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#### Abstract

The usual Poncelet porisms deal with polygons which are inscribed into one conic and circumscribed to another conic. A more general form of Poncelet porisms considers polygons whose sides are tangent to more than one conic of a pencil of conics. We shall study the case of poristic triangles inscribed into a circle $c_{1}$ with sides tangent to two further circles $c_{2}, c_{3}$ and all three circles shall be contained in a hyperbolic pencil of circles. In order to allow poristic triangle families, the radii and central distances of the circles are subject to certain algebraic relations. The main contribution of this article is to derive these relations for two special cases: In the first case, only proper circles are involved, while in the second case, we allow one circle to shrink to a point. We also pay attention to traces of triangle centers of the poristic families. Finally, we also provide closing conditions for three more types of circle pencils.
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## 1 Introduction

The incircle and the circumcircle of a triangle define a poristic family of triangles. To put it in another way: A triangle determines a poristic familiy of triangles sharing the incircle and the circumcircle (cf. [9]). On the other hand, two circles cannot be chosen independently in order to determine a poristic family. The inradius $r$, the circumradius $R$, and the central distance $d$ have to satisfy the equation


Figure 1: The tree of polygons interscribed to four circles of a hyperbolic pencil. Since there are two tangents from each point to each circle, the polygons spread out from $P_{1}$ and close after a given number of steps if the radii are subject to a certain relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R-d}+\frac{1}{R+d}=\frac{1}{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually ascribed to L. Euler (who published this result in 1765), but it was given in 1746 by W. Chapple (cf. [1]). Therefore, these kinds of porisms are frequently referred to as Chapple's porisms.

The Euler triangle formula (1) is just a special case of the many closing conditions for bicentric polygons. For some $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0,1,2,3\}$, one may find these polynomial conditions on the radii $R$, $r$, and the central distance $d$ in [5]. All the cases treated in [5] deal with bicentric $n$-gons, i.e., $n$-sided polygons inscribed into one circle and circumscribed to another.

In the original version of Poncelet's porism (cf. [2]), the poristic families of bicentric $n$-gons appear to be a very special case described in one Lemma. Poncelet even showed that it is possible to find one-parameter families of interscribed $n$-gons to a (finite) sequence of conics in a pencil: Assume that $c_{i}$ (with $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ ) are conics of a pencil. ${ }^{1}$ Let now $P_{1}$ be a point on $c_{1}$. A tangent from $P_{1}$ to $c_{2}$ may intersect $c_{1}$ in a point $P_{2}$, from which a tangent to $c_{3}$ is drawn and intersects $c_{1}$ in a point $P_{3} \neq P_{1}, \ldots$ This results in a sequence of points $P_{i} \in c_{1}$ and lines $\left[P_{i} P_{i+1}\right]$ tangent to $c_{i+1}$ and a last point $P_{N+1}$, see Fig. 1.

In the case of an $n$-gon, we shall not forget that the polygon is not unique whether it closes (i.e., $P_{1}=P_{N+1}$ ) or not.

Poncelet's most general result states:
If the polygon $P_{1} \ldots P_{N+1}$ closes for one particular choice of $P_{1}$, then it closes for any choice of $P_{1}$.

[^0]In fact, the polygon closes anyhow: Poncelet also showed that the line $\left[P_{1}, P_{N+1}\right]$ envelopes a conic which belongs to the pencil.

Poncelet's general result contains the very special (and by no means trivial) configuration of a triangle with its incircle and circumcircle (Chapple's porism) in two ways: On one hand, any triangle is interscribed between its incircle and its circumcircle, and on the other hand, the incircle and the circumcircle span a hyperbolic pencil of circles (which are of course conics).

In the following, we shall study triangles interscribed between three circles of a hyperbolic pencil. There are two cases to be distinguished:
(i) no circle is of radius zero,
(ii) exactly one circle is a zero circle.

These two cases have to be treated separately, at least in the algebraic approach. As is the case with Chapple's porisms, the choice of circles is not free if we want the polygons to close without introducing a further circle.

Therefore, and motivated by the many experimental results given in [3], we determine conditions on the radii or central distances of the involved circles. It is sufficient to have a condition on the radii of the circles since the radii and the distances of the centers of the circles in the hyperbolic pencil determine each other mutually. Further, it means no restriction to construct triangles in a normal form of the hyperbolic pencil (with the zero circles placed at $( \pm 1,0))$, since each hyperbolic pencil can be mapped to the standard pencil via a similarity transformation. In Sec. 2, we deal with the case of three circles none of which is allowed to be a zero circle. We first determine the closing condition, and then, we sketch how to derive the algebraic equations of the paths of the centroid and the orthocenter. We will not write down the algebraic equations of these traces due to their complexity.

It turns out that the algebraic approach delivers more than we expected in the beginning. Besides the path of a particular triangle center of the moving triangle (principal triangle), we find the path of the same center of the opportunistic triangles which occur with the principal triangle since we can draw two tangents from an exterior point to a circle. The latter fact causes the interscribed polygons to spread (as is illustrated in Fig. 1). Motivated by numerical experiments (cf. [3]), we shall have a closer look at the traces of incenters and excenters. In some special cases, these traces contain (parts of) circles.

Sec. 3 treats the case with one zero circle. At least from the constructive point of view, this seems to be a simpler case. However, from the algebraic stand point it is not. In Sec. 4, we shall add the closing conditions for triangle porisms in a hyperbolic pencil with four circles. The techniques used for that purpose do not differ from those in the beginning and so we do not lay down all the details. Further, the four circle case is not a case on its own right since the interscribed polygons do close in any case according to Poncelet's most general form of his theorem. Finally, we give the closing conditions for poristic families in some elliptic and parabolic pencils of circles. We shall not treat the very elementary case of pencils of concentric circles in detail.

