JOURNAL OF APPLIED ANALYSIS Vol. 11, No. 1 (2005), pp. 35–47

# A GENERALIZED UPPER AND LOWER SOLUTION METHOD FOR SINGULAR DISCRETE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL *p*-LAPLACIAN

### D. Q. JIANG, D. O'REGAN and R. P. AGARWAL

Received February 10, 2003 and, in revised form, June 26, 2003

**Abstract.** This paper presents new existence results for singular discrete boundary value problems for the one-dimension *p*-Laplacian. In particular our nonlinearity may be singular in its dependent variable and is allowed to change sign. Our results are new even for p = 2.

## 1. Introduction

An upper and lower solution theory is presented for the singular discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + q(i) f(i, y(i)) = 0, & i \in N = \{1, \dots, T\} \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ , p > 1,  $T \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $N^+ = \{0, 1, ..., T+1\}$  and  $y: N^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ . Throughout this paper we will assume  $f: N \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is

ISSN 1425-6908 © Heldermann Verlag.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B16, 39A10.

Key words and phrases. Upper and lower solutions, discrete boundary value problem, existence, singular.

The work was supported by NNSF of China.

continuous. As a result our nonlinearity f(i, u) may be singular at u = 0 and may change sign.

**Remark 1.1.** Recall that a map  $f: N \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous if it is continuous as a map of the topological space  $N \times (0, \infty)$  into the topological space  $\mathbb{R}$ . Throughout this paper the topology on N will be the discrete topology.

We will let  $C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  denote the class of maps u continuous on  $N^+$  (discrete topology), with norm  $||u|| = \max_{i \in N^+} |u(i)|$ . By a solution to (1.1) we mean a  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  such that y satisfies (1.1) for  $i \in N$  and y satisfies the boundary condition.

The literature on the one-dimensional p-Laplacian (when the nonlinearity is not singular in its dependent variable) is vast; see [18] and the references therein. Also the existence of solutions to singular boundary value problems in the continuous case have been studied in great detail in the literature (see [6, 7, 8, 10, 13] (when p = 2) and [14, 15] and the references therein). However, for the discrete case only a few papers have discussed boundary value problems. For example see [4, 5, 11, 12] (when p = 2) and [16, 17]. In [16] the nonlinearity f(i, u) may be singular at u = 0 and may change sign, and the approach there is based on an argument initiated by Habets and Zanolin in [10]. In this paper a new approach is given which yields a very general existence theory for (1.1). Our results are new even for p = 2. Not suprisingly our results improve considerable the results in [2] (when p = 2) and [16, 17].

## 2. Some preliminary results

In this section we present some results from literature which will be needed in Section 3.

We first state one well known result in [1].

**Lemma 2.1** ([1]). Let  $u \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $u(i) \ge 0$  for  $i \in N^+$ . If  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 y(i-1) + u(i) = 0, & i \in N = \{1, 2, \dots, T\} \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

then

$$y(i) \ge \mu(i) ||y|| \text{ for } i \in N^+;$$

here

$$\mu(i) = \min\left\{\frac{T+1-i}{T+1}, \frac{i}{T}\right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let 
$$[a,b] = \{a, a+1, ..., b\} \subset N$$
. If  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 y(i-1) \le 0, & i \in [a,b] \\ y(a-1) \ge 0, & y(b+1) \ge 0, \end{cases}$$
then  $y(i) \ge 0$  for  $i \in [a-1, b+1] = \{a-1, a, ..., b+1\} \subset N^+$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Of course if b = a then Lemma 2.2 is immediate.

**Proof.** Set

$$Q(i) = y(a-1) + \frac{y(b+1) - y(a-1)}{b-a+2}(i+1-a), \quad i \in [a-1,b+1].$$

Let y(i) = u(i) + Q(i). Then  $\Delta^2 u(i-1) \leq 0$ ,  $i \in [a,b]$  and u(a-1) = u(b+1) = 0. Thus by Lemma 2.1,  $u(i) \geq 0$  for  $i \in [a-1,b+1]$ . Since  $Q(i) \geq 0$ , then  $y(i) \geq 0$  for  $i \in [a-1,b+1]$ .

