# $\Gamma$ -Convergence for the Irrigation Problem

Sunra J.N. Mosconi

Scuola Normale Superiore, 56126 Pisa, Italy mosconi@sns.it

# Paolo Tilli

Scuola Normale Superiore, 56126 Pisa, Italy tilli@sns.it

Received April 16, 2003 Revised manuscript received February 18, 2004

In this paper we study the asymptotics of the functional  $F(\gamma) = \int f(x) d_{\gamma}(x)^p dx$ , where  $d_{\gamma}$  is the distance function to  $\gamma$ , among all connected compact sets  $\gamma$  of given length, when the prescribed length tends to infinity. After properly scaling, we prove the existence of a  $\Gamma$ -limit in the space of probability measures, thus retrieving information on the asymptotics of minimal sequences.

## 1. Introduction

Assume  $\Omega$  is a bounded, connected open set with Lipschitz boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $\Sigma(\Omega)$  denote the class of all compact, connected sets  $\gamma \subset \overline{\Omega}$  of finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma)$  (we will often refer to this quantity as the "length of  $\gamma$ "). The so called "irrigation problem", i.e. the problem of minimizing  $\int_{\Omega} d_{\gamma}(x) dx$ , the integral of the distance function to  $\gamma$ , among all  $\gamma \in \Sigma(\Omega)$  of prescribed length  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) = l$  was considered in [6] in connection with mass transportation problems (see [1]). In particular, the problem of studying the asymptotics of the minimizers as  $l \to \infty$  was raised in [6]. In this paper, we study the asymptotics as  $l \to \infty$  of the functionals

$$F_l(\gamma) = \begin{cases} l^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} f(x) \, d_{\gamma}(x)^p \, dx, & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma(\Omega) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) = l, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Throughout,  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  is a non negative given function,  $d_{\gamma}$  denotes the distance function to the set  $\gamma$  and p > 0 is a given number. The term  $l^{\frac{p}{d-1}}$  is a normalization which prevents the functionals to degenerate (indeed, in [6] it was proved that, when p = 1,  $\min_{\gamma} F_l(\gamma) = O(l^{-1/(d-1)})$  as  $l \to \infty$ ).

A direct link to mass transportation problems is provided by the observation ([4]) that, for any set  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $p \ge 1$ , there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) d_{\gamma}(x)^{p} dx = \inf \left\{ W_{p}(f,\nu)^{p} \mid \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \, \nu(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \int_{\Omega} f, \, \operatorname{spt} \nu \subset \gamma \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the space of finite measures and  $W_p$  is the Wasserstein distance between measures of equal mass (see [1]). Our main result concerns the asymptotics as  $l \to \infty$  of the functionals  $F_l$ , and can be stated in terms of  $\Gamma$ -convergence: we refer the reader to [5] for an introduction to this subject and for the terminology related to  $\Gamma$ -convergence (see also [7]). To this purpose, it is convenient to associate with  $\gamma \in \Sigma(\Omega)$  the probability

ISSN 0944-6532 / \$2.50 © Heldermann Verlag

measure  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma)^{-1}\mathcal{H}^1 \sqcup \gamma$  (i.e. normalized Hausdorff measure restricted to  $\gamma$ ) and regard  $F_l$  as a functional defined on  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ , the space of probability measures supported in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , as follows:

$$F_{l}(\mu) = \begin{cases} l^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d_{\gamma}(x)^{p} dx, & \text{if } \mu = l^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{1} \sqsubseteq \gamma \text{ for some} \\ \gamma \in \Sigma(\Omega) \text{ such that } \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) = l, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

**Theorem 1.1.** The functionals  $F_l$  defined in (1)  $\Gamma$ -converge, with respect to the weak-\* topology on  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ , to the functional  $F_{\infty}$  defined on  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$  as

$$F_{\infty}(\mu) = \theta_{d,p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}} dx,$$
(2)

where  $\rho \in L^1(\Omega)$  is the density (Radon-Nikodym derivative) of  $\mu$  with respect to Lebesgue measure, and  $\theta_{d,p}$  is a positive constant which depends only on the dimension d and on the exponent p (the fraction in the integral is understood to be zero at those points x where f(x) and  $\rho(x)$  vanish simultaneously).

The constant  $\theta_{d,p}$  is defined for every  $d \ge 2$  and every p > 0 as follows:

$$\theta_{d,p} = \inf\left\{\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^d} d_{\gamma_n}(x)^p \, dx\right\},\tag{3}$$

where  $I^d = [0, 1]^d$  is the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the infimum is taken over all sequences of sets  $\{\gamma_n\}$  such that  $\gamma_n \in \Sigma(I^d)$  and  $\lim_n \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n) = \infty$ .

Formula (3) does not come as a surprise, if one expects a  $\Gamma$ -limit of the kind (2): indeed, the expression for  $\theta_{d,p}$  can be guessed by suitable localization and scaling arguments that are customary in  $\Gamma$ -convergence results (we refer to [5] for more details along this line).

We point out that we are not able to compute  $\theta_{d,p}$  explicitly except when d = 2 (Theorem 4.4), and hence in dimension d > 2 the  $\Gamma$ -limit (2) is explicit up to a multiplicative constant. This happens also in [4] for a related problem (the so called "location problem"), where connected sets are replaced by finite sets of given cardinality and a different rescaling is adopted. However, in Theorem 4.3 we provide a lower bound for  $\theta_{d,p}$ .

We remark that, using the techniques of this paper, the  $\Gamma$ -convergence result in [4] can be proved without assuming that f is semicontinuous.

