# Quasi-convex Functions and Quasi-monotone Operators

## Vladimir L. Levin<sup>1</sup>

Central Economics and Mathematics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Krasikova St 32, Moscow 117418, Russia. e-mail: vl\_levin@cemi.msk.su

Received 7 April 1994 Revised manuscript received 17 October 1994

#### Dedicated to R. T. Rockafellar on his 60th Birthday

The notions of a quasi-monotone operator and of a cyclically quasi-monotone operator are introduced, and relations between such operators and quasi-convex functions are established.

Keywords: quasi-convex function, monotone and cyclically monotone operators, quasi-monotone and cyclically quasi-monotone operators, revealed preference axioms in mathematical economics

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A51, 26E15, 47H05, 90A40, 90C26

### 1. Introduction

Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex space, X a convex set in it, and  $E^*$  the dual space. We say an operator  $f: X \to E^*$  is quasi-monotone if

$$\min\{\langle y - x, f(x) \rangle, \langle x - y, f(y) \rangle\} \le 0 \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , and cyclically quasi-monotone if

$$\min\{\langle x_{i+1} - x_i, f(x_i) \rangle : i = 0, \dots, k\} \le 0$$
(1.2)

for all integer k and all cycles  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1} = x_0$  in X. Such operators are closely related to the so-called demand functions in mathematical economics [4]. Denote  $X = \operatorname{int} \mathbb{R}^n_+$  and suppose that there exists the single solution d(p) for the extremal problem

$$u(q) \to \max, \quad pq \le 1,$$

where an increasing utility function u on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  is assumed to be given, and a price vector  $p \in X$  is considered as a parameter. The demand function d, showing demand for n products

ISSN 0944-6532 / \$ 2.50 (c) Heldermann Verlag

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I thank the International Science Foundation and the George Soros Cultural Initiative Foundation for their support in part of the research presented in this publication.

as a vector function of their prices, satisfies the strong axiom of revealed preference due to Houthakker: no cycle  $p^0, p^1, \ldots, p^k, p^{k+1} = p^0$  can exist in X such that

$$(p^{i+1} - p^i)d(p^i) \le 0, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

and  $d(p^i) \neq d(p^j)$  for at least one pair of indices i, j = 0, ..., k with  $i \neq j$ . The same condition for k = 1 only is known as the weak axiom of revealed preference due to Samuelson. It is easily seen that each axiom implies the corresponding quasi-monotonicity property for the operator  $f = -d : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the strong axiom implies (1.2), and the weak axiom implies (1.1).

The simplest examples of quasi-monotone (resp. cyclically quasi-monotone) operators are monotone (resp. cyclically monotone) ones. Their definitions are obtained by replacing minima with sums in (1.1) and (1.2) respectively.

The notion of a (not necessarily single-valued) monotone operator was first proposed by Kachurovskii [1] (see also [2, 3]), and properties and applications of such operators were studied later by many authors. Cyclically monotone operators were introduced and investigated by Rockafellar [6–9].

Given a smooth function u on a convex domain, characterizations of its convexity in terms of monotonicity or cyclical monotonicity of gradu are known as follows:

u is convex  $\Leftrightarrow$  gradu is monotone  $\Leftrightarrow$  gradu is cyclically monotone.

The goal of the present paper is to get similar characterization theorems connecting quasiconvex functions with quasi-monotone and cyclically quasi-monotone operators.

#### 2. Characterization theorems

Let X be a convex set in a real vector space. Recall that a function  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}^1$  is said to be *quasi-convex* if its sublevel sets

$$\{x: u(x) \le \alpha\}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1,$$

are convex or, which is the same thing, if

$$u((1-t)x + ty) \le \max\{u(x), u(y)\}$$

whenever  $x, y \in X, 0 < t < 1$ .

It follows from this definition that u is quasi-convex if and only if all the functions  $\varphi(u, x, y; \cdot), x, y \in X$ , on the segment [0, 1] are so, where

$$\varphi(u, x, y; t) := u((1 - t)x + ty).$$
(2.1)

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a convex open set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E, and suppose that a function  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}^1$  has two properties as follows:

(i) u is Gâteaux differentiable on X, i.e. for every  $x \in X$  there exists an element  $\operatorname{grad} u(x) \in E^*$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{u(x+th) - u(x)}{t} = \langle h, \operatorname{grad} u(x) \rangle \quad \text{for all } h \in E;$$
(2.2)

(ii) for every  $x, y \in X$  the function  $\varphi(u, x, y; \cdot)$  given by (2.1) is absolutely continuous on [0, 1].