Lemma 2.3. Let 
$$[a,b] = \{a, a+1, \dots, b\} \subset N$$
. If  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) \leq 0, & i \in [a,b] \\ y(a-1) \geq 0, & y(b+1) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$
then  $y(i) \geq 0$  for  $i \in [a-1, b+1] = \{a-1, a, \dots, b+1\} \subset N^+$ .

**Proof.** Notice  $\Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) \leq 0$  implies  $\Delta^2 y(i-1) \leq 0$  for  $i \in [a, b]$ , so the result follows from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. Let  $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, ..., b\} \subset N$ . If  $u, v \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta u(i-1))) \leq \Delta(\phi(\Delta v(i-1))), & i \in [a, b] \\ u(a-1) \geq v(a-1), & u(b+1) \geq v(b+1), \end{cases}$ then  $u(i) \geq v(i)$  for  $i \in [a-1, b+1] = \{a-1, a, ..., b+1\} \subset N^+$ .

**Proof.** Suppose u(i) < v(i) for some  $i \in [a-1, b+1]$ . Since  $u(a-1) \ge v(a-1)$ ,  $u(b+1) \ge v(b+1)$ , the function w(i) = u(i) - v(i) would have a negative minimum at a point  $i_0 \in [a, b]$ . Hence  $\Delta w(i_0 - 1) \le 0$ , i.e.,  $\Delta u(i_0 - 1) \le \Delta v(i_0 - 1)$ . Notice that

$$\Delta(\phi(\Delta u(i-1))) \le \Delta(\phi(\Delta v(i-1))), \quad i \in [a,b].$$

Sum both sides of the above inequality from  $i_0$  to  $i \in [i_0, b] = \{i_0, ..., b\}$  to get

$$\phi(\Delta u(i)) - \phi(\Delta u(i_0 - 1)) \le \phi(\Delta v(i)) - \phi(\Delta v(i_0 - 1)), \quad \text{for all } i \in [i_0, b],$$

and so we have

$$\phi(\Delta u(i)) - \phi(\Delta v(i)) \le \phi(\Delta u(i_0 - 1)) - \phi(\Delta v(i_0 - 1)), \quad \text{for all } i \in [i_0, b].$$
  
As a result

As a result

$$\Delta w(i) = \Delta u(i) - \Delta v(i) \le 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in [i_0, b],$$

and so  $w(i_0) \ge w(b+1) \ge 0$ , a contradiction.

Consider the discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + F(i,y(i)) = 0, & i \in N = \{1,\dots,T\}\\ y(0) = A, & y(T+1) = B, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where A and B are given real numbers,  $\phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ , p > 1. The following existence principle for problem (2.1) was established in [16, 17].

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose that  $F(i, u): N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and there exists  $h \in C(N, [0, \infty))$  with  $|F(i, u)| \leq h(i)$  for  $i \in N$ . Then (2.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$ .

## 3. Existence theory

In this section we combine the ideas in [9] (when p = 2) and [16] to obtain new results for the singular discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + q(i) f(i, y(i)) = 0, & i \in N = \{1, \dots, T\} \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where our nonlinearity f may change sign. Our main result can be stated immediately.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  be fixed and suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f: N \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}$$
 (3.2)

$$q \in C(N, (0, \infty)) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a function } \alpha \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{with } \alpha(0) = \alpha(T+1) = 0, \ \alpha > 0 \text{ on } N \text{ such} \\ \text{that } q(i) f(i, \alpha(i)) \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))) \text{ for } i \in N \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a function } \beta \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with} \\ \beta(i) \ge \alpha(i) \text{ and } \beta(i) \ge 1/n_0 \text{ for } i \in N^+ \text{ with} \\ q(i) f(i, \beta(i)) \le -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) \text{ for } i \in N. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Then (3.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with  $y(i) \ge \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$ .