Note that the  $\Gamma$ -limit functional  $F_{\infty}$  in (2) has a unique minimizer in  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Indeed,

$$\min_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})} F_{\infty}(\mu) = \min_{\substack{\rho \ge 0\\ \int_{\Omega} \rho \le 1}} \theta_{d,p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}} \, dx = \theta_{d,p} \left( \int_{\Omega} f(x)^{\frac{d-1}{p+d-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p+d-1}{d-1}},$$

obtained choosing  $\rho = f^{(d-1)/(p+d-1)} / \int_{\Omega} f^{(d-1)/(p+d-1)}$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$  is compact with respect to the weak-\* topology, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 (see §7 in [7]) we have the following

Corollary 1.2. There holds

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \min_{\gamma \in \Sigma(\Omega)} F_l(\gamma) = \theta_{d,p} \left( \int_{\Omega} f(x)^{\frac{d-1}{p+d-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+d-1}{d-1}}$$

Moreover, if  $\gamma_n$  is a minimizer of  $F_{l_n}$  and  $l_n \to \infty$ , then the probability measures  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n)^{-1}\mathcal{H}^1 \sqsubseteq \gamma_n$  converge in the weak-\* topology to the probability measure  $\mu = \rho \, dx$  with  $\rho = f^{(d-1)/(p+d-1)} / \int_{\Omega} f^{(d-1)/(p+d-1)}$ .

In the case where  $f \equiv 1$  this corollary formalizes the intuitive idea that, for a sequence of minimizers  $\gamma_n$  of larger and larger length, the "length of  $\gamma_n$  per unit area" should tend to a constant. In fact in two dimensions, it turns out that the comb-shaped sets  $C_n$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.4 are asymptotically optimal when  $\Omega$  is a square, and in the case of a generic domain  $\Omega$  one can build an asymptotically optimal sequence dividing  $\Omega$  into small squares and reproducing the rescaled comb-shaped sets in every square (as one can see from the proof of the  $\Gamma$ -limsup inequality in Section 3). Finding explicit asymptotically optimal sequences remains an open problem, however, in higher dimension.

## 2. Preliminary results

Throughout,  $I^d = [0, 1]^d$  is the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$   $(d \ge 2)$ , |E| denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\Sigma(E)$  denotes the class of all compact, connected sets  $\gamma \subseteq \overline{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) < +\infty$ , and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Given a set  $\Gamma \in \Sigma(I^d)$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  is *tiling* if  $\Gamma \cap \partial I^d$  coincides with the  $2^d$  vertices of  $I^d$ . Moreover, we call *periodic*  $\frac{1}{k}$ -extension of  $\Gamma$  inside  $I^d$  the set

$$\Gamma^k := \bigcup_{\substack{x \in k^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^d \\ x+k^{-1}I^d \subset I^d}} \left(x+k^{-1}\Gamma\right),$$

made of  $k^d$  copies of  $\Gamma$ , scaled to a factor 1/k and fit into  $I^d$  in the usual way. If  $\Gamma$  is tiling, then  $\Gamma^k$  remains connected and

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^k) = k^{d-1} \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma). \tag{4}$$

Moreover, by scaling one can check that

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d^{p}_{\Gamma^{k}} \leq k^{p} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{x \in k^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ x+k^{-1} I^{d} \subset I^{d}}} \int_{k^{-1} I^{d}} d^{p}_{k^{-1} \Gamma} = \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d^{p}_{\Gamma}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Gamma \in \Sigma(I^d)$  be a tiling set, and let  $\Gamma^k$  denote the periodic  $\frac{1}{k}$ -extension of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}}d^{p}_{\Gamma^{k}} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} g \qquad in \ L^{\infty}(I^{d}), \tag{5}$$

where g is a constant such that

$$g \le \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^d} d^p_{\Gamma}.$$
 (6)

Moreover, the probability measures  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^k)^{-1}\mathcal{H}^1 \sqcup \Gamma^k$  converge to the Lebesgue measure, in the weak-\* topology of  $\mathcal{P}(I^d)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (x + \Gamma)$  denote the periodic extension of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $g_k(x) = d_{\widehat{\Gamma}}(kx)$ . It is well known that  $g_k^p \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \widehat{g}$  in  $L^{\infty}(I^d)$  where

$$\widehat{g} = \int_{I^d} d_{\widehat{\Gamma}}^p \le \int_{I^d} d_{\Gamma}^p.$$
(7)

 $\square$ 

One can easily check that  $d_{\Gamma^k}(x) = k^{-1}g_k(x)$  if  $x \in I^d$  and  $1/k < d_{\partial I^d}(x)$ , and hence  $k^p d_{\Gamma^k}^p \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \widehat{g}$  in  $L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(I^d)$ . Combining this with the uniform bound

$$k^p d_{\Gamma^k}(x)^p \le g_k(x) = d_{\widehat{\Gamma}}(kx) \le \|d_{\widehat{\Gamma}}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall x \in I^d,$$

one obtains that in fact  $k^p d_{\Gamma^k}^p \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \widehat{g}$  in  $L^{\infty}(I^d)$ . Therefore, one obtains (5) and (6) using (4), (7) and letting  $g = \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}\widehat{g}$ .

Finally, the last part of the claim is immediate.

**Proposition 2.3.** Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for every l large enough (depending on  $\varepsilon$ ) there exists a set  $C \in \Sigma(I^d)$  such that C is tiling,  $\mathcal{H}^1(C) = l$  and

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(C)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{C}^{p} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p},$$

where  $\theta_{d,p}$  is defined by (3).