## 2 Three proper circles

### 2.1 The closing condition

Following [4, p. 323], the equations of the circles of a hyperbolic pencil can be parametrized by one real parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\star}:=\mathbb{R} \backslash\{-1,0,+1\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t): x^{2}-2 t x+y^{2}+1=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values $t= \pm 1$ change (2) into $(x \pm 1)^{2}+y^{2}=(x \pm 1-\mathrm{i} y)(x \pm 1-\mathrm{i} y)=0$, and thus, they correspond to pairs of isotropic lines through the points $N_{1,2}=( \pm 1,0)$ (the null circles in the pencil). The circle $c(0): x^{2}+y^{2}+1=0$ carries no real point. The centers $C$ and radii $r$ of the circles in the pencil are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=(t, 0) \quad \text { and } \quad r(t)=\sqrt{t^{2}-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following symbolic computations are simplified by trying to write down everything in terms of polynomials or rational functions and by avoiding square roots whenever possible. This not only in the sense of rational trigonometry (cf. [10]), it could also be a new approach in the area of porisms resulting not necessarily in smooth triangle families, but rational or discrete ones.

Therefore, we reparametrize the family of circles (2) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow \frac{1+u^{2}}{2 u} \quad \text { with } u \in \mathbb{R}^{\star} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, the centers and radii become

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(u)=\left(\frac{1+u^{2}}{2 u}, 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r(u)=\frac{u^{2}-1}{2 u} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the vertices $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ of the triangles $\Delta$ of the poristic family lie on the circle $c_{1}$ (defined by setting $t=t_{1}$ in (2)). Since the circumcircle $c_{1}$ of $\Delta$ admits the rational parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\tau)=\left(r_{1} \frac{1-\tau^{2}}{1+\tau^{2}}+m_{1}, r_{1} \frac{2 \tau}{1+\tau^{2}}\right) \quad \text { with } \tau \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can assume that $P_{1}=c_{1}(T), P_{2}=c_{1}(U)$, and $P_{3}=c_{1}(V)$ with pairwise different real parameters $T, U$, and $V$. In (6), the radius $r_{1}$ and the coordinate $m_{1}$ of the circumcenter are to be replaced by their rational equivalents (5) depending on the parameter $u_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$.

The equations of the three side lines of the triangle are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]: u_{1}(1-T U) x+u_{1}(T+U) y+T U-u_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equations of $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ and $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ are obtained from (7) by replacing first $T \rightarrow U$, $U \rightarrow V$ and then $U \rightarrow V, V \rightarrow T$. Note that $T, U, V$ have to be pairwise different in order to define pairwise different points $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ on the circle $c_{1}$. Therefore, the linear forms

$$
T-U, \quad U-V, \quad V-T
$$

are not zero and can be canceled whenever they occur as factors.

In order to obtain a poristic family of triangles, the sides $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ and $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ are tangent to $c_{2}$, while $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ touches the circle $c_{3}$. The fact that $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{3}$ causes a loss of symmetry in the geometry as well as in the computations, but the polygon has to close.

However, we could also demand that the third side has to touch a fourth circle. Then, the computational complexity would increase dramatically. The closing condition for this case is given in Sec. 4.

It is a rather elementary task to determine the tangency condition for $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ and $c_{2}$. For that purpose, we compute the resultant of the respective equations with respect to $y$ and determine the discriminant of the resulting quadratic equation. It makes no difference if we compute the discriminant of the resultant with respect to $x$. Thus, the tangency between [ $\left.P_{1}, P_{2}\right],\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ and $c_{2}$ is ruled by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \#\left(\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right] \cap c_{2}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(T, U): 4 u_{2}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(T^{2} U^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)+ \\
& +2 u_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right) T U-u_{1}^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(T^{2}+U^{2}\right)=0 \\
& \#\left(\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right] \cap c_{2}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(U, V): 4 u_{2}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(U^{2} V^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)+  \tag{8}\\
& +2 u_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right) U V-u_{1}^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(U^{2}+V^{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

while the contact between $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ and $c_{3}$ is described by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \#\left(\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right] \cap c_{3}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(T, V): 4 u_{3}\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)\left(u_{1} u_{3}-1\right)\left(T^{2} V^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)+ \\
& +2 u_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{3}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{3}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{3}-u_{3}^{2}-1\right) T V-u_{1}^{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(T^{2}+V^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that all three conditions (8) and (9) depend on $u_{1}$, since all vertices of the triangle lie on the circle $c_{1}$. The contact condition (9) depends further on $u_{3}$, because $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ touches $c_{3}$; while both of the two equations (8) also depend on $u_{2}$, for they describe the contact with $c_{2}$.

In order to derive conditions on the radii $r_{i}$ and the central distances $m_{i}$ (coordinates of the centers) such that the three circles $c_{i}$ allow for a Poncelet porism, we determine conditions on the parameters $u_{i}$. The latter can be transformed into conditions on the parameters $t_{i}$ in the hyperbolic pencil of circles.

For that purpose, we eliminate two of the point parameters $T, U, V$, for example $U$ and $V$ from the contact conditions (8) and (9). (The choice of the variables to be eliminated does not change the result.)

From the first resultant

$$
R_{1}:=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}(U, V), \mathcal{C}(T, V), V),
$$

we can cut out the factor $u_{1}^{4}$, since $u_{1}$ is not allowed to be zero.
The final resultant

$$
R:=\operatorname{res}\left(R_{1}, \mathcal{C}(T, U), U\right)=2^{8} \prod_{i=1}^{8} f_{i}
$$

factors into 8 polynomials, some of which depend on $T$. We shall see that only a few factors yield a condition on $u_{i}$ such that the thus defined three circles allow a poristic family of triangles. The factors $f_{1}=\left(u_{3} T^{2}+u_{1}\right)^{2}$ and $f_{2}=\left(T^{2}+u_{1} u_{3}\right)^{2}$ are dispensable, since they would only allow a closing of one particular triangle for a specific $T$ depending on the circles
$c_{1}, c_{3}$. The biquadratic factors

