 54B35.

**Key words and phrases:** Arcwise connected continuum, hyperspace, inverse system, property of Kelley, smootness, Whitney map.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Introduction contains some basic definitions, results and notation.

All spaces in this paper are compact Hausdorff and all mappings are continuous. The weight of a space  $X$  is denoted by  $w(X)$ .

A *generalized arc* is a Hausdorff continuum with exactly two non-separating points. Each separable arc is homeomorphic to the closed interval  $I = [0, 1]$ .

We say that a space  $X$  is *arcwise connected* provided that for every two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exists a generalized arc  $xy$  with end points  $x$  and  $y$ .

For a compact space  $X$  we denote by  $2^X$  the hyperspace of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  equipped with the Vietoris topology.  $C(X)$  and  $X(n)$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, stand for the sets of all connected members of  $2^X$  and of all nonempty subsets consisting of at most  $n$  points, respectively, both considered as subspaces of  $2^X$ . The hyperspace  $C(C(X))$  is denoted by  $C^2(X)$ .

For a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  define  $2^f : 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $2^f(K) = f(K)$  for  $K \in 2^X$ . By [17, 5.10]  $2^f$  is continuous,  $2^f(C(X)) \subset C(Y)$  and  $2^f(X(n)) \subset Y(n)$ . The restriction  $2^f|_{C(X)}$  is denoted by  $C(f)$ .

We will use the notion of inverse system as in [7, pp. 135-142]. An inverse system is denoted by  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$ . If  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is an inverse system, then an element  $\{x_a\}$  of the Cartesian product  $\prod\{X_a : a \in A\}$  is called a *thread* of  $\mathbf{X}$  if  $p_{ab}(x_b) = x_a$  for any  $a, b \in A$  satisfying  $a \leq b$ . The subspace of  $\prod\{X_a : a \in A\}$  consisting of all threads of  $\mathbf{X}$  is called the *limit of an inverse system*  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  and is denoted by  $\lim \mathbf{X}$  or by  $\lim\{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  [7, p. 135].

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  be an inverse system of compact spaces with the natural projections  $p_a : \lim \mathbf{X} \rightarrow X_a$  for  $a \in A$ . Then  $2^{\mathbf{X}} = \{2^{X_a}, 2^{p_{ab}}, A\}$ ,  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{C(X_a), C(p_{ab}), A\}$ ,  $C^2(\mathbf{X}) = \{C^2(X_a), C^2(p_{ab}), A\}$  and  $\mathbf{X}(n) = \{X_a(n), 2^{p_{ab}}|X_b(n), A\}$  form inverse systems.

**Lemma 1.1** ([10, Lemma 2]). *Let  $X = \lim \mathbf{X}$ . Then  $2^X = \lim 2^{\mathbf{X}}$ ,  $C(X) = \lim C(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $C^2(X) = \lim C^2(\mathbf{X})$  and  $X(n) = \lim \mathbf{X}(n)$ .*

We say that an inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is  $\sigma$ -directed if for each sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots$  of the members of  $A$  there is  $a \in A$  such that  $a \geq a_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the next we will use the following expanding theorem of non-metric compact spaces into a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of compact metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space such that  $w(X) \geq \aleph_1$ . Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  of compact metric spaces  $X_a$  and surjective bonding mappings  $p_{ab}$  such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is a Hausdorff continuum, then each coordinate space  $X_a$  can be chosen as a metric continuum.*

*Proof.* In [13, Theorem 1.8] it is proved that for a compact Hausdorff space with  $w(X) \geq \aleph_1$  there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  of compact metric spaces  $X_a$  such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . From the proof of [13, p. 397, Theorem 1.8] it follows that the bonding mappings  $p_{ab}$  are surjective. Now, if  $X$  is a Hausdorff continuum, then it is clear that each  $X_a = p_a(X)$  is a metric continuum.  $\square$

The following result [23, p. 173, Problem 23C] will be used.