**Proof.** We begin with the discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) = q(i) f_{n_0}^{\star}(i, y(i)), & i \in N \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = \frac{1}{n_0}; \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

here

$$f_{n_0}^{\star}(i,y) = \begin{cases} f(i,\alpha(i)), & y \leq \alpha(i) \\ f(i,y), & \alpha(i) \leq y \leq \beta(i) \\ f(i,\beta(i)), & y \geq \beta(i). \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 2.5 we know that (3.6) has a solution  $y_{n_0} \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$ . We first show

$$y_{n_0}(i) \ge \alpha(i), \quad i \in N^+.$$
(3.7)

Suppose (3.7) is not true. Since  $y_{n_0}(0) > \alpha(0) = 0$ ,  $y_{n_0}(T+1) > \alpha(T+1) = 0$ , then there exists  $[a, b] = \{a, a+1, \ldots, b\} \subset N$  such that

$$y_{n_0}(i) < \alpha(i)$$
 on  $[a, b], y_{n_0}(a-1) \ge \alpha(a-1), y_{n_0}(b+1) \ge \alpha(b+1).$ 

Thus for  $i \in [a, b]$ , we have

$$-\Delta(\phi(\Delta y_{n_0}(i-1))) = q(i) f_{n_0}^{\star}(i, y_{n_0}(i)) = q(i) f(i, \alpha(i)) \\ \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta(\alpha(i-1)))).$$

Since  $y_{n_0}(a-1) \ge \alpha(a-1)$ ,  $y_{n_0}(b+1) \ge \alpha(b+1)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $y_{n_0}(i) \ge \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in [a-1,b+1] = \{a-1,a,\ldots,b+1\} \subset N^+$ , a contradiction.

A similar argument shows

$$y_{n_0}(i) \le \beta(i) \quad \text{for } i \in N^+.$$
(3.8)

Thus

$$\alpha(i) \le y_{n_0}(i) \le \beta(i) \quad \text{for } i \in N^+.$$
(3.9)

Now proceed inductively to construct  $y_{n_0+1}, y_{n_0+2}, y_{n_0+3},...$  as follows. Suppose we have  $y_k$  for some  $k \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2,...\}$  with  $\alpha(i) \leq y_k(i) \leq y_{k-1}(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$  (here  $y_{n_0-1} = \beta$ ). Then consider the discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) = q(i) f_{k+1}^{\star}(i, y(i)), & i \in N \\ y(0) = \frac{1}{k+1}; \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

here

$$f_{k+1}^{\star}(i,y) = \begin{cases} f(i,\alpha(i)), & y \le \alpha(i) \\ f(i,y), & \alpha(i) \le y \le y_k(i) \\ f(i,y_k(i)), & y \ge y_k(i). \end{cases}$$

Now Lemma 2.5 guarantees that (3.10) has a solution  $y_{k+1} \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$ , and essentially the same reasoning as above yields

$$\alpha(i) \le y_{k+1}(i) \le y_k(i) \text{ for } i \in N^+.$$
 (3.11)

Thus for each  $n \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, ...\}$  we have

$$\alpha(i) \le y_n(i) \le y_{n-1}(i) \le \dots \le y_{n_0}(i) \le \beta(i) \text{ for } i \in N^+.$$
(3.12)

Bolzano's theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence  $Z_{n_0}$  of integers and a function y with  $y_n$  converging to y on  $N^+$  as  $n \to \infty$  through  $Z_{n_0}$ . Also y(0) = y(T+1) = 0. Now  $y_n, n \in Z_{n_0}$ , satisfies  $y_n(i) \ge \alpha(i) > 0$ for  $i \in N$ . Fix  $i \in N$ , and we obtain

$$\Delta(\phi(\Delta y_n(i-1))) = \phi(\Delta y_n(i)) - \phi(\Delta y_n(i-1))$$
  
=  $\phi(y_n(i+1) - y_n(i)) - \phi(y_n(i) - y_n(i-1))$   
 $\rightarrow \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))), \ i \in N, \ n \in Z_{n_0}, \ n \rightarrow \infty,$ 

and

$$f(i, y_n(i)) \to f(i, y(i)), i \in N, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_0}, n \to \infty.$$

Thus  $\Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + q(i)f(i,y(i)) = 0$  for  $i \in N$ , y(0) = y(T+1) = 0. As a result  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  is a solution to (3.1) and also we have  $\alpha(i) \leq y(i) \leq \beta(i), i \in N^+$ .