**Proof.** Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the definition of  $\theta_{d,p}$  (3) there exists a set  $\gamma \in \Sigma(I^d)$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d^{p}_{\gamma} < (1 + \varepsilon/4) \theta_{d,p}, \qquad (8)$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) + 2^{d}\sqrt{d}}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma)}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} < \frac{1 + \varepsilon/2}{1 + \varepsilon/4}.$$
(9)

Replacing  $\gamma$  with the set  $(1 - 2\delta)\gamma + (\delta, \dots, \delta)$ , where  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  is so small that (8), (9) still hold, we may suppose that  $\gamma \cap \partial I^d = \emptyset$ . Letting  $\Gamma = \gamma \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^d} s_i$ , where  $s_i \subset I^d$  is the shortest segment joining  $\gamma$  to the *i*-th vertex of  $I^d$ , we have that  $\Gamma \in I^d$  is tiling and (8), (9) yield

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{\Gamma}^{p} \leq \left(\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) + 2^{d}\sqrt{d}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{\gamma}^{p} < (1 + \varepsilon/2)\theta_{d,p}.$$
 (10)

If l > 0 is large enough, letting  $k = \lfloor (l/\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma))^{1/(d-1)} \rfloor$  we have

$$l \le \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma)(k+1)^{d-1}, \qquad \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^p \le \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon/2}.$$
(11)

Let  $\Gamma^k$  be the periodic  $\frac{1}{k}$ -extension of  $\Gamma$  inside  $I^d$ : since  $\Gamma$  is tiling by construction, we have from Remark 2.1 and (10)

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k}) = k^{d-1} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma), \quad \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d^{p}_{\Gamma^{k}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon/2) \theta_{d,p}.$$
(12)

To complete the proof, set  $C := \Gamma^k \cup \Delta$ , where  $\Delta \subset I^d$  is any compact set such that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^k \cup \Delta) = l$  and  $\Gamma^k \cup \Delta$  is connected. Then (12), (11) yield

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(C)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{C}^{p} = l^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{\Gamma^{k} \cup \Delta}^{p} \leq \left(\frac{l}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d_{\Gamma^{k}}^{p}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{l}{k^{d-1}\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} (1+\varepsilon/2)\theta_{d,p} \leq \left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{p} (1+\varepsilon/2)\theta_{d,p} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** For every integer  $k \ge 1$ , we call grid of order k the set  $G_k \subset I^d$  of those  $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in I^d$  such that  $kx_i$  is an integer number for every coordinate  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$  except at most one. One can check that  $G_k$  is made of  $d(k+1)^d$  unitary segments, each orthogonal to some face of  $I^d$ . Moreover,  $G_k$  is connected,

$$\mathcal{H}^1(G_k) = d(k+1)^d$$
, and  $d_{G_k}(x) \le \frac{C}{k} \quad \forall x \in I^d$ , (13)

where C depends only on the dimension.

**Lemma 2.5.** Given h points  $y_1, \ldots, y_h$  in the unit cube  $I^d$ , there exists a connected compact set  $E \subset I^d$  such that  $y_i \in E$ ,  $1 \le i \le h$  and moreover

$$\mathcal{H}^1(E) \le Ch^{(d-1)/d},$$

where C depends only on the dimension d.

**Proof.** For  $k \ge 1$ , let  $G_k$  denote the grid of order k (see Definition 2.4). Letting  $F_k = G_k \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^h s_i$ , where  $s_i$  is the shortest segment with one endpoint in  $G_k$  and the other equal to  $y_i$ , (13) yields  $\mathcal{H}^1(s_i) \le C/k$  and hence

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(F_{k}) = \mathcal{H}^{1}(G_{k}) + \sum_{i=1}^{h} \mathcal{H}^{1}(s_{i}) \le C\frac{h}{k} \le d(k+1)^{d-1} + C\frac{h}{k}.$$

Hence, it suffices to let  $E = F_k$  with the optimal choice  $k = \lfloor h^{1/d} \rfloor$ .

The following result is the key step in the proof of the  $\Gamma$ -liminf inequality.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let Q be any closed cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For every sequence  $\{\gamma_n\} \subset \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\lim_n \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n \cap Q) = +\infty$ , there holds

$$\liminf_{n} \left( \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n} \cap Q) \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q} d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} \ge |Q|^{1+\frac{p}{d-1}} \theta_{d,p}.$$
(14)

**Proof.** Take  $\{\gamma_n\}$  as in the statement to be proved and let  $l_n := \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n \cap Q)$ . By scaling and translating, we may suppose that  $Q = I^d$  is the unit cube and furthermore that  $\gamma_n \setminus Q \neq \emptyset$ , because the subsequence of those  $\gamma_n \subseteq Q$  fulfills (14) by the definition of  $\theta_{d,p}$  (see (3)). Moreover, passing to a subsequence, we may suppose that the limit in (14) is a finite limit, and hence that

$$M := \sup_{n} l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_Q d_{\gamma_n}^p < +\infty.$$

$$\tag{15}$$

Pick  $x_n \in Q$  such that  $r_n := d_{\gamma_n}(x_n) = \max_Q d_{\gamma_n}$ . Then clearly  $B(x_n, r_n) \cap \gamma_n = \emptyset$ , and hence

$$\int_{Q} d^{p}_{\gamma_{n}} \ge \int_{Q \cap B(x_{n}, r_{n})} d^{p}_{\gamma_{n}} \ge \int_{Q \cap B(x_{n}, r_{n})} d^{p}_{\partial B(x_{n}, r_{n})} \ge Cr_{n}^{p+d},$$

where C depends only on d and p. Comparing with (15), we find that

$$\max_{Q} d_{\gamma_n} = r_n \le \frac{T}{l_n^{p/(p+d)(d-1)}} \quad \forall n,$$
(16)

where T depends on d, p and M.