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{3}=\left(4 u_{2}^{2} u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)+\left(\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-4 u_{2} u_{3}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\right) u_{1}\right)^{2} T^{4} \\
+2 u_{1}\left(4 u_{1} u_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(u_{3}^{2}+1\right)+\left(8 u_{2}^{2}\left(u_{2}^{4}+1\right)\left(u_{1}^{4}+1\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-8 u_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)^{3} u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)+\left(u_{2}^{8}+28 u_{2}^{6}+38 u_{2}^{4}+28 u_{2}^{2}+1\right) u_{1}^{2}\right) u_{3}\right) T^{2} \\
+u_{1}^{2}\left(u_{1}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2} u_{3}+4 u_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{4}=\left(4 u_{2}^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)+\left(u_{2}^{4} u_{3}-4 u_{2}^{3}-2 u_{2}^{2} u_{3}-4 u_{2}+u_{3}\right) u_{1}\right)^{2} T^{4} \\
+2 u_{1}\left(8 u_{2}^{2} u_{3}\left(u_{2}^{4}+1\right)\left(u_{1}^{4}+1\right)-4 u_{2}\left(u_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}+1\right)+2\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)^{3} u_{3}\right) u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(4 u_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}+1\right)+\left(u_{2}^{8}+28 u_{2}^{6}+38 u_{2}^{4}+28 u_{2}^{2}+1\right) u_{3}\right) u_{1}^{2}\right) T^{2} \\
+u_{1}^{2}\left(4 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}+\left(\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-4 u_{2} u_{3}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\right) u_{1}+4 u_{2}^{2} u_{3}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

can be considered as polynomials in $T$ and vanish identically (for all $T$ ) if, and only if, all their coefficients vanish simultaneously. For the factor $f_{3}$ this is the case if, and only if, $u_{1}=u_{2}=-1,0,1$. This would imply that at least one of the circles $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$ becomes either a zero circle or $x^{2}+y^{2}+1=0$ which carries no real points. All other trivial solutions like $u_{i}=0$ and $u_{i}=u_{j}$ (with $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $i \neq j$ ) are ruled out in each step of the computation. The same holds true for $f_{4}$.

The factor $f_{5}=\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)^{2}$ vanishes if, and only if, $u_{1}=u_{3}$ implying $c_{1}=c_{3}$ which is not allowed. Further, we have to discuss the factor $f_{6}=\left(u_{1} u_{3}-1\right)^{2}$. From $u_{1} u_{3}=1$ we infer that these values are each others reciprocals. Since the rational expression (4) for $t_{i}$ remains unchanged if we replace $u$ with $u^{-1}, u_{1}=1 / u_{3}$ implies that $t_{1}=t_{3}$ and $r_{1}=r_{3}$, i.e., the circles $c_{1}$ and $c_{3}$ are identic. So far we have discussed six factors of $R$.

The last two factors depend on $u_{i}$ exclusively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{7}=\left(u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2} u_{3}-\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& f_{8}=\left(u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)^{2} u_{3}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Both factors (although of multiplicity 2) depend linearly on $u_{3}$. Setting them equal to zero yields a condition on $u_{i}$ such that the circles $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ allow a one-parameter family of interscribed triangles. The two conditions (10) can then be solved for $u_{3}$ which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}=\frac{\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)^{2}}{u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{3}=\frac{u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are, obviously, each others reciprocals.
In order to find a condition on the pencil parameters $t_{i}$, we eliminate $u_{i}$ from $f_{7}$ and $f_{8}$ in (10). This is done by using the inverse of (4) although this mapping is not birational. Cutting out the constant factor $2^{32}, f_{7}$ and $f_{8}$ become the same factor with multiplicity 8 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 t_{1} t_{2}-t_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2} t_{3}-4 t_{1}^{3}+8 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}-t_{1}\left(t_{2}^{4}+6 t_{2}^{2}-3\right)+4 t_{2}\left(t_{2}^{2}-1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that $f_{7}$ and $f_{8}$ can be transformed into each other by the algebraic substitution $u_{2} \rightarrow u_{2}, u_{1} \rightarrow u_{1}^{-1}$, and $u_{3} \rightarrow u_{3}^{-1}$.

Setting the latter polynomial equal to zero, we find an analog to the Euler formula (1) relating the circumradius $R$, the inradius $r$, and the central distance of the circum- and the incircle of a triangle. It allows to express $t_{3}$ in terms of a rational function depending on $t_{1}$, $t_{2}$ as

$$
t_{3}=\frac{4 t_{1}^{3}-8 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+\left(t_{2}^{4}+6 t_{2}^{2}-3\right) t_{1}-4 t_{2}\left(t_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{\left(2 t_{1} t_{2}-t_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

Finally, we can derive a condition on the radii $r_{i}$ in order to allow a poristic family of the above described type. We use (5) in order to eliminate $u_{i}$ from (10) and arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(4 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}-\right.\right. & \left.r_{2}^{4}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} r_{3}^{2}+2 r_{1}\left(4 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}+r_{2}^{4}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(4 r_{1}^{2}-4 r_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{4}\right) r_{3}+ \\
& \left.+r_{1}^{2}\left(r_{2}^{8}-8 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{4}-8 r_{2}^{6}+16 r_{1}^{4}-32 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
\cdot\left(\left(4 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}-\right.\right. & \left.r_{2}^{4}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} r_{3}^{2}-2 r_{1}\left(4 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}+r_{2}^{4}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(4 r_{1}^{2}-4 r_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{4}\right) r_{3}+  \tag{13}\\
& \left.+r_{1}^{2}\left(r_{2}^{8}-8 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{4}-8 r_{2}^{6}+16 r_{1}^{4}-32 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

We shall summarize our results in
Theorem 1. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ be three circles of a hyperbolic pencil given by the equations (2). These circles allow a poristic one-parameter family of interscribed triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ such that $c_{1}$ is the common circumcircle, $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right],\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ are tangent to $c_{2}$ while $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{3}$ if their center coordinates $t_{i}$ satisfy (12).

The fact that the condition (13) on the radii splits into two factors mirrors the fact that the involved circles are not necessarily nested, i.e., they may lie on different sides of the straight circle $x=0$ (corresponding to $t=\infty$ ) in the hyperbolic pencil.

### 2.1.1 Computing point paths

Now, we have derived the condition on the radii of the circles defining the poristic triangle family. In order to compute the equations of the traces of at least some simple (rational) triangle centers, we assume that $u_{3}$ is related to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ via one of the relations in (11). From (8) and (9) (which are now dependent because of a suitable choice of $u_{i}$ ) we cannot easily extract expressions for $U$ and $V$ as functions depending on $T$. Therefore, it is not possible to parametrize the families of triangles traversing the various Poncelet families.

For some centers (like the centroid or the orthocenter), we can go the following way: We compute the centroid

$$
X_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}\right)
$$

and the orthocenter $X_{4}$ of the triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ (labeling of triangle centers according to [6], [11]) using the initial representations of the points $P_{i}$ as points on $c_{1}$ depending on $T, U$, and $V$. This yields paramatrizations of $X_{2}$ and $X_{4}$ depending in the parameters $T, U, V$. Since the center $X_{4}$ is a linear combination of the fixed point $X_{3}$ and the (moving) point $X_{2}$, the trace of $X_{4}$ is similar to that of $X_{2}$ with $X_{3}$ as the center of similarity and scaling factor 3. Analogous results hold true for all other triangle centers on the Euler line $\mathcal{L}_{2,3}=\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$.