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following are all equivalent, for a locally compact metric space  $X$  :*

- a)  $X$  is separable,
- b)  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where  $K_n$  is compact and  $K_n \subset \text{Int} K_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- c) The one point compactification  $X^*$  [23, p. 136] of  $X$  is metrizable.

A function  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is *upper semi-continuous at a point*  $p \in X$  provided that for every open set  $V \subset Y$  such that  $F(p) \subset V$  there is an open set  $U \subset X$  such that  $p \in U$  and satisfying  $F(x) \subset V$  for all  $x \in U$ . The function  $F$  is said to be *upper semi-continuous* if it is upper semi-continuous at each of its points.

We say that a function  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is *lower semi-continuous at a point*  $p \in X$  provided that for every open set  $G \subset Y$  such that  $F(p) \cap G \neq \emptyset$  there exists an open set  $U \subset X$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $F(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in U$ . The function  $F$  is said to be *lower semi-continuous* if it is lower semi-continuous at each of its points.

## 2. A WHITNEY MAP AND HEREDITARILY IRREDUCIBLE MAPPINGS

The notion of an irreducible mapping was introduced by Whyburn [22, p. 162]. If  $X$  is a continuum, a surjection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *irreducible* provided that no proper subcontinuum of  $X$  maps onto all of  $Y$  under  $f$ .

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *hereditarily irreducible* [18, p. 204, (1.212.3)] provided that for any given subcontinuum  $Z$  of  $X$ , no proper subcontinuum of  $Z$  maps onto  $f(Z)$ .

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *light* (*zero-dimensional*) if all fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$  are hereditarily disconnected (zero-dimensional or empty) [7, p. 450], i.e., if  $f^{-1}(y)$  does not contain any connected subset of cardinality larger than one ( $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq 0$ ). Every zero-dimensional mapping is light, and in the realm of mappings with compact fibers the two classes of mappings coincide.

Every hereditarily irreducible mapping is light. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is monotone and hereditarily irreducible, then  $f$  is one-to-one.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a subspace of  $2^X$ . By a *Whitney map* for  $\Lambda$  [18, p. 24, (0.50)] we will mean any mapping  $g : \Lambda \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying

- a) if  $A, B \in \Lambda$  such that  $A \subset B$  and  $A \neq B$ , then  $g(A) < g(B)$ , and
- b)  $g(\{x\}) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x\} \in \Lambda$ .

If  $X$  is a metric continuum, then there exists a Whitney map for  $2^X$  and  $C(X)$  [18, pp. 24-26], [9, p. 106]. If  $X$  is a metric continuum, then so is  $C(X)$ . Hence, there exists a Whitney map for  $C^2(X) = C(C(X))$ . On the other hand, if  $X$  is non-metric, then it admits no Whitney map for  $2^X$  [2, p. 305]. It is known that there exist non-metric continua which admit and ones which do not admit a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  [2, p. 307]. Moreover, if  $X$  is a non-metric locally connected or a rim-metrizable continuum, then  $X$  admits no Whitney map for  $C(X)$  [12, Theorem 8 and 11].

The following external characterization of non-metric continua which admit a Whitney map is proved in [13, p. 399, Theorem 2.3] for continua, but the proof given in [13, p. 399, Theorem 2.3] can be applied without essential changes to compact spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact space. Then  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  if and only if for each  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  of compact spaces  $X_a$  which admit Whitney maps for  $C(X_a)$  and  $X = \lim \mathbf{X}$ , there exists a subset  $B$  of  $A$  cofinal in  $A$  and such that, for each  $b \in B$ , the projection  $p_b : X \rightarrow X_b$  is hereditarily irreducible. Moreover, for the necessity the condition that each space  $X_a$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X_a)$  is not required.*

We say that a continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$  if there is a mapping  $h : C^2(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that

