

THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR A SECOND ORDER
ELLIPTIC EQUATION DEGENERATING ON THE WHOLE
BOUNDARY WHEN THE BOUNDARY CONDITION IS
SATISFIED IN THE PRESENCE OF SOME WEIGHT

MIKHEIL USANETASHVILI

Abstract. The first boundary value problem with weight is investigated for a general-type second order elliptic type equation degenerating on the whole boundary.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J70.

Key words and phrases: Dirichlet problem, degenerating elliptic equation, weight function.

Let us consider the elliptic equation

$$Au_{xx} + 2Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} + au_x + bu_y + cu = 0 \quad (1)$$

in the circle $D = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ and assume that in a sufficiently small neighborhood $D_1 \subset D$ of the boundary $\Gamma = \partial D$ the representation

$$Au_{xx} + 2Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \ell_1^2} + H^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \ell_2^2} \quad (2)$$

holds, where $D_1 = \{(x, y) : 1 - \varepsilon < x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$, $\ell_1 = (-y, x)$, $\ell_2 = (x, y)$, $H = 1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $p = \text{const} > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

In what follows it is assumed that the coefficients $a, b \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{D})$, $c \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{D})$, $c \leq 0$, $(x, y) \in \overline{D}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

It is not difficult to show that equation (1) with assumption (2) on the boundary $\Gamma = \partial D$ parabolically degenerates and the characteristic direction coincides with the tangent direction, since

$$(AH_x^2 + 2BH_xH_y + CH_y^2)|_{D_1} = H^pG, \quad G = 1.$$

As is known [1], for $p = 1$, $IG^{-1}|_{\partial D} > 1$, $I = L(H) - cH$, the Dirichlet problem is not correctly posed. In that case we can consider the following problem.

Problem. Find a regular solution $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ of equation (1) in the domain D and the boundary condition is satisfied with weight, i.e.,

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \tau(x, y)u(x, y) = f(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where the weight function $\tau(x, y) = \frac{1}{W(x, y)}$, $W \in C^2(\overline{D})$ is some function uniformly tending to ∞ as $H \rightarrow 0$, $f(x, y)$ is a given continuous function. In such a formulation the problem was for the first time proposed by A.V. Bitsadze [2]. The work [3] by S.A. Tersenev dealing with the same topic should also be noted.

Equation (1) with (2) taken into account can be rewritten in terms of polar coordinates $x = r \cos \varphi$, $y = r \sin \varphi$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \varphi^2} + r^2(1-r)^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} (b \cos \varphi - a \sin \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \\ + (H^p r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + cu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since

$$H = 1 - r, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial H}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial H^2},$$

equation (4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(u) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \varphi^2} + (1-H)^2 H^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial H^2} + a_1(H, \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \\ + b_1(H, \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial H} + c(H, \varphi)u = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(H, \varphi) &= \frac{b \cos \varphi - a \sin \varphi}{1-H}, \\ b_1(H, \varphi) &= -[H^p(1-H) + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce a new unknown function $v(\varphi, H)$ assuming that

$$u = Wv. \quad (6)$$

Then equation (5) reduces to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \varphi^2} + (1-H)^2 H^p \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial H^2} + \left[a_1 + \frac{2W_\varphi}{W} \right] \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi} \\ + \left[b_1 + 2(1-H)^2 H^p \frac{W_H}{H} \right] \frac{\partial v}{\partial H} + \frac{L_1(W)}{W} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Choose a function $W(\varphi, H)$ such that for $p = 1$

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[b_1(H, \varphi) + 2(1-H)^2 H^p \frac{W_H}{W} \right] < 1, \quad (8)$$

$$W(\varphi, H) > 0, \quad L_1(W) < 0 \quad \text{in } D. \quad (9)$$

Let us now construct the function $W(\varphi, H)$ satisfying conditions (8) and (9).

