Generalized Gelfand Pairs Associated to Heisenberg Type Groups

Fernando Levstein^{*} and Linda Saal^{*}

Communicated by G. Ólafsson

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{Z} \oplus V$ be the Lie algebra corresponding to a group of Heisenberg type N. Assume that V is an irreducible Clifford module. In this article we determine the generalized Gelfand pairs (K, \mathbf{N}) , where K is the group of automorphisms of \mathfrak{N} that preserve V.

Mathematics Subject Index 2000: Primary 43A80 Secondary 17B10. Key Words and Phrases: Gelfand pair, group of type H.

1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{N} be a real two-step nilpotent Lie algebra, endowed with an inner product \langle , \rangle . Let \mathfrak{Z} denote the centre of \mathfrak{N} and let V be its orthogonal complement.

For $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$, define the linear map $J_z : V \to V$ by

$$\langle J_z v, w \rangle = \langle z, [v, w] \rangle$$

for all $v, w \in V$, where [,] denotes the bracket in \mathfrak{N} . We say that \mathfrak{N} is a Lie algebra of Heisenberg type (or of type H) if, for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ with |z| = 1, J_z is an orthogonal transformation on V (cf. [6]).

A connected and simply connected Lie group N is of Heisenberg type if its Lie algebra is of type H. Since for |z| = 1, J_z is both orthogonal and skewsymmetric,

$$J_z^2 = -Id.$$

So by linearity and polarization we have for $z, w \in \mathfrak{Z}$

$$J_z J_w + J_w J_z = -2\langle z, w \rangle Id.$$
⁽¹⁾

Let $m := \dim \mathfrak{Z}$ and let C(m) be the Clifford algebra $C(\mathfrak{Z}, -||^2)$. Then by (1) the action J of \mathfrak{Z} on V extends to a representation of C(m). The notions *irreducible* and *isotypic*, when attributed to V, refer to its Clifford module structure.

^{*}This work was supported by CONICET, FONCYT, Secyt UNC.

Let us denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{N})$ the automorphisms group of \mathfrak{N} . The subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N})$ of automorphims that preserve V is essentially the semidirect product of two subgroups U and $\operatorname{Clif}(m)$, which we describe as follows:

$$U = \{g \in \operatorname{Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N}) : g_{|_{\mathfrak{I}}} = Id\}.$$

Warning: $U \cap O(\mathfrak{N})$ corresponds to the subgroup denoted by U in [8] and [11].

For a unit vector $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$, let $\rho_z : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathfrak{Z}$ denote the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to z and let $\operatorname{Pin}(m)$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N})$ generated by $\{(-\rho_z, J_z) : z \in \mathfrak{Z}, |z| = 1\}$. We also denote by $\operatorname{Spin}(m)$ the subgroup generated by the even products $(\rho_z \rho_w, J_z J_w)$, with |z| = |w| = 1, and finally by $\operatorname{Clif}(m)$ the subgroup generated by $\{(-|z|^2 \rho_z, J_z), z \neq 0\}$. It can be proved that U is a classical group that commutes with $\operatorname{Spin}(m)$. Moreover $U \cap \operatorname{Clif}(m)$ has at most four elements and $\operatorname{Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N}) = U\operatorname{Clif}(m)$ or $[\operatorname{Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N}) : U\operatorname{Clif}(m)] = 2$, depending on the congruence of $m \equiv (8)$. A precise result is given in [12]. Given a compact subgroup K of a Lie group G, we recall that the pair (G, K) is called a *Gelfand pair* if for each irreducible, unitary representation π of G, the space of K-fixed vectors is at most one dimensional.

For a compact subgroup $K \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{N})$ we consider the semidirect product G = KN. One says that (K, N) is a Gelfand pair if (KN, K) is a Gelfand pair. Equivalently if the convolution algebra $L_K^1(N)$ of K-invariant integrable functions on N is commutative.

Let A(N) be the group of orthogonal automorphisms of N. In [11], there is a classification of the groups N for which (A(N), N) is a Gelfand pair. Also in [8] it was raised the question of when (K, N) is a Gelfand pair, for some specific subgroups K of A(N).

The notion of Gelfand pair was extended to non compact, unimodular subgroups K of a unimodular Lie group: the pair (K, N) is a generalized Gelfand pair if for each irreducible, unitary representation π of KN, the space of K-fixed distribution vectors is at most one dimensional. For surveys, see [14] and [16]. In [9] the authors considered the cases (K, H_n) where H_n is the Heisenberg group 2n + 1 dimensional and K is a subgroup of $U(p,q) \subset Aut(H_n), p+q=n$.