- c) if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in C^2(X)$  are such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ , then  $h(\mathcal{A}) < h(\mathcal{B})$  and
- d)  $h(\mathcal{D}) = 0$  for each  $\mathcal{D} \in (X(1))(1)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If a continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$  there is a mapping  $h : C^2(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that: c) if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in C^2(X)$  are such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ , then  $h(\mathcal{A}) < h(\mathcal{B})$  and d)  $h(\{\{x\}\}) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ . Given  $A \in C(X)$  it follows that  $A(1) \in C^2(X)$ . Thus we can consider the function

$\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  defined by  $\mu(A) = h(A(1))$ , for any  $A \in C(X)$ . Let  $A, B \in C(X)$  be such that  $A \subset B$  and  $A \neq B$ . Then  $A(1), B(1) \in C^2(X)$  are such that  $A(1) \subset B(1)$  and  $A(1) \neq B(1)$ . By c) we have  $h(A(1)) < h(B(1))$ . This implies that  $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ . Now, let  $x \in X$ . By d)  $\mu(\{x\}) = h(\{x\}(1)) = h(\{\{x\}\}) = 0$ . Using the Vietoris topology on both  $C(X)$  and  $C^2(X)$  it can be shown that  $\mu$  is continuous. Thus,  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .  $\square$

We say that a continuum  $C(X)$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$  if there is a mapping  $f : C^2(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that

- e) if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in C^2(X)$  are such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ , then  $f(\mathcal{A}) < f(\mathcal{B})$
- and
- d)  $f(\mathcal{D}) = 0$  for each  $\mathcal{D} \in C(X)(1)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a continuum. If  $C(X)$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system such that each coordinate space  $X_a$  is a continuum which admits a Whitney map for  $C(X_a)$  and  $X = \lim \mathbf{X}$ . Then  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{C(X_a), C(p_{ab}), A\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system such that  $C(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim C(\mathbf{X})$  (Lemma 1.1). Since  $C(X)$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , we can apply the necessity of Theorem 2.1 to  $C(X)$  in place of  $X$  to conclude that there is a subset  $B$  of  $A$  cofinal in  $A$  such that the projections  $C(p_b) : C(X) \rightarrow C(X_b)$  are hereditarily irreducible. Now, the restriction  $C(p_b)|X(1)$  is again hereditarily irreducible since  $X(1)$  is a subcontinuum of  $C(X)$ . Let us observe that  $(C(p_b)|X(1))(X(1)) \subset X_b(1)$  and that both  $X(1)$  and  $X_b(1)$  are homeomorphic to  $X$  and  $X_b$ , respectively. Thus  $C(p_b)|X(1) = p_b$ , which means that the projections  $p_b : X \rightarrow X_b$  are hereditarily irreducible, for each  $b \in B$ . Finally, from Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE METRIZABILITY OF $C(X) \setminus X(1)$ IF $X$ IS ARCWISE CONNECTED

Now we will prove the metrizability of  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  if  $X$  is an arcwise connected continuum which admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If an arcwise connected continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ , then  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  is metrizable and  $w(C(X) \setminus X(1)) \leq \aleph_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that a non-metric arcwise connected continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ . From Theorem 1.2 it follows that there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  of metric continua and surjective bonding mappings such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . Consider the inverse system  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{C(X_a), C(p_{ab}), A\}$  whose limit is  $C(X)$  (Lemma 1.1). From Theorem 2.1 it follows that there exists a subset  $B$  cofinal in  $A$  such that the projections  $p_b$  are hereditarily irreducible. By [18, p. 204, (1.212.3)] the hereditarily irreducibility of  $p_b$  implies that  $C(p_b)$  is light for every  $b \in B$ . Since  $\lim \mathbf{X}$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \{X_b, p_{bc}, B\}$ , we may assume that  $B = A$ . Let  $Y_a = C(p_a)(C(X))$ . Furthermore,  $C(p_a)^{-1}(X_a(1)) = X(1)$  since from the