Now take a generic  $\gamma \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\gamma \setminus Q \neq \emptyset$ , let  $l := \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma \cap Q) > 0$  and consider the following construction, which will later be repeated for each  $\gamma_n$ . For small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$  denote the union of all connected components of  $\gamma \cap Q$  whose length is at least  $\varepsilon$ , and let  $Q_{\varepsilon}$  denote the cube of side  $1 - \varepsilon$  concentric with Q. We claim that

$$r := \sup_{x \in Q} d_{\gamma}(x) \le \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{\gamma} \equiv d_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} \text{ in } Q_{4\varepsilon}.$$
(17)

Indeed,  $d_{\gamma} \leq d_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}}$  is obvious since  $\gamma^{\varepsilon} \subseteq \gamma$ . To prove the opposite inequality, take any  $x \in Q_{4\varepsilon}$ , and let  $y \in \gamma$  be such that  $|x - y| = d_{\gamma}(x)$ . By  $r \leq \varepsilon$ , we have  $y \in Q$  and, if A is the connected component of  $\gamma \cap Q$  which contains y, we have  $A \cap \partial Q \neq \emptyset$  (recall that  $\gamma$  is connected and  $\gamma \setminus Q \neq \emptyset$ ), and hence

$$\mathcal{H}^1(A) \ge d_{\partial Q}(y) \ge d_{\partial Q}(x) - |x - y| \ge 2\varepsilon - d_{\gamma}(x) \ge 2\varepsilon - r \ge \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $A \subseteq \gamma^{\varepsilon}$  and, since  $y \in A$ , we also have  $d_{\gamma} \geq d_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}}$  in  $Q_{4\varepsilon}$  and (17) follows. Moreover,  $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$  has at most  $l/\varepsilon$  connected components, hence by Lemma 2.5 we can find  $E \subset Q$  such that

$$E \cup \gamma^{\varepsilon}$$
 is connected and  $\mathcal{H}^{1}(E) \leq C\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ , (18)

where C depends only on the dimension. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G_k^{\varepsilon} := G_k \setminus Q_{4\varepsilon}$  where  $G_k$  is the grid of step k (Definition 2.4). One can check that that  $G_k^{\varepsilon}$  is connected and moreover

$$k\varepsilon \ge 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(G_k^{\varepsilon}) \le C\varepsilon k^{d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{Q \setminus Q_{4\varepsilon}} d_{G_k^{\varepsilon}} \le C/k,$$
 (19)

where C depends only on the dimension. Set  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\varepsilon, k) := \gamma^{\varepsilon} \cup E \cup G_k^{\varepsilon} \cup s$ , where  $s \subset Q$  is a segment such that  $\Gamma$  is connected (recall (18)). If we suppose that the right hand sides of the implications (17), (19) are satisfied, we have

$$\int_{Q} d^{p}_{\gamma} \geq \int_{Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{\gamma} = \int_{Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{\gamma^{\varepsilon}} \geq \int_{Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{\Gamma} = \int_{Q} d^{p}_{\Gamma} - \int_{Q \setminus Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{\Gamma} \\
\geq \int_{Q} d^{p}_{\Gamma} - C\varepsilon \sup_{Q \setminus Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{\Gamma} \geq \int_{Q} d^{p}_{\Gamma} - C\varepsilon \sup_{Q \setminus Q_{4\varepsilon}} d^{p}_{G^{\varepsilon}_{k}} \geq \int_{Q} d^{p}_{\Gamma} - C\frac{\varepsilon}{k^{p}},$$
(20)

where C depends only on the dimension. Moreover, we find using (18) and the right hand side of (19)

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma) \leq \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma^{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{H}^{1}(E) + \mathcal{H}^{1}(G_{k}^{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{H}^{1}(s)$$
  
$$\leq \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) + C\left(\frac{l}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} + C\varepsilon k^{d-1} + \operatorname{diam}(Q).$$
(21)

Now, if we perform the above construction with  $\gamma = \gamma_n$ ,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_n(\varepsilon_n, k_n)$ , with the choice  $\varepsilon_n = T/l_n^{\frac{p}{(p+d)(d-1)}}$  (where T is the constant appearing in (16)) and  $k_n = l_n^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$ , then (16) implies that  $r_n \leq \varepsilon_n$ , hence  $d_{\gamma_n} \equiv d_{\gamma_n^{\varepsilon}}$  by (17), and (at least for n large enough since  $l_n \to \infty$ )  $k_n \varepsilon_n \geq 1$ , hence also the inequalities in (19) are available. Therefore, the estimates in (20) carry over to  $\gamma_n$  and  $\Gamma_n$ , and we obtain

$$\lim_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q} d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} \geq \left( \liminf_{n} \frac{l_{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n})} \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \left( \liminf_{n} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q} d_{\Gamma_{n}}^{p} \right) - CT \limsup_{n} l_{n}^{-\frac{p}{(p+d)(d-1)}} \geq \theta_{d,p} \left( \liminf_{n} \frac{l_{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n})} \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}},$$

$$(22)$$

since  $\Gamma_n \subseteq Q$  and  $\Gamma_n$  is connected (recall (3)). To complete the proof, it suffices to observe that (21) yields

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n}) + C\left(\frac{l_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} + C\varepsilon_{n}k_{n}^{d-1} + \operatorname{diam}(Q)$$
$$= l_{n} + \frac{C}{T^{\frac{d-1}{d}}}l_{n}^{1-\frac{1}{p+d}} + CTl_{n}^{1-\frac{p}{(p+d)(d-1)}} + \operatorname{diam}(Q),$$

and hence the last limit in (22) is bounded below by 1.