Suppose (3.2)–(3.4) hold, and in addition assume the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} q(i) f(i, y) \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))) \\ \text{for } (i, y) \in N \times \{ y \in (0, \infty) \colon y < \alpha(i) \} \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a function } \beta \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with} \\ \beta(i) \geq \frac{1}{n_0} & \text{for } i \in N^+ \text{ with} \\ q(i) f(i, \beta(i)) \leq -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) & \text{for } i \in N. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

Then the result in Theorem 3.1 is again true. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 once we show  $\beta(i) \geq \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$ . Suppose it is false. Since  $\beta(0) > \alpha(0) = 0, \beta(T+1) > \alpha(T+1) = 0$ , then there exists  $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\} \subset N$  such that

$$\beta(i) < \alpha(i)$$
 on  $[a, b]$ ,  $\beta(a-1) \ge \alpha(a-1)$ ,  $\beta(b+1) \ge \alpha(b+1)$ .

Thus for  $i \in [a, b]$ , we have

$$q(i) f(i, \beta(i)) \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta \alpha(i-1))),$$

and therefore

$$-\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta(\alpha(i-1))), \quad i \in [a,b].$$

Since  $\beta(a-1) \geq \alpha(a-1)$ ,  $\beta(b+1) \geq \alpha(b+1)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\beta(i) \geq \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in [a-1,b+1] = \{a-1,a,\ldots,b+1\} \subset N^+$ , a contradiction. Thus we have

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  be fixed and suppose (3.2)–(3.4), (3.13) and (3.14) hold. Then (3.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with  $y(i) \ge \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$ .

Next we discuss how to construct the lower solution  $\alpha$  in (3.4) and in (3.13). Suppose the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \text{let } n \in \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\} \text{ and associated with each } n \\ \text{there exists a constant } k_0 > 0 \text{ such that for } i \in N \\ \text{and } 0 < y \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ we have } q(i) f(i, y) \geq k_0. \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

Let  $\alpha(i) = kv(i), i \in N^+$ , where  $v \in C(N^+, [0, \infty))$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta v(i-1))) + 1 = 0, & i \in N = \{1, \dots, T\} \\ v(0) = v(T+1) = 0; \end{cases}$$
(3.16)

here

$$0 < k < \min\left\{ [k_0]^{1/(p-1)}, \frac{1}{n_0||v||} \right\}.$$

Since  $\Delta(\phi(\Delta v(i-1))) < 0$  implies  $\Delta^2 v(i-1) < 0$  for  $i \in N$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $v(i) \geq \mu(i)||v||$  for  $i \in N^+$ . Thus,  $\alpha(i) \leq 1/n_0$ ,  $-\Delta(\phi(\Delta \alpha(i-1))) = k^{p-1} \leq k_0$ ,  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(T+1) = 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  for  $i \in N$ , so (3.4) and (3.13) hold, since

$$q(i)f(i,y) \ge k_0 \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))), \quad \text{for } i \in N, \ 0 < y < \alpha(i).$$

and

$$q(i)f(i,\alpha(i)) \ge k_0 \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))), \quad i \in N$$

We combine this with Corollary 3.2 to obtain our next result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  be fixed and suppose (3.2), (3.3), (3.14), and (3.15) hold. Then (3.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with y(i) > 0 for  $i \in N$ .

Looking at Theorem 3.3 we see that the main difficulty when discussing examples is the construction of the  $\beta$  in (3.14). Our next result replaces (3.14) with a growth condition which is natural from an application viewpoint and easy to check in practice. We first present the result in its full generality. **Theorem 3.3.** Let  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  be fixed and suppose (3.2)–(3.4) hold. Also assume the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} |f(i,y)| \le g(y) + h(y) \text{ on } N \times (0,\infty) \text{ with} \\ g > 0 \text{ continuous and nonincreasing on } (0,\infty) \\ and h \ge 0 \text{ continuous on } [0,\infty) \\ \frac{h}{g} \text{ nondecreasing on } (0,\infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.17)

Also suppose there exists a constant  $M > \sup_{i \in N^+} \alpha(i)$  with

$$b_0 < \frac{1}{\phi^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right)} \int_0^M \frac{dy}{\phi^{-1}(g(y))}$$
(3.18)

holding; here

.

$$b_0 = \max_{i \in N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^i \phi^{-1}(\sum_{z=j}^i q(z)), \sum_{j=i}^T \phi^{-1}(\sum_{z=i}^j q(z)) \right).$$

Then (3.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with  $y(i) \ge \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon < M$ , with

$$\frac{1}{\phi^{-1}\left(1+\frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{M} \frac{dy}{\phi^{-1}(g(y))} > b_0.$$
(3.19)