We first eliminate $U$ from

$$
X_{2}[1]-x=0 \quad \text { and } \quad X_{2}[2]-y=0
$$



Figure 2: A triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ (dark orange) with sides tangent to $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ together with two opportunistic triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{4}$ (light orange) and $P_{1} P_{3} P_{4}$ (yellow). The trace of the centroid consists of two curves (red, violet).
using the first equation of (8). (Here and in the following, $X_{2}[i]$ means the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of the coordinate vector $X_{2}$.) Subsequently, we use (9) (where we have inserted one of the values for $u_{3}$ chosen from (11)) in order to eliminate $V$. In the third step, $T$ is eliminated from both polynomials related to either coordinate function of $X_{2}$.

In the case of the centroid, we find a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ of degree 128 (in the variables $x$ and $y$ ) which factors into 8 different polynomials

$$
\mathcal{P}_{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{8} p_{i}^{\mu_{i}}
$$

The degrees $d_{i}$ and the multiplicities $\mu_{i}$ of $p_{i}$ are

$$
d=(16,16,20,12,12,20,16,16) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=(1,1,1,2,1,1,1,1)
$$

The sextic factor (i.e., the fourth factor) with multiplicity two turns out to be the equation of a part of the trace $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of $X_{2}$ as shown in Fig. 2. This can also be checked by inserting the parametrization $X_{2}(T, U, V)$ of the centroid and subsequent simplification using the conditions (8), (9), and $u_{3}$ from (11).


Figure 3: One circle, say $c_{2}$, may lie on the other side of the straight circle in the pencil (second axis of symmetry): The centroid traces a sextic $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and the degree 12 curve $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}$ is the locus of all centroids of opportunistic triangles.

Surprisingly, a second factor of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is annihilated by the parametrization of the centroid. It is a factor of degree 12 which describes a curve $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}$ of genus 1 having 6 -fold points at the absolute points of Euclidean geometry. It is the trace of centroids of opportunistic triangles, i.e., triangles which are also results of the construction (computation) and whose sides also fulfill the contact conditions.

As can be seen in Fig. 2, the triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ can be viewed as a principal solution and traverses one family. The triangles $P_{2} P_{1} P_{4}$ and $P_{3} P_{1} P_{5}$ are opportunistic: They come along with the principal solution and satisfy closing and tangency conditions. The existence of opportunistic triangles is caused by the fact that there exist two tangents from $P_{1}$ to $c_{2}$ and from each intersection of these tangents with the circumcircle $c_{1}$ there exist two further tangents to $c_{3}$. The curve $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}$ houses the traces of centroids of opportunistic triangles.

A triple of circles from a hyperbolic pencil may not necessarily be a triple of mutually nested circles. As shown in Fig. 3, the appearance of the sextic trace $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of the centroid may change its shape. Nevertheless, the algebraic properties remain unchanged even if one circle lies not in the interior of $c_{1}$, i.e., it lies on the the other side.

Finally, we note that the trace $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ of the orthocenter $X_{4}$ is the image of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ under the central similarity with the midpoint of $c_{1}$ (common circumcenter $X_{3}$ of the poristic triangles) as the center, since $X_{2}, X_{3}$, and $X_{4}$ are collinear for all triangles. The factor of similarity equals $\overline{X_{4} X_{2}} \cdot{\overline{X_{3} X_{2}}}^{-1}=-2$.


Figure 4: The circular trace of the incenter $X_{1}$ for nested circles $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$.

### 2.1.2 Experiments

The incenter of a triangle is the first in C. Kimberling's exhaustive list, see [6], [11]. This is probably caused by its very simple representation

$$
X_{1}=1: 1: 1
$$

in terms of trilinear coordinates. However, it is doubtful if $X_{1}$ deserves this prominent position. (In terms of barycentric coordinates, the centroid $X_{2}$ would be in the first place.) The computation as well as the construction of the incenter bear on non-rational operations, such as the normalization of vectors, or equivalently, the construction of angle bisectors. Moreover, the incenter as the center of a tritangent circle of a triangle is only one of four such points which is even true in a projective setting (see [8]) and in rational trigonometry or universal geometry (cf. [10]).

Numerical experiments have shown that the incenter of the triangle $\Delta=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ traces at least an oval curve $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ (cf. [3]). Moreover, this trace was so close to circles in almost all cases that it was near to suggest that $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is a circle. As we shall see, in some special cases, we are able to show that $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is really a circle. Fig. 4 does not only illustrate the results of numerical experiments which showed that the incenter $X_{1}$ of $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ moves on a curve that looks like a circle $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. It is not at all obvious that $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is a circle and at least for the case where two sides of $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ are tangent to the same circle, say $c_{2}$, in the pencil we can give the equation of this circle and state:

Theorem 2. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ be three nested circles from a hyperbolic pencil of circles which allow a one-parameter family of poristic triangles $\Delta=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ such that $c_{1}$ is the common circumcircle and the sides $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ and $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ are tangent to $c_{2}$ while $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ is tangent to
$c_{3}$. Then, the trace $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of the incenter $X_{1}$ of the triangles $\Delta$ is a circle which is not contained in the hyperbolic pencil.

Proof. The assumption that $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ and $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ are tangent to $c_{2}$ guarantees that there exist two poses of the triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ which are symmetric with respect to the axis $a$ of the circle pencil (cf. Fig. 4):
(i) $\Delta^{l}=P_{1}^{l} P_{2}^{l} P_{3}^{l}$ with $P_{1}^{l}$ being the left point of $a \cap c_{1}$ and
(ii) $\Delta^{r}=P_{1}^{r} P_{2}^{r} P_{3}^{r}$ with $P_{1}^{r}$ being the right point of $a \cap c_{1}$.