#### 3. Proof of the $\Gamma$ -convergence result

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. As usual, we define for every probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ 

$$\Gamma^{-}(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n} F_{l_n}(\mu_n) \right\}, \quad \Gamma^{+}(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n} F_{l_n}(\mu_n) \right\}$$

(the so called  $\Gamma$ -limit e  $\Gamma$ -limit point of the sequence of functionals  $F_l$ ), where both infima are taken over all sequences of positive numbers  $l_n \to \infty$  and all sequences of measures  $\{\mu_n\}$  such that  $\mu_n \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow} \mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ . We refer the reader to §1.6 in [5] for the definitions of  $\Gamma$ -limit and  $\Gamma$ -limit point that, since the weak-\* topology on  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$  is metrizable, we can restrict to the sequential definitions of  $\Gamma^-$  and  $\Gamma^+$  (see p. 26 in [5], and §8 in [7]).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into two steps.

**Step 1:**  $\Gamma^{-}(\mu) \geq F_{\infty}(\mu) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega}).$ 

To prove this, take  $\mu \in P(\Omega)$ , a sequence  $\mu_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $l_n \to \infty$ . We have to prove that  $\liminf_n F_{l_n}(\mu_n) \geq F_{\infty}(\mu)$ , hence we may assume (considering

### 152 S. J. N. Mosconi, P. Tilli / Γ-Convergence for the Irrigation Problem

a subsequence) that  $F_{l_n}(\mu_n) < +\infty$  for all n. Due to (1), this reduces to assuming that  $\mu_n = l_n^{-1} \mathcal{H}^1 \sqcup \gamma_n$  for suitable sets  $\gamma_n \in \Sigma(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n) = l_n$ . We first prove that

$$\liminf_{n} \left( \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n}) \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q} f d^{p}_{\gamma_{n}} \ge \theta_{d,p} \int_{Q} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}$$
(23)

for every cube  $Q \subset \Omega$ , where  $\rho$  is the density of  $\mu$  with respect to Lebesgue measure. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that for some cube Q (possibly passing to a subsequence)

$$\exists \lim_{n} \left( \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n}) \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q} f d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} < \theta_{d,p} \int_{Q} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}.$$
 (24)

By scaling and translating, we may assume that  $Q = I^d$  is the unit cube. Take arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $k_n = \left\lfloor \varepsilon l_n^{1/(d-1)} \right\rfloor$  for *n* sufficiently large and consider  $G_{k_n}$ , the grid of order  $k_n$  (see Definition 2.4). Letting  $w_n = l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} d_{\gamma_n \cup G_{k_n}}^p$  we have from the second equation in (13)

$$\sup_{Q} w_n \le l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \sup_{Q} d_{G_{k_n}}^p \le C \left(\frac{l_n^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}{k_n}\right)^p$$

where C depends only on the dimension. Therefore, since  $k_n \sim \varepsilon l_n^{1/(d-1)}$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\|w_n\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \leq C_{\varepsilon}$  uniformly in n. Thus (passing to a subsequence) we may suppose that  $w_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} w$  in  $L^{\infty}(Q)$  for some  $w \in L^{\infty}(Q)$ , and hence

$$\lim_{n} l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_Q f \, d_{\gamma_n}^p \ge \lim_{n} \int_Q f \, w_n = \int_Q f \, w. \tag{25}$$

Seeking a contradiction, we estimate w from below, as follows. Let  $Q_{\delta} \subset Q$  be an arbitrary closed cube of side  $\delta$ , and set  $\Gamma_n := \gamma_n \cup G_{k_n} \cup s_n$ , where  $s_n$  is any segment with one endpoint in  $\gamma_n$  and the other in  $G_n$ , such that  $\Gamma_n$  is connected in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We have

$$\int_{Q_{\delta}} w = \lim_{n} \int_{Q_{\delta}} w_{n} = \lim_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q_{\delta}} d_{\gamma_{n}\cup G_{k_{n}}}^{p} \ge \liminf_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q_{\delta}} d_{\Gamma_{n}}$$

$$\ge \left(\liminf_{n} \frac{l_{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n} \cap Q_{\delta})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \left(\liminf_{n} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n} \cap Q_{\delta})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q_{\delta}} d_{\Gamma_{n}}^{p}\right) \qquad (26)$$

$$\ge \left(\liminf_{n} \frac{l_{n}}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n} \cap Q_{\delta})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \theta_{d,p} |Q_{\delta}|^{1+\frac{p}{d-1}},$$

having used Proposition 2.6 in the last passage. To estimate from below the last liminf, we observe that

$$\mathcal{H}^1(s_n) \le \operatorname{diam}(\Omega), \qquad \lim_n \frac{\mathcal{H}^1(Q_\delta \cap G_{k_n})}{l_n} = d|Q_\delta|\varepsilon^{d-1}$$
 (27)