Without loss of generality assume  $1/n_0 < \varepsilon$ . We consider the discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + q(i)g(y(i))\left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) = 0, \ i \in N, \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = \frac{1}{n_0}. \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

First we consider the modified discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + q(i)g^*(y(i))\left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) = 0, \ i \in N, \\ y(0) = y(T+1) = \frac{1}{n_0}; \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

here

$$g^{\star}(y) = \begin{cases} g\left(\frac{1}{n_0}\right), & y \leq \frac{1}{n_0} \\ g(y), & y \geq \frac{1}{n_0}. \end{cases}$$

Now  $|g^{\star}(y)| = g^{\star}(y) \leq g(1/n_0)$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , so Lemma 2.5 guarantees that (3.21) has a solution  $\beta \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $u(i) = \beta(i) - 1/n_0$  for  $i \in N^+$ . Then  $\Delta(\phi(\Delta u(i-1))) = \Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) \leq 0$  for  $i \in N$ , and u(0) = u(T+1) = 0. Lemma 2.3 guarantees that  $u(i) \geq 0$ , and so  $\beta(i) \geq 1/n_0$  for  $i \in N^+$ . Then  $\beta$  is a solution to problem (3.20) also.

Now we claim that  $\alpha(i) \leq \beta(i) \leq M, i \in N^+$ . First we show

$$\beta(i) \ge \alpha(i), \quad i \in N^+. \tag{3.22}$$

Suppose (3.22) is false. Since  $\beta(0) = \beta(T+1) = 1/n_0 > \alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = 0$ , then there exists  $[a, b] = \{a, a+1, \dots, b\} \subset N$  such that

$$\beta(i) < \alpha(i)$$
 on  $[a, b]$ ,  $\beta(a-1) \ge \alpha(a-1)$ ,  $\beta(b+1) \ge \alpha(b+1)$ .

Thus for  $i \in [a, b]$ , we have from (3.20) and  $M > \sup_{i \in N^+} \alpha(i)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) &= q(i)g(\beta(i))(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}) \\ &\geq q(i)g(\alpha(i))(1 + \frac{h(\alpha(i))}{g(\alpha(i))}) \\ &\geq q(i)f(i,\alpha(i)) \geq -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta(a-1) \geq \alpha(a-1)$ ,  $\beta(b+1) \geq \alpha(b+1)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\beta(i) \geq \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in [a-1, b+1] = \{a-1, a, \dots, b+1\} \subset N^+$ , a contradiction.

Next we show

$$\beta(i) \le M, \quad i \in N^+. \tag{3.23}$$

Since  $\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(i-1))) \leq 0$  on N implies  $\Delta^2\beta(i-1) \leq 0$  on N, then  $\beta(i) \geq 1/n_0$  on  $N^+$  and there exists  $i_0 \in N$  with  $\Delta\beta(i) \geq 0$  on  $[0, i_0) = \{0, 1, \ldots, i_0 - 1\}$  and  $\Delta\beta(i) \leq 0$  on  $[i_0, T + 1) = \{i_0, i_0 + 1, \ldots, T\}$ , and  $\beta(i_0) = ||\beta||$ .

Also notice that for  $z \in N$ , we have

$$-\Delta(\phi(\Delta\beta(z-1))) = g(\beta(z))\left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right)q(z).$$
(3.24)

We sum the equation (3.24) from j + 1 ( $0 \le j < i_0$ ) to  $i_0$  to obtain

$$\phi(\Delta\beta(j)) = \phi(\Delta\beta(i_0)) + \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) \sum_{z=j+1}^{i_0} g(\beta(z))q(z).$$

Since  $\Delta\beta(i_0) \leq 0$ , and  $\beta(z) \geq \beta(j+1)$  when  $j+1 \leq z \leq i_0$ , we have

$$\phi[\Delta\beta(j)] \le g(\beta(j+1)) \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) \sum_{z=j+1}^{i_0} q(z), \quad j < i_0,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\Delta\beta(j)}{\phi^{-1}(g(\beta(j+1)))} \le \phi^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right)\phi^{-1}\left(\sum_{z=j+1}^{i_0} q(z)\right), \ j < i_0.$$
(3.25)