The following assertions are then equivalent:

- (a) *u* is quasi-convex,
- (b) the operator gradu is cyclically quasi-monotone,
- (c) the operator gradu is quasi-monotone.

**Remark 2.2.** Assumptions (i) and (ii) are clearly satisfied if u is  $C^1$ . For the finitedimensional case of the theorem, see also [5, Proposition 3.1].

Before to pass on to a more general characterization theorem, let us formulate two assumptions, (D1) and (D2), on a function  $u : X \to \mathbb{R}^1$ , where X is a convex set in a vector space. The assumptions are expressed in terms of the functions  $\varphi(u, x, y; \cdot)$  (see (2.1)) as follows:

(D1) for every  $x, y \in X$  and every  $t, 0 \le t < 1$ , there exists the right derivative

$$D\varphi(u, x, y; t) := \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(u, x, y; t + \Delta t) - \varphi(u, x, y; t)}{\Delta t};$$

(D2) for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\varphi(u, x, y; \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous on [0, 1]. It follows from (D1) and (D2) that

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{D}\varphi(u, x, y; t) \, dt = u(y) - u(x) \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in X.$$
(2.3)

Note that if (D1) holds, then for every  $x, y \in X$  the directional derivative is defined as follows:

$$u'(x, y - x) := D\varphi(u, x, y; 0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{u(x + t(y - x)) - u(x)}{t}.$$
 (2.4)

**Theorem 2.3.** Let X be a convex subset in a vector space and  $u : X \to \mathbb{R}^1$  satisfy (D1) and (D2). The following assertions are then equivalent:

(a) *u* is quasi-convex;

(b) for every integer k and every cycle  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1} = x_0$  in X, the inequality

$$\min\{u'(x_i, x_{i+1} - x_i) : i = 0, \dots, k\} \le 0$$

holds;

(c) for every  $x, y \in X$ , the inequality

$$\min\{u'(x, y - x), u'(y, x - y)\} \le 0$$

holds.

**Remark 2.4.** Similarly to the case of cyclically monotone operators, the notion of cyclical quasi-monotonicity can be generalized in the natural way on multivalued operators. A well-known result of Rockafellar [6,9] asserts that subdifferentials of proper

semi-continuous convex functions are characterized as maximal cyclically monotone operators. Here a multivalued cyclically monotone (resp. cyclically quasi-monotone) operator f is called maximal if no cyclically monotone (resp. cyclically quasi-monotone) operator g exists with  $\operatorname{gr} f \subset \operatorname{gr} g$ . This Rockafellar's characterization theorem cannot be generalized on quasi-convex functions, because for any (single-valued) cyclically quasi-monotone operator f, the operator  $g(x) := \{\alpha f(x) : \alpha \ge 0\}$  is cyclically quasi-monotone as well, and  $\operatorname{gr} f \subset \operatorname{gr} g$  provided  $f \not\equiv 0$ . It follows that for every non-constant smooth quasiconvex function u the single-valued cyclically quasi-monotone operator  $f = \operatorname{grad} u$  is not maximal.

## 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Observe that if u is Gâteaux differentiable on X, then (D1) holds and  $u'(x, y - x) = \langle y - x, \operatorname{grad} u(x) \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Theorem 2.1 is then a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3** (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). If (b) fails, then for some cycle  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1} = x_0$  in X,

$$u'(x_i, x_{i+1} - x_i) > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

We have  $D\varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; 0) > 0$ , so

$$\varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; 0) < \varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; t) \quad \text{for small } t > 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and as  $\varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; \cdot)$  is quasi-convex on [0, 1], it follows from (3.1) that

$$\varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; 0) < \varphi(u, x_i, x_{i+1}; 1),$$

i.e.  $u(x_i) < u(x_{i+1})$ . We obtain a contradictory chain of inequalities

$$u(x_0) < u(x_1) < \ldots < u(x_k) < u(x_0),$$

and the contradiction means that (b) is true.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Obvious.