Without loss of generality, we may at first assume that $u_{i}>1$ (for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ ) hold. Secondly, the assumptions $u_{1}>u_{2}$ and $u_{1}>u_{3}$ shall guarantee that the circle $c_{1}$ is the largest one, and therefore, the points $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are always real. Then, we have $P_{1}^{l}=\left(u_{1}^{-1}, 0\right)$ and $P_{1}^{r}=\left(u_{1}, 0\right)$. Further, $P_{2,3}^{l}=\left(u_{3}^{-1}, \pm y_{l}\right)$ and $P_{2,3}^{r}=\left(u_{3}, \mp y_{r}\right)$ with $u_{3}$ being one of (11) and

$$
y_{l}=\frac{2\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right) \sqrt{u_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)}}{\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{r}=y_{l} u_{3} .
$$

In order to find the incenter of $\Delta^{l}$ and $\Delta^{r}$, it is sufficient to intersect the interior angle bisector at $P_{2}^{l}$ and $P_{2}^{r}$ (or $P_{3}^{l}$ and $P_{3}^{r}$ ) with $a: y=0$. This yields the surprisingly simple coordinate representations of the left and right incenter $X_{1}^{l}=\left(\xi_{l}, 0\right)$ and $X_{1}^{r}=\left(\xi_{r}, 0\right)$ with

$$
\xi_{l}=\frac{2 u_{1}^{2}-2 u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2}-1}{u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{r}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}-u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}+2 u_{1} u_{2}-2}{u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)} .
$$

(Note that only the substitution $u_{1} \rightarrow u_{1}^{-1}$ yields $\xi_{l} \rightarrow \xi_{r}$.) Since $\xi_{l}$ and $\xi_{r}$ are not each other's reciprocals, the points $X_{1}^{l}$ and $X_{1}^{r}$ cannot be joined by a circle from the underlying hyperbolic pencil. Now, we compute the Thales circle $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ on the segment $X_{1}^{l} X_{1}^{r}$ and find the circle

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{C}_{1}: u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
+\left(u_{1}^{3} u_{2}^{4}-4 u_{1}^{4} u_{2}+6 u_{1}^{3} u_{2}^{2}-8 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{3}+u_{1} u_{2}^{4}+u_{1}^{3}+6 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-4 u_{2}\right) x  \tag{14}\\
-\left(u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}-2 u_{1} u_{2}+2\right)\left(2 u_{1}^{2}-2 u_{1} u_{2}+u_{2}^{2}-1\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

with the radius

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{4}+4 u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-6 u_{1} u_{2}^{2}-3 u_{1}+4 u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)}{u_{1}\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)} .
$$

In order to verify that the equation of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is the equation of the trace of $X_{1}$, we can compute a parametrization and show that it annihilates the circle equation which definitely needs a CAS.

The circle $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ with the equation (14) is only a part of the complete picture shown in Fig. 5. The excenters ot the triangle $\Delta$ move on a more complicated curve that contains one circle and two further closed loops. In comparison with Chapple's porism (where the three excenters of a triangle $\Delta$ move on a single circle, cf. [9, Thm. 3.2]), the poristic trace is broken up into three components since the tangency of $\Delta$ 's sides to the unique incircle is replaced by tangencies to different circles. In Thm. 3 we shall give the equations of the two circles in the case of non-nested circles $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$.

Until now we have assumed $u_{i}>0(i \in\{1,2,3\})$. Now, we shall discuss the effect of other choices of $u_{i}$. For $u_{i} \neq 0$ we observe that $u_{i}^{-1}$ leads to the same circle $c_{i}$ since (4) does not change under the substitution $u_{i} \rightarrow u_{1}^{-i}$. This holds also true for negative $u_{i}$. If now one of the values $u_{i}$ is negative, say $u_{2}<0$, then $c_{2}$ is no longer in the interior of $c_{1}$. Such a


Figure 5: The trace of the centers of the triangles' tritangent circles in the case of a nested circle triple.
case is illustrated in Fig. 6. The curves shown in Fig. 6 are determined numerically and the coloring of the different parts of the curves correspond to different triangle shapes. Whenever a triangle collapses, its incenter happens to lie on the common circumcircle $c_{1}$. As long as the center of the interior tritangent circle remains in the interior of $c_{1}$, the path of the center is drawn black. The red, orange, and yellow parts are the traces of centers of tritangent circles of $\Delta$ if these centers are excenters. The transition from an incenter to an excenter happens precisely at the cusps of the black curve. The cusps are located at the contact points of the common tangents of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Fig. 6 also indicates that the orbit of the centers of the four tritangent circles of $\Delta$ move on two circles and two a additional closed curves and all four branches belong to the same algebraic curve. Situations like these are a good reason to make
no difference between the incenter and the excenter of a triangle and to simply speak about the four tritangent circles of a triad of lines as indicated in $[7,8]$.


Figure 6: A circle configuration with $c_{2}$ outside: The centers of some of the tritangent circles run on a circle as long as these centers are incenters, even if the initial circles are not nested as long as there exist symmetry poses of the triangles.

We are able to give the equations of the circular paths of the centers of $\Delta$ 's tritangent circles if the three circles from the hyperbolic pencil are not nested:

Theorem 3. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ be three circles of a hyperbolic pencil of circles. Assume that $c_{2}$ lies not in the interior of $c_{1}$ and the triple of circles allows a poristic family of triangles $\Delta=P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ such that $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ and $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ are tangent to $c_{2}$ while $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ Then, the trace $\mathcal{C}_{1}$
of the centers of tritangent circles of the contains the two circles

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{K}_{1}: u_{1} u_{3}\left(\sqrt{u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)}+u_{1} \sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& -\sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}\left(\left(\left(u_{1} u_{3}+1\right) \sqrt{u_{3}}-\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right) \sqrt{u_{1}}\right) \sqrt{u_{1}^{2}-1}-\left(u_{1}^{2}-2 \sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}-1\right) \sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}\right) x \\
& +u_{3}\left(1-\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right) \sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}\right) \sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}+\sqrt{u_{3}}\left(u_{3}+u_{3} \sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}-u_{1}\right) \sqrt{u_{1}^{2}-1}=0 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{K}_{2}: u_{1} u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)\left(\sqrt{u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)}+\sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
\quad-\sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}\left(1-u_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(2 u_{1} \sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}+u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)\right) \sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}\right) \\
\left.\quad+\left(\sqrt{u_{1}}\left(1+u_{1} u_{3}\right)+\sqrt{u_{3}}\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)\right) \sqrt{u_{1}^{2}-1}\right) x
\end{array}  \tag{15}\\
& \quad+\sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1} \sqrt{u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)}+\sqrt{u_{1}-u_{3}}\left(u_{1} \sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}+u_{1}^{2}-1\right)\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

centered at the points

$$
C_{1,2}=\frac{\sqrt{u_{3}}}{2 u_{1} u_{3}}\left(u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right) \pm\left(\sqrt{u_{1} u_{3}}+1\right) \sqrt{\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right)}, 0\right)
$$