Our aim here is to determine the generalized Gelfand pairs (K, N) where N is an *irreducible* group of Heisenberg type and $K = \text{Spin}(m) \times U$. For a list of such groups U see the beginning of section 3.

The results obtained here jointly with those in [11] and in [3] allow us to state the following:

Theorem Let N be an irreducible group of Heisenberg type. Then (K, N) is a generalized Gelfand pair if and only if $1 \le m \le 9$.

Acknowledgement: We are indebted to D. Barbasch, R. Howe, J. Vargas and J. Wolf for many useful conversations. We also want to thank the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and his precise observations.

2. Preliminary results

We recall some definitions and results which will be used in the following. The irreducible, unitary, representations of a group of Heisenberg type N are described in [8]. They are:

* Infinite -dimensional representations, parametrized by the non zero elements of the centre \mathfrak{Z} : for $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{Z}$, |a| = 1, the corresponding representation π_a is realized on the Fock space \mathcal{F}_a of entire functions on (V, J_a) .

* Unitary characters, $\chi_v(z, w) = e^{i \langle w, v \rangle}$, defined for each $v \in V$.

For $K \subset \text{Aut}(N)$ we now recall the construction of unitary, irreducible representations of KN, according to Mackey's theory [10]. For each $\pi \in \widehat{N}$ and $k \in K$, let π_k be the representation

$$\pi_k(n) = \pi(kn)$$

and let K_{π} be the stabilizer of π , that is, $K_{\pi} = \{k \in K : \pi_k \simeq \pi\}$. For $k \in K_{\pi}$, we can choose an intertwining operator $\omega_{\pi}(k)$ of π , in such a way that the map $k \to \omega_{\pi}(k)$ is a *projective representation* of K_{π} , that is,

$$\omega_{\pi}(k_1k_2) = \sigma(k_1, k_2)\omega_{\pi}(k_1)\omega_{\pi}(k_2).$$

 ω_{π} is called the *intertwining representation of* π and σ the *multiplier* for the projective representation ω_{π} .

Denote by $\widehat{K_{\pi}^{\sigma}}$ the set of (equivalence classes) irreducible, unitary projective representations of K_{π} with multiplier σ .

If $\rho \in \widehat{K_{\pi}^{\sigma}}$ then

$$\Theta(k,n) = \rho(k) \otimes \omega_{\pi}(k)\pi(n)$$

is an irreducible representation of $K_{\pi}N$. Moreover the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{\pi}N}^{KN}(\Theta)$ is an irreducible representation for KN and, by considering all $\pi \in \widehat{N}$ and $\rho \in \widehat{K_{\pi}^{\sigma}}$, one obtains all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of KN.

From now on we will consider the cases K = Spin(m)U. As it is stated in Prop 3.1 in [9], the representations of KN coming from characters of N give rise to irreducible unitary representations of KV. Since V is an abelian group, (K, V) is a generalized Gelfand pair and so the space of distribution vectors fixed by K is at most one dimensional. Then, in order to determine when (K, N) is a generalized Gelfand pair, it is enough to consider only those representations of KN associated to π_a , for $a \in \mathfrak{Z}$.

For $a \in \mathfrak{Z}$, let ω_a be the *intertwining representation* of \mathcal{F}_a , also called metaplectic representation. Let $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m)$ denote the group generated by the operators in the set $\{J_b J_c : b \perp a \perp c, |b| = |c| = 1\}$.

We observe that

$$K_a := K_{\pi_a} = \operatorname{Spin}_a(m) U$$
.

Since the elements of $\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)$ are orthogonal transformations which commute with J_a , $K_a \subset \operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a) = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) : g^t J_a g = J_a\}$. Let N_a be the Heisenberg group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{Z}_a = \mathbb{R}a \oplus V$. Then $\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$ is the group of automorphims of N_a , which fix a.

Given a representation (ρ, V_{ρ}) of a subgroup H of K, let $C(K; V_{\rho})$ denote the space of continuous functions $f: K \to V_{\rho}$ such that $f(kh) = \rho(h^{-1})f(k)$ for all $k \in K, h \in H$, and $\int_{K/H} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{K}(V_{\rho})$ is the completion of $C(K; V_{\rho})$. Moreover, a C^{∞} -vector of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{K}(V_{\rho})$ is an infinitely differentiable function $f \in C(K; V_{\rho})$ (see[17], page 373). We denote by V_{ρ}^{∞} (resp $V_{\rho}^{-\infty}$) the space of C^{∞} -vectors (resp. distribution vectors) and recall that $V_{\rho}^{-\infty}$ is the antidual space of V_{ρ}^{∞} .