If $IG^{-1}|_{\partial D} = b_1(\varphi, 0) \neq 0$, then solutions of equation (5) in the neighborhood of the parabolic degeneration circumference behave mainly like solutions of the equation

$$(1-H)^2 H^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial H^2} + b_1(\varphi, H) \frac{\partial u}{\partial H} = 0$$

or

$$H^p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial H^2} + b^*(\varphi, H) \frac{\partial u}{\partial H} = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$b^*(\varphi, H) = -\frac{H^p(1 - H) + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi}{(1 - H)^2}, \quad b^*(\varphi, 0) = b_1(\varphi, 0).$$

Let $p = 1$ and $(1 - IG^{-1})|_{\partial D} < 0$ or, which is the same, $b_0 = b^*(\varphi, 0) > 1$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$.

Consider the function

$$\omega(\varphi, H) = \int_H^1 \exp \left[\int_t^1 b^*(\varphi, 0) \tau^{-1} d\tau \right] dt = \frac{H^{1-b_0} - 1}{b_0 - 1}, \quad b_0 \neq 1.$$

It is easy to see that $\omega(\varphi, H)$ satisfies equation (10). Also note that for $H > 0$ the function $\omega(\varphi, H)$ is continuous together with its derivatives of all orders and, for $H \rightarrow 0$, by virtue of the assumption that $b_0 > 1$ it has singularities at the points of the circumference.

It is obvious that for sufficiently small H the following estimate is true:

$$\frac{\omega_H}{H} = (1 - b_0)H^{-1} + O(H^{b_0-2}), \quad \omega_H = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial H}. \tag{11}$$

Since $b_0 = b_1$ and $H = 0$, estimate (11) immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[b_1 + 2(1 - H)^2 H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} \right] \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left\{ b_0 + 2(1 - H)^2 H \left[\frac{-H^{b_0}(1 - b_0)}{1 - H^{1-b_0}} + \frac{1 - b_0}{H} - \frac{1 - b_0}{H} \right] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2 - b_0 - 2(1 - H)^2(1 - b_0) \left[\frac{H^{b_0-1}}{H^{b_0-1} - 1} \right] \right\} = 2 - b_0 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} W(\varphi, H) = & \left\{ \omega(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] - (\varphi - \delta)^n + K \right\} \Phi_1(H) \\ & + [-(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + K_1] \Phi_2(H), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$; n, n_1, K and K_1 are positive numbers; δ and δ_1 are chosen so that $\varphi - \delta > 1$ and $\varphi - \delta_1 > 1$,

$$\Phi_1(x) = \frac{\Psi_{0,\lambda}(x)}{\Psi_{0,\lambda}(x) + \Psi_{1,1-\varepsilon}(x)} \in C^\infty[0, 1],$$

$$\Phi_2(x) = \frac{\Psi_{1,1-\varepsilon}(x)}{\Psi_{0,\lambda}(x) + \Psi_{1,1-\varepsilon}(x)} \in C^\infty[0, 1].$$

Here the functions $\Psi_{a,\varepsilon}(x)$ are defined by the equality [4]

$$\Psi_{a,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 - (x-a)^2}}, & |x - a| < \varepsilon, \\ 0, & |x - a| \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Let us show that $W(\varphi, H)$ satisfies conditions (8) and (9). We consider three cases.

Case 1. $0 < H \leq \varepsilon$. Then $\Phi_1(H) = 1$ and $\Phi_2(H) = 0$. By a direct calculation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left[b_0 + 2(1-H)^2 H \frac{W_H}{W} \right] \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left\{ b_0 + 2(1-H)^2 H \left[\frac{\omega_H [1 + (\ln \frac{1}{H})^{-\alpha}] + \frac{\alpha \omega}{H} [\ln \frac{1}{H}]^{-\alpha-1}}{\omega [1 + (\ln \frac{1}{H})^{-\alpha}] - (\varphi - \delta)^n + K} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1-b_0}{H} - \frac{1-b_0}{H} \right] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \left\{ b_0 + 2(1-H)^2 (1-b_0) + 2(1-H)^2 H \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left[\frac{-H^{b_0} (1-b_0) [1 + (\ln \frac{1}{H})^{-\alpha}] + \frac{\alpha}{H} (\ln \frac{1}{H})^{-\alpha-1} (1-H^{1-b_0})}{(1-H^{1-b_0}) [1 + (\ln \frac{1}{H})^{-\alpha}] - (1-b_0) [(\varphi - \delta)^n - K]} - \frac{1-b_0}{H} \right] \right\} \\ &= 2 - b_0 < 1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., condition (8) is fulfilled.