(the second equation follows easily from the definition of the grid  $G_{k_n}$ , see Definition 2.4, and from  $k_n \sim \varepsilon l_n^{1/(d-1)}$ ). Therefore, using (27)

$$\limsup_{n} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{n} \cap Q_{\delta})}{l_{n}} \leq \limsup_{n} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(s_{n}) + \mathcal{H}^{1}(Q_{\delta} \cap G_{k_{n}}) + \mathcal{H}^{1}(Q_{\delta} \cap \gamma_{n})}{l_{n}}$$
$$= d|Q_{\delta}|\varepsilon^{d-1} + \limsup_{n} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(Q_{\delta} \cap \gamma_{n})}{l_{n}} \leq d|Q_{\delta}|\varepsilon^{d-1} + \mu(Q_{\delta}),$$

since  $Q_{\delta}$  is closed and  $l_n^{-1}\mathcal{H}^1 \sqcup \gamma_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$  by assumption. Therefore, combining the last estimates with (26) we find

$$\frac{1}{|Q_{\delta}|} \int_{Q_{\delta}} w \ge \theta_{d,p} \left( \frac{1}{d\varepsilon^{d-1} + \frac{\mu(Q_{\delta})}{|Q_{\delta}|}} \right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \quad \forall Q_{\delta} \subset Q.$$

Finally, taking  $Q_{\delta}$  centered at  $x \in Q$  and letting  $Q_{\delta}$  shrink around x, we obtain that

$$w(x) \ge \theta_{d,p} \left(\frac{1}{d\varepsilon^{d-1} + \rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}$$
 for a.e.  $x \in Q$ .

Plugging this estimate into (25) yields

$$\lim_{n} l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_Q f \, d_{\gamma_n}^p \ge \theta_{d,p} \int_Q \frac{f}{(d\varepsilon^{d-1} + \rho)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}$$

and, letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we find a contradiction comparing with (24). Thus, (23) is satisfied for every cube  $Q \subset \Omega$ . Now consider any finite family of disjoint cubes  $\{Q_j\}, Q_j \subseteq \Omega$ . We have using (23)

$$\liminf_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} f d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} \geq \liminf_{n} \sum_{j} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q_{j}} f d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} \geq \sum_{j} \liminf_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{Q_{j}} f d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p}$$
$$\geq \theta_{d,p} \sum_{j} \int_{Q_{j}} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}} = \theta_{d,p} \int_{\bigcup_{j} Q_{j}} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}$$

and our claim follows since the family of cubes is arbitrary.

Step 2:  $\Gamma^+(\mu) \leq F_{\infty}(\mu) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega}).$ 

Recalling (1) and (2), we have to prove that, given a probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$  and positive numbers  $l_n \to \infty$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\} \subset \Sigma(\Omega)$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n}) = l_{n}, \qquad \limsup_{n} l_{n}^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}},$$

where  $\rho$  is the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$ .

We first prove this claim under the extra assumption that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous, positive and piecewise constant, namely, we assume that

$$d\mu = \rho \, dx, \qquad \rho = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \rho_j \chi_{E_j}, \quad E_0 = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} E_j, \tag{28}$$

where the  $\rho_j$ 's are positive numbers and the  $E_j$ 's (j > 0) are disjoint open cubes of side  $\delta > 0$ , having vertices on the lattice  $\delta \mathbb{Z}^d$ , such that  $\overline{E_j} \subset \Omega$ . By scaling, we may further assume that  $\delta = 1$ .

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\lambda > 0$  is large enough, then Proposition 2.3 (invoked with  $l = \lambda \rho_j$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, m$ ) yields m + 1 connected compact sets  $C_0, \ldots, C_m$ , such that each  $C_j$  is contained in the unit cube  $I^d$ ,  $C_j$  is tiling and

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(C_{j}) = \lambda \rho_{j}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{1}(C_{j})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^{d}} d(x, C_{j})^{p} \, dx \le (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p}$$
(29)

for all  $j = 0, \ldots, m$ .

For every integer k > 0, set

$$\Gamma^k := \bigcup_{j=0}^m \bigcup_{\substack{x \in k^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^d \\ x+k^{-1}I^d \subset \Omega \cap \overline{E_j}}} \left(x + k^{-1}C_j\right).$$
(30)

Since  $\Omega$  is connected and bounded, the union of all closed cubes having side  $k^{-1}$  and vertices on  $k^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$  is connected for k large enough; therefore, as  $C_j$  is tiling for every j we obtain that  $\Gamma^k$  is connected for large k.

Denoting by  $U_k$  the union of all closed cubes of side  $k^{-1}$ , with vertices on  $k^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$  and contained in  $\Omega_k \cap \overline{E_0}$ , we have from (30), (29), (28)

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k}) = |U_{k}|k^{d}\frac{\lambda\rho_{0}}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{m}k^{d}\frac{\lambda\rho_{j}}{k} = k^{d-1}\lambda\mu\left(U_{k}\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{m}E_{i}\right) \leq k^{d-1}\lambda.$$
(31)

Since  $\partial \Omega$  is Lipschitz hence Lebesgue-negligible, one can easily check that

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}^1 \sqsubseteq \Gamma^k}{\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^k)} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega}).$$
(32)

Now let  $\Gamma_j^k = \Gamma^k \cap \overline{E_j}$ ,  $0 \le j \le m$ . Observing that  $\Gamma_j^k$  is, when  $1 \le j \le m$ , the periodic  $\frac{1}{k}$ -extension of  $C_j$  inside the cube  $E_j$ , from Lemma 2.2 and the inequality in (29) we find

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{j}^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} d_{\Gamma_{j}^{k}}^{p} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} g_{j} \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(E_{j}) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{j} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$
(33)

Similarly, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 and scaling, one can check that if  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed, since  $U_h$  is a finite union of cubes of side 1/h there holds

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{0}^{k} \cap U_{h})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} d_{\Gamma_{0}^{k}}^{p} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} g_{0} \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(U_{h}) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{0} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p}.$$
(34)