hereditary irreducibility of  $p_a$  it follows that no non-degenerate subcontinuum of  $X$  maps under  $p_a$  onto a point. We infer that  $C(p_a)^{-1}[Y_a \setminus X_a(1)] = C(X) \setminus X(1)$ . Let us prove that the restriction  $C(p_a)|[C(X) \setminus X(1)]$  is one-to-one. Suppose that  $C(p_a)|[C(X) \setminus X(1)]$  is not one-to-one. Then there exists a continuum  $C_a$  in  $X_a$  and two non-degenerate and distinct continua  $C, D$  in  $X$  such that  $p_a(C) = p_a(D) = C_a$ . It is impossible that  $C \subset D$  or  $D \subset C$  since  $p_a$  is hereditarily irreducible. Otherwise, if  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , then for the continuum  $Y = C \cup D$  we have that  $C$  and  $D$  are proper subcontinua of  $Y$  and  $p_a(Y) = p_a(C) = p_a(D) = C_a$ , which is impossible since  $p_a$  is hereditarily irreducible. We infer that  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . There exists a generalized arc  $E$  with end points in  $C$  and  $D$ , respectively. Moreover, since  $C$  and  $D$  are non-degenerate, we may assume that  $E \cap C \neq C$  and  $E \cap D \neq D$ . Now  $p_a(E \cup D) = p_a(E)$ , which is impossible since  $p_a$  is hereditarily irreducible. It follows that the restriction  $P_a = C(p_a)|[C(X) \setminus X(1)]$  is one-to-one and closed [7, p. 95, Proposition 2.1.4]. Hence,  $P_a$  is a homeomorphism and  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  is metrizable. Moreover,  $w(C(X) \setminus X(1)) \leq \aleph_0$  since  $Y_a$  as a compact metrizable space is separable and, consequently, second-countable [7, p. 320].  $\square$

It is known that if  $X$  is a continuum, then  $C(X)$  is arcwise connected [16, p. 1209, Theorem]. Hence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $X$  is a continuum which admits a Whitney map for the hyperspace  $C^2(X)$ , then  $C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$  is metrizable and  $w(C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)) \leq \aleph_0$ .*

We close this section with the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If an arcwise connected continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for the hyperspace  $C(X)$ , then  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  admits a Whitney map for both  $C(C(X) \setminus X(1))$  and  $(C(X) \setminus X(1))(1)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1 the space  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  is metrizable and  $w(C(X) \setminus X(1)) \leq \aleph_0$ . This means that  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  is separable. Now we will use the theorem due to T. Watanabe [21, Theorem 1] which states that if  $Z$  is a separable metric space, then  $Z$  admits a Whitney map  $\mu : 2^Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This means that there exists a Whitney map  $v$  for  $2^{C(X) \setminus X(1)}$ . The restrictions of  $v$  to  $C(C(X) \setminus X(1))$  and  $(C(X) \setminus X(1))(1)$  are Whitney maps as well.  $\square$

#### 4. SMOOTHNESS AND WHITNEY MAPS

There are many definitions of smoothness in the literature. The following concept of smoothness is due to Maćkowiak [15] for metric continua and to Rakowski [19] for Hausdorff continua. We call this concept the *MR-smoothness*.

**4.1. MR-smoothness.** We say that a pointed continuum  $(X, p)$  is *MR-smooth* provided that  $X$  is smooth at  $p$ , i.e., for each subcontinuum  $L$  of  $X$  which contains  $p$  and for each open set  $V$  which contains  $L$  there exists an open connected set  $U$  such that  $L \subset U \subset V$  [3, p. 103].

For a given pointed continuum  $(X, p)$  consider a function  $\delta_{(X,p)} : X \rightarrow C^2(X)$  defined by

$$\delta_{(X,p)}(x) = \{K \in C(X) : p, x \in K\}.$$

The following two theorems have been proved in the metric case [5, Propositions 1 and 2] respectively, but they remain valid for Hausdorff continua [3, p. 103].