Since  $g(\beta(j+1)) \leq g(u) \leq g(\beta(j))$  for  $\beta(j) \leq u \leq \beta(j+1)$  when  $j < i_0$ , we have

$$\int_{\beta(j)}^{\beta(j+1)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \frac{\Delta\beta(j)}{\phi^{-1}(g(\beta(j+1)))}, \quad j < i_0.$$
(3.26)

It follows from (3.25) and (3.26) that

$$\int_{\beta(j)}^{\beta(j+1)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \phi^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) \phi^{-1} \left(\sum_{z=j+1}^{i_0} q(z)\right), \quad j < i_0,$$

and then we sum the above from 0 to  $i_0-1$  to obtain

$$\int_{1/n_0}^{\beta(i_0)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \phi^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{z=j+1}^{i_0} q(z) \right)$$
$$= \phi^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{i_0} \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{z=j}^{i_0} q(z) \right). \tag{3.27}$$

Similarly, we sum the equation (3.24) from  $i_0$  to j ( $i_0 \le j < T + 1$ ) to obtain

$$-\phi(\Delta\beta(j)) = -\phi(\Delta\beta(i_0 - 1)) + \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) \sum_{z=i_0}^{j} g(\beta(z))q(z), \ s \ge t_0.$$

Since  $\Delta\beta(i_0-1) \ge 0$ , we have

$$\frac{-\Delta\beta(j)}{\phi^{-1}(g(\beta(j)))} \le \phi^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right)\phi^{-1}(\sum_{z=i_0}^j q(z)), \quad j \ge i_0.$$

So we have

$$\int_{\beta(j+1)}^{\beta(j)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \frac{-\Delta\beta(j)}{\phi^{-1}(g(\beta(j)))} \le \phi^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right) \phi^{-1}(\sum_{z=i_0}^j q(z)), \ j \ge i_0,$$

and then we sum the above from  $i_0$  to T to obtain

$$\int_{1/n_0}^{\beta(i_0)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \phi^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)} \right) \sum_{j=i_0}^T \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{z=i_0}^j q(z) \right).$$
(3.28)

Now (3.27) and (3.28) imply

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\beta(i_0)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le \int_{1/n_0}^{\beta(i_0)} \frac{du}{\phi^{-1}(g(u))} \le b_0 \phi^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right).$$

This together with (3.19) implies  $||\beta|| = \beta(i_0) \le M$ .

Observe that

$$f(i,\beta(i)) \le g(\beta(i)) \left(1 + \frac{h(\beta(i))}{g(\beta(i))}\right)$$
$$\le g(\beta(i)) \left(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}\right), \quad i \in N.$$

Thus we have  $\beta(i) \ge 1/n_0$  and  $\beta(i) \ge \alpha(i)$  for  $i \in N^+$  with

$$-\Delta(\phi(\Delta(\beta(i-1))) = q(i)g(\beta(i))(1 + \frac{h(M)}{g(M)}) \ge q(i)f(i,\beta(i)), \ i \in N,$$

so that  $\beta(i)$  satisfies (3.5). The result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Combining Theorem 3.4 with the comments before Theorem 3.3 yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  be fixed and suppose (3.2), (3.3), (3.15) and (3.17) hold. In addition assume there is a constant M > 0 with (3.18) holding. Then (3.1) has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with y(i) > 0 for  $i \in N$ .

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 3.4 once we show there exists  $\alpha \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  such that (3.4) hold, and

$$M > \alpha(i)$$
 for each  $i \in N^+$ . (3.29)

Let  $\alpha(i) = kv(i), i \in N^+$ , where v is defined by (3.16), and

$$0 < k < \min\left\{ [k_0]^{1/(p-1)}, \frac{1}{n_0 ||v||}, \frac{M}{||v||} \right\}$$

Thus,  $\alpha(i) \leq 1/n_0$ ,  $-\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))) = k^{p-1} \leq k_0$ ,  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(T+1) = 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  for  $i \in N$  with (3.4) holding, since

$$q(i)f(i,\alpha(i)) \ge k_0 \ge -\Delta(\phi(\Delta\alpha(i-1))), \quad i \in N.$$

Then  $\alpha \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  and (3.4), and (3.29) hold.

Next we present an example which illustrates how easily the theory is applied in practice.