We will show that W satisfies condition (9) too.

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\omega_{\varphi\varphi}}{\omega} = O(|\log H|^2), \quad \frac{\omega_{\varphi}}{\omega} = O(|\log H|), \quad b - b_0 = O(H). \quad (12)$$

Using (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(W) &= \frac{\alpha(1-H)^2 \omega}{H} \left[\log \frac{1}{H} \right]^{-\alpha-2} \left[\alpha + 1 + \left[\frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} \right] \log \frac{1}{H} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + O(\sqrt{H}) \right] - n(\varphi - \delta)^{n-2} [n - 1 + (\varphi - \delta)a] + cW. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} = \frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{-H^{b_0}(1-b_0)}{1-H^{1-b_0}} \\ &= \frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2 \frac{b_0 - 1}{H^{b_0-1} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \sigma_1 = b_0 - 1 - 2(b_0 - 1) = -(b_0 - 1) < 0, \quad (13)$$

from which it follows that for small H

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} \leq \frac{2}{\log H}. \quad (14)$$

By virtue of (14) for small H we have

$$\alpha + 1 + \left[\frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} \right] \log \frac{1}{H}$$

$$\leq \alpha + 1 + \frac{2}{\log H} \log \frac{1}{H} = \alpha + 1 - 2 = \alpha - 1 < 0. \tag{15}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha \omega [\log \frac{1}{H}]^{-\alpha-2} (1-H)^2}{H} &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha (1-H)^2 (1-H^{1-b_0}) [\log \frac{1}{H}]^{-\alpha-2}}{(1-b_0)H} \\ &= \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha (1-H)^2 (H^{b_0-1} - 1)}{(1-b_0)H^{b_0} (\log \frac{1}{H})^{\alpha+2}} = \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From (12)–(15) it follows that for small H

$$\alpha + 1 + \left[\frac{b_0}{(1-H)^2} - 1 + 2H \frac{\omega_H}{\omega} \right] \log \frac{1}{H} + O(\sqrt{H}) \leq \alpha - 1 + O(\sqrt{H}) < 0. \tag{17}$$

By virtue of (16), (17) there exist positive numbers n_0 and K_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $K \geq K_0$ the inequalities $W > 0$ and $L_1(W) < 0$ are fulfilled simultaneously.

Case 2. $\lambda \leq H < 1$. Then $\Phi_1(H) = 0$, $\Phi_2(H) = 1$ and

$$W(\varphi, H) = -(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + K_1.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$L_1(W) = -n_1(n_1 - 1)(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1-2} - a_1 n_1 (\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1-1} - c(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + cK_1.$$

Therefore the number n_1 can be chosen so that the inequality $L_1(W) < 0$ be fulfilled. After that the number K_1 is chosen so that $W(\varphi, H)$ be positive.

Case 3. $\varepsilon < H < \lambda$. In the preceding two cases we have shown that $W > 0$ and $L_1(W) < 0$ on the intervals $0 < H \leq \varepsilon$ and $\lambda \leq H < 1$. But the functions W and $L_1(W)$ are continuous at the points $H = \varepsilon$ and $H = \lambda$. Therefore the same inequalities $W > 0$ and $L_1(W) < 0$ are fulfilled on wider intervals $0 < H \leq \varepsilon_1$ and $\lambda_1 \leq H < 1$, where $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda$. Hence instead of $\varepsilon < H < \lambda$ it is sufficient to restrict the consideration to the case $\varepsilon_1 \leq H \leq \lambda_1$, where $\Phi_i(H) \geq \text{const} > 0$, $i = 1, 2$. By a direct calculation we find that