Proof. Due to symmetry reasons, the circular parts $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}$ of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ are centered on the axis of the hyperbolic circle pencil. Both are Thaloids of segments on the axis bounded by the interior and exterior angle bisectors of two triangles $\Delta_{l}=P_{1}^{l} P_{2}^{l} P_{3}^{l}$ (the left one) and $\Delta_{r}=P_{1}^{r} P_{2}^{r} P_{3}^{r}$ (the right one) in symmetry pose, cf. Fig. 7 . Thus, we may assume that the vertices of the triangles are

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}^{l}=\left(u_{1}^{-1}, 0\right), \quad P_{2,3}^{l}=\left(u_{3}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left(u_{1} u_{3}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)}{u_{1}}}\right) \\
& P_{1}^{r}=\left(u_{1}, 0\right), \quad P_{2,3}^{r}=\left(u_{3}^{-1}, \mp \sqrt{\frac{\left(u_{1} u_{3}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{3}\right)}{u_{1} u_{3}^{2}}}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $u_{2}$ does not show up in the above representations of triangle vertices. However, this is not necessary as long as $u_{i}$ fulfill (11).

Now, we can compute the centers of the tritangent circles of the left and right triangle $\Delta_{l}=P_{1}^{l} P_{2}^{l} P_{3}^{l}$ and $\Delta_{r}=P_{1}^{r} P_{2}^{r} P_{3}^{r}$ which simplifies to the computation of the intersection of a pair of bisectors with the symmetry axis $y=0$ of the circle pencil. The Thaloids on the respective intersection points are the circles given in (15) and (16) and its is elementary to verify that the above given points $C_{1,2}$ are their centers.

We can also confirm that the contact points of the four common tangents lie in pairs on the circles $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}$.

If the triangles interscribed to the circles of the hyperbolic pencil do not share symmetries with the circles, the trace $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of the incenter also looses its symmetries. This would be the case if one of the two lines which are tangent to $c_{2}$ would touch a further circle, say $c_{4} \neq c_{2}, c_{3}$.

Moreover, as we can observe in Fig. 8, the trace of the incenter $X_{1}$ becomes a cusped curve. This is also true for the traces of the incenters of the opportunistic triangles. The


Figure 7: The construction of the circular parts of the paths of $X_{1}$.
cusps (singularities) of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ correspond to degenerate triangles: Such triangles lie in common tangents of the involved circles and will not become entirely real if all three circles are nested. If one circle, say $c_{2}$, lies outside $c_{1}$ (and $c_{3}$ ), then there exist 8 real common tangents of which four lead directly to the cusps of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. The cusps are located on $c_{1}$. The traces of incenters of the opportunistic triangles share some cusps which correspond to degenerate triangles that belong to different (combinatorial) types of opportunistic triangles.

Fig. 8 shows three more cusped curves which are the traces of incenters of opportunistic triangles. The cusped curves are the traces of true incenters. Whenever an incenter changes to an excenter (this happens at the cusps), its path is no longer in the interior of $c_{1}$. The fourth circle $c_{4}$ which is the envelope of the third triangle side is not displayed as well as the exterior branches of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

## 3 One zero circle

### 3.1 The closure condition

Again, we assume that the we deal with circles in a hyperbolic pencil of circles with equations (2). Like in the previous case, $c_{1}$ shall be the circumcircle of the triangles in the poristic family. The line $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ shall pass through the zero circle $c_{0}=[1,0]$ (the right one). Further, the line $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ shall be tangent to the circle $c_{2}$ and the terminal segment $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ shall touch the circle $c_{3}$.

We start with the point $P_{1}$ which can be parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=\left(\frac{T^{2}+u_{1}^{2}}{u_{1}\left(1+T^{2}\right)}, \frac{\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right) T}{u_{1}\left(1+T^{2}\right)}\right) \quad \text { with } T \in \mathbb{R} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (6).


Figure 8: The curve $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of incenters of the principal triangle and the curves $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of the opportunistic triangles: Cusps are incenters of degenerate triangles.

The line $\left[P_{1}, c_{0}\right]=\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ intersects the circumcircle $c_{1}$ at $P_{2}$ which therefore obtains the parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}=\left(\frac{u_{1}\left(1+T^{2}\right)}{T^{2}+u_{1}^{2}}, \frac{T\left(1-u_{1}^{2}\right)}{T^{2}+u_{1}^{2}}\right) \quad \text { with } T \in \mathbb{R} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the point $P_{3} \in c_{1}$ there exists a parameter $U \neq T \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}=\left(\frac{U^{2}+u_{1}^{2}}{u_{1}\left(1+U^{2}\right)}, \frac{\left(u_{1}^{2}-1\right) U}{u_{1}\left(U^{2}+1\right)}\right) \quad \text { with } U \in \mathbb{R} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $U$ is to be determined such that the lines $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ and $\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ touch $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$. For that purpose, we first determine the equations of the latter lines and find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]:\left(u_{1} U+T\right) x+\left(T U-u_{1}\right) y-u_{1} T-U=0} \\
& {\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]: u_{1}(T U-1) x-u_{1}(T+U) y+u_{1}^{2}-T U=0 .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, we derive the contact conditions of these lines with the circles $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$, i.e., we compute the resultants of the linear equations and the equations of the respective circles, and subsequently, we determine the discriminants of the resulting quadratic equations. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{23}: & \left(T^{2} U^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+4 u_{2}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(1-u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(T^{2}+U^{2}\right) \\
& +2\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right) T U=0 \\
\mathcal{C}_{31}: & 4\left(T^{2} U^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right) u_{3}\left(u_{1} u_{3}-1\right)\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right)+u_{1}^{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(T^{2}+U^{2}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& -2 u_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{3}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{3}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{3}-u_{3}^{2}-1\right) T U=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The two equations (21) have to be fulfilled by infinitely many pairs of $(T, U)$, and therefore, they have to be linearly dependent. Thus, the resultant of $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{res}\left(C_{23}, C_{31}, U\right)$ with respect to one circle parameter, say $U$, has to be fulfilled by all $T$ in $\mathbb{R}$. (It is also possible to eliminate
$T$ and discuss the resulting polynomial in $U$. This leads to the same closing condition.) We compute

