

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE INVERSION COUNTING FUNCTION

NEVILLE ROBBINS

Abstract. Let h, k be integers such that $0 < h < k$ and $(h, k) = 1$. If $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let r_i be the least positive residue $(\bmod k)$ of hi . Let the permutation

$$\sigma_{h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k-1 \\ r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots & r_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$, if $r_i > r_j$, this is called an *inversion* of $\sigma_{h,k}$. Let $I(h, k)$ denote the total number of inversions of $\sigma_{h,k}$. In this note, we prove several identities concerning $I(h, k)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

Key words and phrases: Inversions, Dedekind sums.

1. Introduction. Let h, k be integers such that $0 < h < k$ and $(h, k) = 1$. Consider the permutation

$$\sigma_{h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k-1 \\ r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots & r_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where r_i is the least positive residue $(\bmod k)$ of hi for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. In particular, if p is an odd prime and if $0 < h < p$, then the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{h}{p}\right) = 1$ if and only if $\sigma_{h,p}$ is the product of an even number of transpositions. (See [2], p. 280.) Let $I_i(h, k)$ denote the number of elements in the sequence $\{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_{i-1}\}$ that exceed r_i . Let

$$I(h, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i(h, k).$$

Note that $I(h, k)$ counts the number of so-called *inversions* in $\sigma_{h,k}$. (The term *inversion* is due to C. Meyer [3].) In [4], several identities concerning $I(h, k)$ were presented. In this note, we develop further properties of $I(h, k)$.

2. Preliminaries. Let $s(h, k)$ denote the usual Dedekind sum.

$$I(h, k) = -3ks(k, h) + \frac{1}{4}(k-1)(k-2). \quad (1)$$

$$hI(h, k) + kI(k, h) = \frac{1}{4}(h-1)(k-1)(h+k-1). \quad (2)$$

$$\text{If } h' \equiv \pm h \pmod{k}, \quad \text{then } s(h', k) = \pm s(h, k). \quad (3)$$

$$\text{If } h' \equiv h \pmod{k}, \quad \text{then } I(h', k) = I(h, k). \quad (4)$$

Remarks. (1), (2) are (48), (50) respectively in [4]. (See p. 37, 39.) (2) is attributed by Meyer to H. Salie. (See [3], p. 163.) (3) is Theorem 3.6, part (a) on p. 62 of [1]. (4) follows from (1) and (3). An additional identity ((49) in [4]) states that

$$(-1)^{I(h,k)} = \left(\frac{h}{k}\right).$$

This last identity, sometimes called Zolotarev's Theorem, yields an alternate proof of the quadratic reciprocity law for the Jacobi symbol.

3. The Main Results.

Theorem 1. *Let h, k be integers such that $0 < h < k$ and $(h, k) = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad I(k-h, k) + I(h, k) &= \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}, \\ \text{(b)} \quad I(k-h, k) - I(h, k) &= 6ks(h, k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since (3) implies $s(k-h, k) = s(-h, k) = -s(h, k)$, it follows from (1) that

$$I(k-h, k) = 3ks(h, k) + \frac{1}{4}(k-1)(k-2). \quad (5)$$

The conclusion now follows from (1) and (7). \square

Theorem 2. *If k is odd, then*

$$\sum_{h=1}^{k-1} I(h, k) = \frac{1}{4}(k-1)^2(k-2). \quad (6)$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{h=1}^{k-1} I(h, k) = \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} I(h, k) + \sum_{h=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k-1} I(h, k)$$

but

$$\sum_{h=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k-1} I(h, k) = \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} I(k-h, k)$$

so that, using Theorem 1(a), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} I(h, k) &= \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (I(h, k) + I(k-h, k)) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k-2) = \frac{1}{4}(k-1)^2(k-2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *If $0 < h < k$, $(h, k) = 1$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $I(h, k) \equiv h-1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we must have $h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, by (2) and the hypothesis, we have

$$hI(h, k) \equiv -(h-1)^2 \pmod{3}$$

hence

$$I(h, k) \equiv -h + 2 - h^{-1} \pmod{3}$$

from which the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 4. *If $0 < h < k$, $(h, k) = 1$, and $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $I(h, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof (Induction on k). Note that $I(1, 2) = 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. First assume that

(a) $h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Now (2) implies

$$hI(h, k) + kI(k, h) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Let $k = qh + r$, where $0 < r < h$, then $I(k, h) = I(r, h)$, so that

$$hI(h, k) + kI(r, h) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $I(r, h) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $I(h, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Now assume that

(b) $h \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, so that $k - h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Since, by hypothesis, $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ it follows that $3|(k-1)(k-2)$. Therefore (2) implies

$$I(h, k) + I(k-h, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (7)$$

Our result from part (a) implies $I(k-h, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ so that (9) implies $I(h, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. \square

Theorem 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad I(m, mn \pm 1) &= \frac{m(m-1)n(n \pm 1)}{4}. \\ \text{(b)} \quad \text{If } m \text{ is odd, then } I(m, mn \pm 2) &= \frac{n(m-1)(2mn \pm m \pm 3)}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These results are obtained via (2) and (4). \square

REFERENCES

1. T. M. APOSTOL, Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory. 2nd ed. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 41. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
2. P. BACHMANN, *Niedere Zahlentheorie*, I. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1902.
3. C. MEYER, Über einige Anwendungen Dedekindscher Summen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **198**(1957), 143–203.
4. H. RADEMACHER and E. GROSSWALD, Dedekind sums. *The Carus Mathematical Monographs*, No. 16. The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1972.

(Received 12.09.2006)

Author's address:

Mathematics Department
San Francisco State University
San Francisco, CA 94132
USA
E-mail: robbins@math.sfsu.edu