$$L_1(W) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -n(n-1)(\varphi - \delta)^{n-2} \Phi_1(H) - (1-H)^2 H (\varphi - \delta)^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H^2} \\ &\quad - n a_1(H, \varphi) (\varphi - \delta)^{n-1} \Phi_1(H) - b_1(H, \varphi) (\varphi - \delta)^n \frac{\partial \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H} \\ &\quad - c(H, \varphi) (\varphi - \delta)^n \Phi_1(H), \\ I_2 &= \omega_{\varphi\varphi}(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] \Phi_1(H) - n_1(n_1 - 1) (\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1-2} \Phi_2(H) \\ &\quad + (1-H)^2 H \left[\omega(\varphi, H) \left(1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\{ \omega_H(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] + \frac{\omega(\varphi, H)\alpha}{H} \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-1} \right\} \frac{\partial \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H} \\
 & + \left\{ \omega_{HH}(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] + \frac{2\omega_H(\varphi, H)}{H} \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-1} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{\omega(\varphi, H)}{H^2} \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-1} + \alpha(\alpha + 1) \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-2} \right\} \Phi_1(H) \\
 & + \left\{ \omega_H(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] + \frac{\omega(\varphi, H)\alpha}{H} \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-1} \right\} \frac{\partial \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H} \\
 & + [-(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + K_1] \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_2(H)}{\partial H^2} + a_1(H, \varphi)\omega_\varphi(\varphi, H) \\
 & \times \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] \Phi_1(H) - a_1(H, \varphi)n_1(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1-1}\Phi_2(H) \\
 & + b_1(H, \varphi) \left\{ \omega(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] + K \right\} \frac{\partial \Phi_1(H)}{\partial H} \\
 & + b_1(H, \varphi) \left\{ \omega_H(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \omega(\varphi, H) \frac{\alpha}{H} \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha-1} \right\} \Phi_1(H) + b_1(H, \varphi) [-(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + K_1] \frac{\partial \Phi_2(H)}{\partial H} \\
 & + c \left\{ \omega(\varphi, H) \left[1 + \left(\ln \frac{1}{H} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] + K \right\} \Phi_1(H) + c [-(\varphi - \delta_1)^{n_1} + K_1] \Phi_2(H).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since in the expression for I_2 all the terms are bounded, while n_1 and K_1 are already fixed, the number n can be take so large that the inequality $L_1(W) < 0$ be valid. After that we choose K such that the inequality $W(\varphi, H) > 0$ be fulfilled.

The following statement is true by virtue of (8), (9) and the results of [1].

Theorem. *If $p = 1$, $IG^{-1}|_{\partial D} > 1$, $I = L(H) - cH$ and $\tau = (b_0 - 1)(H^{1-b_0} - 1)^{-1}$, where $b_0 = b^*(\varphi, 0)$, $b^*(\varphi, H) = [H(1 - H) + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi](1 - H)^2$ and φ is the polar angle corresponding to the point $(x, y) \in \bar{D}$, then for any $f \in C(\partial D)$ problem (1), (3) has a unique regular solution.*

REFERENCES

1. M. A. USANETASHVILI, Solvability of the Dirichlet problem for a second-order elliptic equation with degeneration on the whole boundary. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **21** (1985), No. 1, 145–148.
2. A. V. BITSADZE, Some classes of partial differential equations. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1981.

3. S. A. TERSENOV, On the theory of equations of elliptical type which degenerate at the boundary of the region. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **6**(1965), 1120–1143.
4. R. NARASIMHAN, Analysis on real and complex manifolds. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 1 *Masson & Cie, Éditeurs, Paris; North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam*, 1968; Russian transl.: *Mir, Moscow*, 1971.

(Received 23.01.2006)

Author's address:

Department of Mathematics No. 99
Georgian Technical University
77, M. Kostava St., Tbilisi 0175
Georgia