**Theorem 4.1.** *The function  $\delta_{(X,p)}$  is upper semi-continuous.*

**Theorem 4.2** ([3, Theorem 8.1]). *The function  $\delta_{(X,p)}$  is continuous if and only if the pointed continuum  $(X, p)$  is MR-smooth.*

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $(X, p)$  is a pointed arcwise connected MR-smooth continuum, then  $\delta_{(X,p)} : X \rightarrow C^2(X)$  is an embedding and  $\delta_{(X,p)}(X) \subset C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.2 the function  $\delta_{(X,p)} : X \rightarrow C^2(X)$  is continuous since  $(X, p)$  is MR-smooth. Moreover, if  $x \neq y$ , then the generalized arcs  $px$  and  $py$  are distinct, whence  $\{K \in C(X) : p, x \in K\} \neq \{K \in C(X) : p, y \in K\}$ . This means then  $\delta_{(X,p)}$  is one-to-one. Thus it is an embedding. Let us prove that  $\delta_{(X,p)}(X) \subset C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$ . If  $X$  is not a generalized arc, then  $\delta_{(X,p)}(x) = \{K \in C(X) : p, x \in K\}$  is a non-degenerate continuum in  $C(X)$  which contains a generalized arc  $px$  and  $X$ . Hence,  $\delta_{(X,p)}(x) \in C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$ . If  $X$  is a generalized arc, then  $X$  is MR-smooth at each of its points. We may assume that  $p$  is not an end point. This implies that  $\delta_{(X,p)}(x)$  is a non-degenerate continuum in  $C(X)$  which contains a generalized arc  $px$  and  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $(X, p)$  is a pointed arcwise connected MR-smooth continuum which admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* From Corollary 3.2 it follows that  $C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$  is metrizable and  $w(C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)) \leq \aleph_0$  since  $C(X)$  is arcwise connected. Using Lemma 4.3 we infer that  $\delta_{(X,p)}(X) \subset C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$ . Hence  $X$  is metrizable and separable.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *An MR-smooth arcwise connected pointed continuum  $(X, p)$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$  if and only if it is metrizable.*

An *arboroid* is a hereditarily unicoherent continuum which is arcwise connected. A metrizable arboroid is a *dendroid*. If  $X$  is an arboroid and  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists a unique generalized arc  $xy$  in  $X$  with end points  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *An MR-smooth pointed arboroid  $(X, p)$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$  if and only if it is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**4.2. Arc-smoothness.** The notion of arc-smoothness was introduced by Fugate, Gordh and Lum in [8]. We will use the generalization of this notion from [11].

An *arc-structure* on a continuum  $X$  [11, p. 172] is a function  $A : X \times X \rightarrow C(X)$  such that for  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , the set  $A(x, y)$  is a generalized arc from  $x$  to  $y$

and such that the following metric-like conditions are satisfied for all  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $X$  :

- (a)  $A(x, x) = \{x\}$ ,
- (b)  $A(x, y) = A(y, x)$ , and
- (c)  $A(x, z) \subseteq A(x, y) \cup A(y, z)$  with equality prevailing whenever  $y$  belongs to  $A(x, z)$ .

The pair  $(X, A)$  is *arc-smooth at point  $p$  in  $X$*  if the induced function  $A_p : X \rightarrow C(X)$  defined by  $A_p(x) = A(p, x)$  is continuous. The pair  $(X, A)$  is *arc-smooth* if there exists a point in  $X$  at which  $(X, A)$  is arc-smooth.

*Remark.* In [14] the set  $A_p(X)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(X, p)$  and it is proved that if  $X$  is smooth at  $p$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(X, p)$  is arcwise connected [14, Theorem 4.8]. Moreover, if the continuum  $X$  is arcwise connected and smooth at a point  $p$ , then there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, p)$  [14, Theorem 8.2]. Namely,  $h$  is defined by  $h(x) = A(p, x)$ .