(c) ⇒(a). Suppose u is not quasi-convex. There exist then  $\ x,y \in X \ \text{ and } t_0, \ 0 < t_0 < 1,$  such that

$$u((1-t_0)x + t_0y) > \max\{u(x), u(y)\}.$$
(3.2)

We claim that there exist  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_0 < t_2 < 1$ , such that

$$D\varphi(u, x, y; t_1) > 0 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$D\varphi(u, y, x; 1 - t_2) > 0.$$
 (3.4)

Indeed, if  $D\varphi(u, x, y; t) \leq 0$  for all t,  $0 < t < t_0$ , then, taking into account (2.3) and the identity

$$\varphi(u, x, (1-t_0)x + t_0y; t) = \varphi(u, x, y; t_0t),$$

,

we obtain

$$u((1-t_0)x + t_0y) = u(x) + \int_0^1 D\varphi(u, x, (1-t_0)x + t_0y; t) dt$$
  
=  $u(x) + \int_0^1 D\varphi(u, x, y; t_0t) dt$   
=  $u(x) + \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} D\varphi(u, x, y; \tau) d\tau \le u(x),$ 

which contradicts (3.2).

Similarly, if  $D\varphi(u, y, x; t) \leq 0$  for all  $t, 0 < t < 1 - t_0$ , then

$$u((1-t_0)x + t_0y) = u(y) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}\varphi(u, y, (1-t_0)x + t_0y; t) dt$$
  
=  $u(y) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}\varphi(u, y, x; (1-t_0)t) dt$   
=  $u(y) + \frac{1}{1-t_0} \int_0^{1-t_0} \mathcal{D}\varphi(u, y, x; \tau) d\tau \le u(y)$ 

which again contradicts (3.2). The claim is thus proved. Set now

$$x_k := (1 - t_k)x + t_k y, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

and, by using (3.3) (3.4) and the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, x_1, x_2; t) &= \varphi(u, x, y; \ t_1 + t(t_2 - t_1)), \\ \varphi(u, x_2, x_1; t) &= \varphi(u, y, x; \ 1 - t_2 + t(t_2 - t_1)), \end{aligned}$$

one obtains

$$u'(x_1, x_2 - x_1) = D\varphi(u, x_1, x_2; 0) = (t_2 - t_1) D\varphi(u, x, y; t_1) > 0,$$
  
$$u'(x_2, x_1 - x_2) = D\varphi(u, x_2, x_1; 0) = (t_2 - t_1) D\varphi(u, y, x; 1 - t_2) > 0,$$

hence

$$\min\{u'(x_1, x_2 - x_1), u'(x_2, x_1 - x_2)\} > 0,$$

a contradiction with (c).

Remark : After submitting the present paper, in November 1994, I have seen a manuscript by Aussel, Corvellec and Lassonde [10], where some related results on connections between (non-differentiable) quasi-convex functions and multivalued quasi-monotone operators in Banach spaces are proved in a different way.

#### References

- R. I. Kachurovskii: On monotone operators and convex functionals, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, 1960, Vol. 15, 4, 213–215; Uchenye Zapiski Moskovskogo Oblastn. Pedagog. Inst. 1962, 231–243, Russian
- [2] R. I. Kachurovskii : Non-linear operators of bounded variation, monotone and convex operators in Banach spaces, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, 1966, Vol. 21, 5, 256–257, Russian
- [3] R. I. Kachurovskii : Non-linear monotone operators in Banach spaces, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, 1968, Vol. 23, 2, 121–168, Russian
- [4] S. Karlin : Mathematical Methods and Theory in Games, Programming, and Economics, Pergamon Press, London–Paris, 1959
- [5] V. L. Levin : Reduced cost functions and their applications, Journal of Mathematical Economics (to appear)
- [6] R. T. Rockafellar : Characterization of the subdifferentials of convex functions, Pacific J. Math., 1966, Vol. 17, 497–510
- [7] R. T. Rockafellar : Convex functions, monotone operators and variational inequalities, in: Theory and Applications of Monotone Operators, A. Ghizzetti, 1969, 35–65 Tipografia Oderisi Editrice, Gubbio Italy
- [8] R. T. Rockafellar : Convex Analysis, Princeton University Press, 1970
- R. T. Rockafellar : On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings, Pacific J. Math, 1970, Vol. 33, 209–216
- [10] D. Aussel, J.-N. Corvellec, M. Lassonde : Subdifferential characterization of quasiconvexity and convexity, Journal of Convex Analysis, 1994, Vol. 1, No. 2, 195–201.