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{res}\left(C_{23}, C_{31}, U\right)
$$

and observe that $\mathcal{R}=\varphi_{1}^{2} \cdot \varphi_{2}$, i.e., it factors into two polynomials with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}=4 u_{2} u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)-u_{1}\left(\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)+2 u_{2}\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the nearly symmetric polynomial

$$
\varphi_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{4} c_{2 i} u_{1}^{4-i} T^{2 i} \quad \text { with } \underline{c_{2 i}=c_{8-2 i}} \quad \text { for } i=0,1,2,3,4 .
$$

Herein, the coefficients $c_{2 i}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{8}= & \left(4\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right) u_{2} u_{3}+\left(\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)-2\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2} u_{2}\right) u_{1}\right)^{2} \\
c_{6}= & 4\left(2 u_{3}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)-\left(\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}-2 u_{2}\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}\right) u_{1}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(2 u_{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)-\left(\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}+2 u_{2}\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}\right) u_{1}\right), \\
c_{4}= & 2\left(8\left(u_{1}^{4}+1\right)\left(u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}+2\right)+u_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}\right)-8 u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{2}^{4}+1\right)\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+u_{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{3}^{2}-u_{3}+1\right)\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}+2 u_{2}^{2}\left(u_{3}^{2}+1\right)\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that the polynomial $\varphi_{2}$ is independent of $T$ if, and only if, all coefficient vanish simultaneously. This is only the case if $u_{i}= \pm 1$ which implies $t_{i}= \pm 1$ (for all $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ ) which is excluded by assumption (otherwise $c_{i}$ are only zero circles).

Therefore, the only relevant part of $\mathcal{R}$ is the factor $\varphi_{1}$ from (22). With (4), we can rewrite (22) in terms of $t_{i}$ which yields the surprisingly simple relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2} t_{3}-2 t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}-1=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $u_{3}=c=$ const. and $c \neq 0, \pm 1$, then $\varphi_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, c\right)=0$ from (22) describes a cubic curve in the $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]$-plane. Independent of $u_{3}=c$, the cubic curve has a singularity at $(-1,-1)$, and thus, it admits a rational parametrization

$$
\left(\frac{(c+1)^{2}(\tau+1) \tau}{(c+1)^{2} \tau+(c-1)^{2}}, \frac{4 c \tau}{(\tau+1)\left((c+1)^{2} \tau+(c-1)^{2}\right)}\right), \quad \text { with } \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

From (22), we can derive a condition on the radii of the circles $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ to allow for a porism. For that purpose, we eliminate $u_{i}$ using (5) and find

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{2}^{8} r_{3}^{8}-16 r_{3}^{4}\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{2}+2 r_{1}^{2}+3 r_{3}^{2}+4\right) r_{2}^{6} \\
+2^{5}\left(3 r_{1}^{4} r_{3}^{4}-r_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{6}+8 r_{1}^{4} r_{3}^{2}-4 r_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{4}-2 r_{3}^{6}+8 r_{1}^{4}-8 r_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{2}\right) r_{2}^{4}  \tag{24}\\
-2^{8} r_{1}^{2}\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}\right)\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{2}+2 r_{1}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}\right) r_{2}^{2}+2^{8} r_{1}^{4}\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Collecting our results, we can formulate in analogy to Thm. 1 the following:
Theorem 4. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ be three circles of a hyperbolic pencil given by the equations (2) with the (right) zero circle $c_{0}=(1,0)$. These circles allow a poristic one-parameter family of interscribed triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ such that $c_{1}$ is the common circumcircle, $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{2},\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{3}$, and $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ passes through $c_{0}$ if their center coordinates $t_{i}$ satisfy (23), which implies that their radii satisfy (24).


Figure 9: Circles $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ from a hyperbolic pencil including a zero circle: poristic trace $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of the centroid (left), poristic trace $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ of the incenter and the orthocenter (right).

### 3.2 The other zero circle

In the previous subsection, we have chosen the zero circle $c_{0}=(1,0)$ (on the right side). If we replace $c_{0}$ with $c_{0}^{\prime}=(-1,0)$, i.e., the left zero circle, then the equation equivalent to (22) relating the pencil parameters $u_{i}$ of the three circles reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 u_{2} u_{3}\left(u_{1}^{2}+1\right)+u_{1}\left(\left(u_{3}-1\right)^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}+1\right)-2 u_{2}\left(u_{3}+1\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is a planar cubic curve for fixed $u_{3}=c=$ const. with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$ with an isolated double point at $(1,1)$. Therefore, the totality of circle triples allowing a Poncelet porism in the above mentioned sense can be parametrized by

$$
\left(\frac{-(c-1)^{2}(t+1) t}{\left(t(c-1)^{2}+(c+1)^{2}\right.}, \frac{4 t c}{(t+1)\left(t(c-1)^{2}+(c+1)^{2}\right)}\right)
$$

Eliminating $u_{i}$ with (4) from (25) we obtain the analog to (23) for the Poncelet variant with the left zero circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2} t_{3}+2 t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}-1=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to 4, we can summarize our results in:
Theorem 5. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ be three circles of a hyperbolic pencil given by the equations (2) with the (left) zero circle $c_{0}^{\prime}=(-1,0)$. These circles allow a poristic one-parameter family of interscribed triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ such that $c_{1}$ is the common circumcircle, $\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{2},\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ is tangent to $c_{3}$, and $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ passes through $c_{0}$ if their center coordinates $t_{i}$ satisfy (26), which implies that their radii satisfy (24).

Surprisingly, the condition on the radii of the circles $c_{i}$ mentioned in Thm. 5 equals the condition in Thm. 4, i.e., the choice of the zero circle does not effect the condition on the radii.

Fig. 9 shows the two different versions of Poncelet porisms with three proper circles an a zero circle $c_{0}$. The left-hand side of Fig. 9 shows the variant with the right zero circle $c_{0}$. The trace $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of the centroid is also displayed. The right-hand side of Fig. 9 displays a porism with the left zero circle $c_{0}^{\prime}$ and a circle $c_{3}$ encircling the point $c_{0}^{\prime}$. The traces $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ (black) and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ (violet) of the incenter and the orthocenter are also shown. The locus $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of the incenter has six cusps (two are two-fold) which stem from degenerate triangles in the poristic family.