If a continuum  $X$  is arc-smooth at the point  $p$ , then  $A_p : X \rightarrow C(X)$  is one-to-one. Thus, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a continuum with an arc-structure  $A$ . If  $(X, A)$  is arc-smooth at a point  $p \in X$ , then  $A_p(X) \subset C(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .*

Now we are ready to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $X$  is an arc-smooth continuum, then  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  if and only if  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* It is known that if  $X$  is metrizable, then  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ . Suppose that  $X$  is non-metrizable and there exists a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ . Let  $X$  be arc-smooth at a point  $p$ . By Lemma 4.7  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $A_p(X) \subset C(X)$ . It is clear that  $A_p(X) \setminus \{\{p\}\} \subset C(X) \setminus X(1)$ . We infer that  $A_p(X) \setminus \{\{p\}\}$  is metrizable since  $C(X) \setminus X(1)$  is metrizable (Theorem 3.1). Hence  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is metrizable since it is homeomorphic to  $A_p(X) \setminus \{\{p\}\}$  under the homeomorphism  $h(x) = A(p, x)$ . Moreover, from Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $X$  is separable since  $w(C(X) \setminus X(1)) \leq \aleph_0$  and  $A_p(X) \setminus \{\{p\}\} \subset C(X) \setminus X(1)$ . Furthermore,  $X$  is the one point compactification of  $X \setminus \{p\}$ . Finally, from Theorem 1.3 it follows that  $X$  is metrizable, a contradiction.  $\square$

Formerly the smoothness was defined for fans [1, p. 7] and for metric arboroids, i.e., for dendroids [4, p. 298, Definition].

An arboroid  $X$  is said to be *smooth* if there exists a point  $p \in X$ , called an *initial point* of  $X$ , such that for every convergent net  $\{a_d : d \in D\}$  of points  $a_d$  of  $X$  the condition  $\lim\{a_d : d \in D\} = a$  implies that the net of arcs  $\{pa_d : d \in D\}$  is convergent and  $\text{Lim}\{pa_d : d \in D\} = pa$ .

**Lemma 4.9** ([8, p. 647]). *An arboroid is smooth if and only if it is arc-smooth.*

**Theorem 4.10.** *If a smooth arboroid  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

## 5. THE PROPERTY OF KELLEY

We say that a continuum  $X$  has the *property of Kelley at a point*  $p \in X$  if for every subcontinuum  $K \subset X$  containing  $p$  and for every open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $K$  in the hyperspace  $C(X)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $X$  such that if  $q \in U$  then there is a continuum  $L \in C(X)$  with  $q \in L \in \mathcal{U}$ . A continuum  $X$  has the *property of Kelley* if it has the property of Kelley at each of its points.

For a given continuum  $X$  we define the function  $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow C^2(X)$  by

$$\alpha_X(x) = \{A \in C(X) : x \in A\}$$

for each point  $x \in X$  [3, p. 91].

**Lemma 5.1.** *The function  $\alpha_X$  is upper semi-continuous.*

*Proof.* See [20, p. 292, (2.1) Theorem]. □

**Theorem 5.2** ([3, Theorem 3.1]). *The function  $\alpha_X$  is continuous if and only if  $X$  has the property of Kelley.*

Hence we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If a continuum  $X$  has the property of Kelley, then the function  $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$  is an embedding.*

*Proof.* Let us note that

$$\alpha_X(X) \subset C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1).$$

The rest follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Now we are ready to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *If a continuum  $X$  with the property of Kelley admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then it is metrizable.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.2 the set  $C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$  is metrizable and

$$w(C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)) \leq \aleph_0.$$

Using Lemma 5.3 we see that  $\alpha_X(X) \subset C^2(X) \setminus C(X)(1)$  is metrizable. Moreover,  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\alpha_X(X)$ . Hence  $X$  is metrizable. □

**Problem 1.** Is it true that a continuum  $X$  with the property of Kelley is metrizable if it admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ ?

We say that a continuum  $X$  is *hereditarily indecomposable* if no subcontinuum of  $X$  can be written as the union of two proper subcontinua [9, p. 61].