Lemma 2.1. Let f be a C^{∞} -vector of $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{\sigma}}^{K}(V_{\rho})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)} f(k) \, dk = 0$$

Let μ be a Spin(m)-invariant distribution vector of $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_a}^K(V_{\rho})$. Then $\langle \mu, f \rangle = 0$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} \langle \mu, f \rangle \, dk \qquad \text{since } dk \text{ on } \operatorname{Spin}(m) \text{ is normalized}$$

$$= \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} \langle \mu, L_k f \rangle \, dk \qquad \text{by left invariance of } \mu.$$

$$= \langle \mu, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_k f \, dk \rangle.$$

But for $x = hu \in \text{Spin}(m)U$ we have that

$$\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_k f(x) dk = \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f(kx) \, dk = \rho(u^{-1}) \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f(kh) \, dk = 0$$

since dk is right invariant.

Theorem 2.2. (K, N) is a generalized Gelfand pair if and only if (K_a, N_a) is a generalized Gelfand pair for each $a \in \mathfrak{Z}$ (cf. Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 stated in [11]).

Proof. \Rightarrow) For $\lambda \neq 0$, let us denote by $(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \pi_{\lambda})$ the Fock representation of N_a determined by $\pi_{\lambda}(\exp ta) = e^{i\lambda t}$, and by ω_{λ} the metaplectic representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$. Let (ρ, V_{ρ}) be an irreducible representation of $K_a N_a$ and assume, by contradiction, that T_1, T_2 are distribution vectors of V_{ρ} , fixed by K_a and linearly independent. Then there exists some $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\rho = \overline{\gamma} \otimes \pi_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda}$, $\gamma \in \widehat{K_a^{\sigma_{\lambda}}}$. It is immediate that ρ is irreducible as K_a N-module. We know that $(\pi, H_{\pi}) := \operatorname{Ind}_{K_a N}^{KN}(V_{\rho})$ is an irreducible representation of KN and that as K-module, H_{π} is the representation induced by the K_a -module $\overline{\gamma} \otimes \omega_{\lambda}$.

We define $\mu_1, \mu_2: H^{\infty}_{\pi} \to C$ by

$$\langle \mu_j, f \rangle := \langle T_j, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f \rangle$$

There exists a surjective morphism $C_c^{\infty}(K, V_{\rho}) \to C^{\infty}(K; V_{\rho})$ defined by

$$f \to f_{\rho}(h) = \int_{K_a} \rho(\xi) f(h\xi) \, d\xi$$

Let T be a non zero distribution vector fixed by K_a and $v \in V_{\rho}$ such that $\langle T, v \rangle \neq 0$. We choose $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(S^{m-1})$ and $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ with $\int_{S^{m-1}} \varphi \neq 0 \neq \int_U \psi$ and set $f(ku) = \varphi(k \operatorname{Spin}_a) \psi(u) v$, for $k \in \operatorname{Spin}(m), u \in U$. Then, since $\operatorname{Spin}(m)$ commutes with U,

$$f_{\rho}(ku) = \int_{K_{a}} \rho(hu') f(kuhu') dh du'$$

=
$$\int_{K_{a}} \varphi(kh \operatorname{Spin}_{a}) \psi(uu') \rho(hu') v dh du'$$

=
$$\varphi(k \operatorname{Spin}_{a}) \int_{\operatorname{Spin}_{a} \times U} \psi(uu') \rho(hu') v dh du'.$$

Hence

$$f_{\rho}(ku) = \varphi(k \operatorname{Spin}_{a})\chi(u)$$

where $\chi(u) = \int_{\text{Spin}_a \times U} \psi(uu') \rho(hu') v \, dh du'$.

We observe that since T is a distribution vector fixed by $\rho(K_a)$

$$\langle T, \chi(u) \rangle = \langle T, \chi(e) \rangle$$
 for $u \in U$.

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}_a \times U} \psi(uu')\rho(hu')v \, dhdu' \rangle &= \int_{\operatorname{Spin}_a \times U} \psi(uu')\langle T, \rho(hu')v \rangle \, dhdu' \\ &= \langle T, v \rangle (\int_U \psi(uu') \, du') \\ &= \langle T, \chi(e) \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since v was chosen so that $\langle T, v \rangle \neq 0$, we obtain that $\langle T, \chi(e) \rangle \neq 0$ and so $f_{\rho}(e) \neq 0$. Thus $f_{\rho} \neq 0$.

Moreover, since

$$\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f_{\rho}(g) \, dg = \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} \varphi(g \operatorname{Spin}_{a}) \, dg\chi(e) \tag{2}$$

we obtain that

$$\langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f_{\rho} \rangle \neq 0.$$
 (3)

So μ defined by $\langle \mu, f \rangle := \langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f \rangle$ is a non zero vector distribution of H_{π} .