In any case, the loci of the centers $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}$ (and most probably of many others) consist of two branches and can, therefore, never be rational curves.

Surprisingly, the limits of the orthocenters of the flat triangles are proper points, and thus, the curve $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ shown in Fig. 9 (right) has no real points at infinity.

### 3.3 Equations of point orbits

In the present case (with one zero circle), it is possible to parametrize the traces of the triangle vertices explicitly in terms of one real parameter $T$. By virtue of (18) and (19), this is obvious for the points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Assuming that $u_{i}$ are chosen such that the equations (21) are dependent, then we can solve (for example) the first equation with respect to the parameter $U$ and find

$$
U=\left(u_{1} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)\left(2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{2}^{2}-1\right) T \pm \frac{2\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right) \sqrt{u_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(T^{2}+1\right)\left(T^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)}}{T^{2}\left(u_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2}-4 u_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}-1\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)} .
$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}_{23}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{31}$ given in (21) are elliptic quartic curves with their only singularities (ordinary double points) at the points $0: 1: 0$ and $0: 0: 1$ in the projectively closed [ $T, U]$-plane.

With the presence of algebraic parametrizations of the triangle vertices $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ it is possible to parametrize the trace of any triangle center. The crucial point is the implicitization (which cannot be done automatically, even with Maple) and it is not so easy to prove that the degree of the curves $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ equals 12 .

## 4 Further closing conditions

In this section, we give the closing condition for poristic triangles interscribed between four circles in a hyperbolic pencil. Further, we deliver two closing conditions for parabolic pencils of circles. This list is far from being complete.

It is not necessary to write down the computation of these conditions in detail, since the techniques used for that purpose do not differ very much from those used in Sec. 2 and Sec. 3.

### 4.1 Four circles of a hyperbolic pencil

As we have promised earlier, we also give the closing condition for four different circles of a hyperbolic pencil. The four circles $c_{i}(i \in\{1,2,3,4\})$ with centers $\left(t_{i}, 0\right)$ and radii $r_{i}=\sqrt{t_{i}^{2}-1}$ of the hyperbolic standard pencil allow a one-parameter family of interscribed triangles if $t_{i}$ are subject to

$$
4 t_{1}^{4}-4(\sigma+\pi) t_{1}^{3}+\left(\omega^{2}+6 \omega-3\right) t_{1}^{2}-2(\omega-1)(\sigma+\pi) t_{1}+(\pi+\sigma)^{2}-4 \omega=0
$$

where we have used the abbreviations

$$
\sigma=t_{2}+t_{3}+t_{4}, \quad \omega=t_{2} t_{3}+t_{3} t_{3}+t_{t} t_{2}, \quad \pi=t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}
$$

Again, we have assumed that $c_{1}$ is the circumcircle of $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ and the each other circle is tangent to exactly one line of the triangle. A condition on the four radii can also be computed by eliminating $t_{i}$ from the latter equation with (4). It turns out to be of degree 24.

### 4.2 Some simple examples from parabolic pencils

The following examples of closing conditions were just bycatch and yield comparably simple relations between circle parameters (in the pencil) or radii of the circles.

### 4.2.1 Four generic circles

The circles $c_{1}$ of a parabolic pencil can be given by their equations as

$$
c_{i}: x^{2}-2 t_{i} x+y^{2}=0
$$

with $t_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ (see [4]). Again $c_{1}$ is assumed to be the common circumcircle of the triangles. In this case, the circles $c_{i}$ are centered at $\left(t_{i}, 0\right)$ and have the radii $t_{i}$. Poristic families of triangles $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ whose sides $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right],\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right],\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ are tangent to the circles $c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ show up if the four radii satisfy

$$
4 \delta t_{1}^{3}-\sigma^{2} t_{1}^{2}+2 \delta \sigma t_{1}-\delta^{2}=0
$$

where $\delta=t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}$ and $\sigma=t_{2} t_{3}+t_{2} t_{4}+t_{3} t_{4}$.

### 4.2.2 Concentric circles

Let $c_{1}$ be the circumcircle with radius $r_{1}$ and the concentric circles $c_{i}$ with radii $r_{i}$ and $i \in\{2,3,4\}$. Then, it is obvious that the sides of the triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ inscribed into $c_{1}$ with sides $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right],\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right],\left[P_{3}, P_{1}\right]$ tangent to the circles $c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ has the following side lengths: $\overline{P_{1} P_{i}}=2 \sqrt{r_{1}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}}$ for all $i \in\{2,3,4\}$. Therefore, the triangles of the poristic family are of equal size and perform a pure rotation about $X_{3}$ (the center of $c_{1}$ ). Consequently, all centers (except $X_{3}$ ) of the triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ move on circles while the triangle traverses the poristic family.

The well-known formula $4 R F=a b c$ (relating the three side lengths $a, b, c$ with the area $F$ and the circumradius of a triangle) yields the relation

$$
\left(r_{1}^{3}-r_{1} r_{2}^{2}-r_{1} r_{3}^{2}-r_{1} r_{4}^{2}-2 r_{2} r_{3} r_{4}\right)\left(r_{1}^{3}-r_{1} r_{2}^{2}-r_{1} r_{3}^{2}-r_{1} r_{4}^{2}+2 r_{2} r_{3} r_{4}\right)=0
$$

between the four radii in order to allow a poristic family.

### 4.2.3 Final remarks

There are still many metric special types of pencils of conics left to discus and to look for closing conditions for poristic triangles and $n$-gons with arbitrary numbers of vertices. The computational approach towards these conditions shown so far may cause troubles for sufficiently high $n$. It is also questionable whether our approach is an efficient one. For low $n$, we are at least able to give closing conditions, and in some simple or special cases, we can derive equations of poristic traces of triangle centers. We cannot expect that the traces and their computation are as simple as it is for the Chapple porism in [9]. At least from the number theoretic point of view, an entirely rational approach and a search for entirely rational solutions (families of poristic $n$-gons) may be interesting. However, this could be done in a future paper.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The type of pencil does not matter. From the projective point of view there are five different types, cf. [4].