## Example 3.1. The boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\phi(\Delta y(i-1))) + \sigma([y(i)]^{-\alpha} + [y(i)]^{\beta} + \sin\frac{8\pi i}{T}), & i \in N\\ y(0) = y(T+1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

with  $\alpha > 0, \ \beta \ge 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$  has a solution  $y \in C(N^+, \mathbb{R})$  with y(i) > 0 for  $i \in N$ , if

$$\sigma < \left[\frac{p-1}{b_1(\alpha+p-1)}\right]^{p-1} \sup_{c \in (0,\infty)} \frac{c^{\alpha+p-1}}{1+c^{\alpha}+c^{\alpha+\beta}};$$
(3.31)

here

$$b_1 = \max_{i \in N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{1/(p-1)}, \sum_{j=i}^{T} (j-i+1)^{1/(p-1)} \right).$$

To see this we will apply Theorem 3.5 with

$$q(i) = \sigma, \quad g(u) = u^{-\alpha}, \quad h(u) = u^{\beta} + 1.$$

Clearly (3.2), (3.3), (3.15) and (3.17) hold. Also notice that (3.31) implies that there exists M > 0 such that

$$\sigma < \left[\frac{p-1}{b_1(\alpha+p-1)}\right]^{p-1} \frac{M^{\alpha+p-1}}{1+M^{\alpha}+M^{\alpha+\beta}};$$

and so (3.18) holds.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied so existence is guaranteed.

**Remark 3.1.** If  $\beta then (3.31) is automatically satisfied.$ 

#### References

- Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Nonpositive discrete boundary value problems, Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2000), 207–215.
- [2] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Singular discrete boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999), 127–131.
- [3] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Boundary value problems for discrete equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 10 (1997), 83–89.
- [4] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Singular discrete (n, p) boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999), 113–119.
- [5] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Wong, P. J. Y., Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [6] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Singular initial and boundary value problems with sign changing nonlinearities, IMA J. Appl. Math. 65 (2000), 173–198.
- [7] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Some new existence results for singular problems with sign changing nonlinearities, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 113 (2000), 1–15.
- [8] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., Existence of positive solutions for singular initial and boundary value problems via the classical upper and lower solution approach, Nonlinear Anal. 50 (2002), 215–222.
- [9] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., An upper and lower solution theory for singular Emden-Fowler equations, Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. 3 (2002), 275–291.
- [10] Habets, P., Zanolin, F., Upper and lower solutions for a generalized Emden-Fowler equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 181 (1994), 684–700.

- [11] Henderson, J., Singular boundary value problems for difference equations, Dynam. Systems Appl. 1 (1992), 271–282.
- [12] Henderson, J., Singular boundary value problems for higher order difference equations, World Congress on Nonlinear Analysts 1992 (Tampa, FL, August 1992), Vol I-IV, 1139–1150, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [13] Jiang, D. Q., Upper and lower solutions for a superlinear singular boundary value problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 41 (2001), 563–569.
- [14] Jiang, D. Q., Upper and lower solutions method and a superlinear singular boundary value problem for the one-dimension p-Laplacian, Comput. Math. Appl. 42 (2001), 927–940.
- [15] Jiang, D. Q., Gao, W., Upper and lower solution method and a singular boundary value problem for the one-dimension p-Laplacian, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 252 (2000), 631–648.
- [16] Jiang, D. Q., Pang, P. Y. H., Agarwal, R. P., Upper and lower solutions method and a superlinear singular discrete boundary value problem, Dynam. Systems Appl. (to appear).
- [17] Jiang, D. Q., Zhang, L., O'Regan, D., Agarwal, R. P., Existence theory for single and multiple solutions to singular positone discrete Dirichlet boundary value problems to the one-dimensional p-Laplacian, Arch. Math. 40 (2004), 367–381.
- [18] Manasevich, R., Zanolin, F., Time mappings and multiplicity of solutions for the one-dimensional p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 21 (1993), 269–291.

DAQING JIANG DONAL O'REGAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND CHANGCHUN 130024 GALWAY P. R. CHINA IRELAND E-MAIL: DONAL.OREGAN@UNIGALWAY.IE

RAVI P. AGARWAL DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MELBOURNE, FL 32901-6975 USA E-MAIL: AGARWAL@FIT.EDU