Recalling (30) and the fact that  $\partial \Omega$  is Lipschitzian, it is easy to check that

$$\sup_{\Omega} d_{\Gamma^k} \le \frac{M}{k} \tag{35}$$

for some constant M independent of k. Hence, for natural numbers  $k \ge h > 0$ , by splitting and using (35) we find

$$\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\Gamma^{k}}^{p} f \leq \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \frac{M^{p}}{k^{p}} \int_{E_{0} \setminus U_{h}} f + \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k} \cap U_{h})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}$$
$$\times \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k} \cap U_{h})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{U_{h}} d_{\Gamma_{0}^{k}}^{p} f + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})}{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{j}^{k})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{j}^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{E_{j}} d_{\Gamma_{j}^{k}}^{p} f$$

By (31) we have  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^k) \leq \lambda k^{d-1}$  and hence we find using (32), (33), (34),

$$\limsup_{k} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\Gamma^{k}}^{p} f \leq \lambda^{\frac{p}{d-1}} M^{p} \int_{E_{0} \setminus U_{h}} f$$
$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\mu(U_{h})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{U_{h}} g_{0}f + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(E_{j})}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{E_{j}} g_{j}f.$$

Since h is arbitrary and  $U_h \uparrow E_0$  as  $h \to \infty$ , we obtain since  $g_j \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\theta_{d,p}$ 

$$\limsup_{k} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d^{p}_{\Gamma^{k}} f \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}.$$
(36)

Finally, we construct  $\{\gamma_n\}$  of length  $l_n$  starting from  $\Gamma^k$ , as follows. Denoting by  $k_n$  the integer part of  $(l_n/\lambda)^{1/(d-1)}$  for n large enough, since  $\mu(U_k) \uparrow \mu(E_0)$  as  $k \to \infty$ , from (31) one obtains that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^{k_n}) \leq l_n$  and  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^{k_n}) \sim l_n$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, we can set  $\gamma_n := \Gamma^{k_n} \cup S_n$ , where  $S_n$  is any connected compact set such that  $\mathcal{H}^1(S_n) = l_n - \Gamma^{k_n}$  and  $S_n \cap \Gamma^{(k_n)}$  is non-empty but  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -negligible, so that  $\gamma_n$  is connected and  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n) = l_n$ . Since then  $\mathcal{H}^1(S_n) = o(l_n)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^{k_n}) \sim l_n$  as  $n \to \infty$ , using (32) one can check that

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}^1 \sqsubseteq \gamma_n}{\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n)} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Moreover, we have using  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma^{k_n}) \sim \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_n), \gamma_n \supseteq \Gamma^{k_n}$  and (36)

$$\limsup_{n} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma_{n})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} f = \limsup_{n} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k_{n}})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\gamma_{n}}^{p} f$$
$$\leq \limsup_{n} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma^{k_{n}})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{\Omega} d_{\Gamma^{k_{n}}}^{p} f \leq (1+\varepsilon)\theta_{d,p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{\rho^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $\Gamma^+(\mu) \leq F_{\infty}(\mu)$  when  $\mu$  is of the kind (28). To prove the statement for general  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ , one can argue by density, as usual (see Remark 1.29 in [5]). Indeed, given  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ , there exist  $\nu_k \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$ , each of the kind (28), such that

 $\nu_k \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $F_{\infty}(\nu_k) \to F_{\infty}(\nu)$ .

Since  $\Gamma^+$  is a fortiori lower semicontinuous (see [7], Prop. 6.8), we find

 $\Gamma^+(\nu) \le \liminf \Gamma^+(\nu_k) \le \liminf F_{\infty}(\nu_k) = F_{\infty}(\nu)$ 

and also the general case follows.

#### 4. Some estimates on $\theta_{d,p}$

The following lemma, which we haven't found in the literature, is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 4.4.8 in [3]: it suffices to approximate the first k Lipschitz curves therein constructed by piecewise-affine functions, with k large enough. See also [8].

**Lemma 4.1.** Given a connected compact set  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) < +\infty$ , there exists a sequence of connected sets  $\gamma_j$  such that each  $\gamma_j$  is the union of a finite number of segments,  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma_j) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma)$  and  $\gamma_j \to \gamma$  in the Hausdorff distance.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\gamma$  be a compact connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma) < \infty$ . Then

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d_{\gamma}(x) \le t \right\} \right| \le \mathcal{H}^1(C) \omega_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \omega_d t^d, \tag{37}$$

where  $\omega_k$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Proof.** For every  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , set  $A_t(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d_E(x) < t\}$ . We first suppose that  $\gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^m s_i$  where each  $s_i$  is a segment. Let  $\gamma^j = \bigcup_{i=1}^j s_i$ . Since  $\gamma$  is connected, we may suppose that  $s_{j+1} \cap \gamma^j \neq \emptyset$  for j < m. For a single segment s,

$$|A_t(s)| = \mathcal{H}^1(s)\omega_{d-1}t^{d-1} + \omega_d t^d, \tag{38}$$

and hence the claim of the lemma is true if m = 1. Now suppose that

$$|A_t(\gamma^j)| \le \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma^j)\omega_{d-1}t^{d-1} + \omega_d t^d \tag{39}$$

for some j < m, and let us prove the same estimate with j + 1 in place of j. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t(\gamma^{j+1})| &= |A_t(\gamma^j \cup s_{j+1})| = |A_t(\gamma^j) \cup A_t(s_{j+1})| = \\ &= |A_t(\gamma^j)| + |A_t(s_{j+1})| - |A_t(\gamma^j) \cap A_t(s_{j+1})| \le \\ &\le (\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma^j) + \mathcal{H}^1(s_{j+1})) \omega_{d-1} t^{d-1} + 2\omega_d t^d - |A_t(\gamma^j) \cap A_t(s_{j+1})| \end{aligned}$$

having used (39) and (38). Now it suffices to observe that, since  $\gamma^j \cap s_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_t(\gamma^j) \cap A_t(s_{j+1})$  contains a ball of radius t. Therefore the claim follows by induction on m.