**Lemma 5.5** ([6, p. 211, Proposition 2.7]). *Hereditarily indecomposable continua have the property of Kelley.*

From Theorem 5.4 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.6.** *If a hereditarily indecomposable continuum  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*



## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is known [7, p. 171, Corollary 3.1.20] that if a compact space  $X$  is a countable union of its subspaces  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $w(X_n) \leq \aleph_0$ , then  $w(X) \leq \aleph_0$ . Using this fact and the theorems proved in the previous sections we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 6.1.** *If a continuum  $X$  is the countable union of its arcwise connected MR-smooth continua and if  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.4. □

**Theorem 6.2.** *If a continuum  $X$  is the countable union of its arc-smooth continua and if  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.8. □

Finally, applying Theorem 5.4 we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *If a continuum  $X$  is the countable union of its subcontinua with the property of Kelley and if  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C^2(X)$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is very grateful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. J. J. CHARATONIK, On fans. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **54**(1967), 39 pp.
2. J. J. CHARATONIK and W. J. CHARATONIK, Whitney maps – a non-metric case. *Colloq. Math.* **83**(2000), No. 2, 305–307.
3. J. J. CHARATONIK and W. J. CHARATONIK, Connections between classes of spaces and of mappings. *Proceedings of the 2000 Topology and Dynamics Conference (San Antonio, TX). Topology Proc.* **25**(2000), Spring, 87–111.
4. J. J. CHARATONIK and C. EBERHART, On smooth dendroids. *Fund. Math.* **67**(1970), 297–322.
5. W. J. CHARATONIK, Inverse limits of smooth continua. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **23**(1982), No. 1, 183–191.
6. W. J. CHARATONIK, A homogeneous continuum without the property of Kelley. *Topology Appl.* **96**(1999), No. 3, 209–216.
7. R. ENGELKING, General topology. Translated from the Polish by the author. *Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 60. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 60]. PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.*
8. J. B. FUGATE, G. R. GORDH, JR., and LEWIS LUM, On arc-smooth continua. *Topology Proc.* **2**(1977), 645–656.
9. A. ILLANES and S. B. NADLER, JR., Hyperspaces. Fundamentals and recent advances. *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 216. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.
10. Y. KODAMA, S. SPIEZ, and T. WATANABE, On shape of hyperspaces. *Fund. Math.* **100**(1978), No. 1, 59–67.

11. A. KOYAMA, Weakly arc-smooth continua. I. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **22(42)**(1987), No. 1, 171–185.
12. I. LONČAR, A note on a Whitney map for continua. *Math. Commun.* **6**(2001), No. 1, 1–9.
13. I. LONČAR, A fan  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  iff it is metrizable. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **38(58)**(2003), 395–411.
14. L. LUM, Weakly smooth continua. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **214**(1975), 153–167.
15. T. MAĆKOWIAK, On smooth continua. *Fund. Math.* **85**(1974), No. 1, 79–95.
16. M. M. MCWATERS, Arcs, semigroups, and hyperspaces. *Canad. J. Math.* **20**(1968), 1207–1210.
17. E. MICHAEL, Topologies on spaces of subsets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71**(1951), 152–182.
18. S. B. NADLER, JR., Hyperspaces of sets. A text with research questions. *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 49. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York–Basel, 1978.
19. Z. M. RAKOWSKI, Smooth Hausdorff continua. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **25**(1977), No. 6, 563–566.
20. R. W. WARDLE, On a property of J. L. Kelley. *Houston J. Math.* **3**(1977), No. 2, 291–299.
21. T. WATANABE, A Whitney map and the Lindelöf property. *Houston J. Math.* **15**(1989), No. 1, 147–151.
22. G. T. WHYBURN, Analytic topology. *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, XXVIII. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1963.
23. S. WILLARD, General topology. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1970.

(Received 4.12.2003; revised 3.02.2005)

Author' address:

Faculty of Organization and Informatics Varaždin  
 (University of Zagreb, Croatia)  
 Pavlinska 2, HR-42000 Varaždin  
 Croatia  
 E-mails: ivan.loncar1@vz.tel.hr  
 ivan.loncar@foi.hr