The general case follows from Lemma 4.1, approximating  $\gamma$  by union of segments in the Hausdorff distance (which implies the uniform convergence of the corresponding distance functions), and observing that the functional  $|A_t(\gamma)|$  is lower semicontinuous in this topology (see [2], Prop. 2.1).

**Theorem 4.3.** For every p > 0 it holds

$$\theta_{d,p} \ge \frac{(d-1)}{(p+d-1)\omega_{d-1}^{\frac{p}{d-1}}}.$$

**Proof.** Consider  $C \in \Sigma(I^d)$ , let  $l = \mathcal{H}^1(C)$  and let  $A_t$  denote the set of those points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $d_C(x) < t$ . By Lemma 4.2,

$$|A_t \cap I^d| \le l\omega_{d-1} t^{d-1} \left( 1 + \frac{t\omega_d}{l\omega_{d-1}} \right) \le l\omega_{d-1} t^{d-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{d\omega_d}}{l\omega_{d-1}} \right), \quad t \in (0, \sqrt{d})$$

and hence, raising to the power p/(d-1),

$$|A_t \cap I^d|^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \le (l\omega_{d-1})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} t^p \left(1 + \frac{K}{l}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}, \quad t \in (0, \sqrt{d})$$
(40)

where K depends only on p, d. Now using  $|\nabla d_C| = 1$  and the coarea formula, we have

$$|A_t \cap I^d| = \int_0^t P_s \, ds, \qquad \int_{A_t \cap I^d} d_C^p = \int_0^t s^p P_s \, ds, \quad t > 0$$

where  $P_s$  is the perimeter of  $A_t$  in  $I^d$ , and hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}|A_t \cap I^d| = P_t, \qquad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{A_t \cap I^d} d_C^p = t^p P_t, \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, multiplying (40) by  $P_t$  we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|A_t \cap I^d|^{\frac{p+d-1}{d-1}} \le \frac{p+d-1}{d-1}(l\omega_{d-1})^{\frac{p}{d-1}}\left(1+\frac{K}{l}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{A_t \cap I^d} d_C^p d_C^$$

for every  $t \in (0, \sqrt{d})$ . Now, since clearly  $\sup_{I^d} d_C \leq \operatorname{diam} I^d = \sqrt{d}$ , integrating the last inequality over  $(0, \sqrt{d})$  we obtain

$$1 = |I^d| \le \frac{p+d-1}{d-1} (l\omega_{d-1})^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \left(1 + \frac{K}{l}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_{I^d} d_C^p.$$

Since  $C \in \Sigma(I^d)$  is arbitrary and K does not depend on C, for every sequence  $C_n \in \mathcal{K}(Q)$ such that  $l_n = \mathcal{H}^1(C_n) \to \infty$  there holds

$$\frac{d-1}{(p+d-1)\omega_{d-1}^{\frac{p}{d-1}}} \le \liminf_{n} l_n^{\frac{p}{d-1}} \int_Q d_{C_n}^p$$

and the claim follows recalling the definition of  $\theta_{d,p}$ .

Theorem 4.4. In two dimensions,

$$\theta_{2,p} = \frac{1}{2^p(p+1)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $S_n$  be the subset of the closed unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  made of n + 1 equi-spaced vertical segments of unit length, and let  $C_n = S_n \cup B$ , where B is the base of the square. Clearly,  $C_n$  is connected and  $\mathcal{H}^1(C_n) = n + 2$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{I^d} d_{C_n}^p \le \int_{I^d} d_{S_n}^p = 2n \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n}} t^p \, dt = \frac{1}{(p+1)(2n)^p}$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf \mathcal{H}^{1}(C_{n})^{p} \int_{I^{d}} d_{C_{n}}^{p} \leq \liminf \frac{(n+2)^{p}}{(p+1)(2n)^{p}} = \frac{1}{2^{p}(p+1)}$$

This proves that  $\theta_{2,p} \leq 1/2^p(p+1)$ , whereas the opposite inequality follows from Theorem 4.3 with d = 2.

#### References

- L. Ambrosio: Lecture notes on optimal transport problems, in: Mathematical Aspects of Evolving Interfaces (Funchal, 2000), P. Colli et al. (eds.), Lect. Notes Math. 1812, Springer, Berlin (2003) 1–52.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, I. Fonseca, P. Marcellini, L. Tartar: On a volume constrained variational problem, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 149(1) (1999) 23–47.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, P. Tilli: Topics on Analysis in Metric Spaces, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2004).
- [4] G. Bouchitté, C. Jimenez, M. Rajesh: Asymptotique d'un problème de positionnement optimal, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335(10) (2002) 853–858.
- [5] A. Braides: Γ-Convergence for Beginners, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2002).
- [6] G. Buttazzo, E. Oudet, E. Stepanov: Optimal transportation problems with free Dirichlet regions, Progr. Nonlinear Diff. Equations Appl. 51 (2002) 41–65.
- [7] G. Dal Maso: An Introduction to Γ–Convergence, Birkhäuser, Boston (1993).
- [8] D. H. Fremlin: Embedding spaces of finite length in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , J. London Math. Soc., II Ser. 49(1) (1994) 150–162.