Let us see that μ is $\pi(K)$ -invariant. We recall that the action of π on H_{π} is by left translations. For $u \in U$, $\langle \mu, L_u f \rangle = \langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_u f \rangle$. It follows that $\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_u f \, dk = \int f(uk) dk = \int f(ku) \, dk = \rho(u^{-1}) \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f(k) \, dk$, since $\operatorname{Spin}(m)$ commutes with U. So by the U-invariance of T we have $\langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_u f \rangle = \langle \rho_{-\infty}(u)T, \int f \rangle = \langle T, \int f \rangle$. Finally if $h \in \operatorname{Spin}(m)$, $\langle \mu, L_h f \rangle = \langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} L_h f \rangle = \langle T, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f \rangle$ by the left invariance of the integral.

Recall that by assumption there exist T_1 and T_2 distribution vectors of V_{ρ} fixed by K_a and linearly independent. Replacing T above by T_j and choosing $v_j \neq 0$ such that $\langle T_j, v_j \rangle \neq 0$, the above argument shows that there exist two non zero distribution vectors μ_1 and μ_2 , fixed by K. They are linearly independent:

indeed, if $a\mu_1 + b\mu_2 = 0$ then $0 = \langle a\mu_1 + b\mu_2, f \rangle = \langle aT_1 + bT_2, \int_{\text{Spin}(m)} f \rangle$ for all $f \in C^{\infty}(K; \rho)$. But 3 implies that $aT_1 + bT_2 = 0$ and so a = b = 0. This contradicts the fact that (K, N) is a generalized Gelfand pair.

 \Leftrightarrow) Let (π, H_{π}) be an irreducible representation of KN and assume that there exist two linearly independent distribution vectors μ_1, μ_2 fixed by K. Rephrasing Prop. 3.1 in [9], we know that this representation can not be induced by a character. So, there exist $(a \neq 0) \in \mathfrak{Z}, \ (\lambda \neq 0) \in \mathbb{R}, \ \gamma \in \widehat{K_a^{\sigma_{\lambda}}}$ and $\rho = \overline{\gamma} \otimes \pi_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda}$ such that

$$H_{\pi} = \operatorname{Ind}_{K_a N}^{K N}(V_{\rho}) = \operatorname{Ind}_{K_a N_a}^{K N}(V_{\rho})$$

Define $T_j \in V_{\rho}^{-\infty}$ by the rule

$$\langle T_j, \int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f \rangle := \langle \mu_j, f \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.1 above, T_j is well defined. Let us see that T_j is defined on a dense subset of V_{ρ}^{∞} : choosing v, φ, ψ , and f_{ρ} as in the first part of the proof, 2 implies that

$$\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} f_{\rho}(g) \, dg = \left(\int_{\operatorname{Spin}(m)} \varphi(g\operatorname{Spin}_{a}) \, dg\right) \chi(e)$$

with $\chi(e) = \int \psi(u)\rho(u)v du = \rho(\psi)v$. By the well known Garding lemma (see for example [13], page 11), the assertion follows. It is easy to see that T_i are K_a -invariant and linearly independent.

In what follows it is enough to consider $\lambda = 1$ and we set $\omega = \omega_1$. We recall that the metaplectic representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$ extends to an ordinary representation for its double covering. If H is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$, let $p : H^{\sigma} \to H$ the canonical twofold covering homomorphism.

The following theorem is just a slight modification of Theorem 2.1 in [9].

Theorem 2.3. Let $H \subset \operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$ be a subgroup such that every unitary irreducible representation of H^{σ} has a character distribution. Then the pair (H, N_a) is a generalized Gelfand pair if and only if the restriction to H of the metaplectic representation ω is multiplicity free (as a projective representation).

Proof. \Leftarrow) Let us consider an irreducible, unitary representation V_{ρ} of HN_a , and assume that V_{ρ} has two linearly independent fixed distribution vectors. For $h \in H^{\sigma}$ define $\rho(h) = \rho(p(h))$. Since $V_{\rho} = W \otimes \omega$ with W an H^{σ} -irreducible module, Theorem 2.1 in [9] asserts that \overline{W} appears twice in the decomposition of ω .

 \Rightarrow) Assume that W appears in ω more than once as a projective representation. Again by Theorem 2.1 in [9] $V_{\rho} = \overline{W} \otimes \omega$ is a representation of H^{σ} , having more than one fixed distribution vector. Since W has the same cocycle as ω, V_{ρ} is a representation of H with more than one fixed distribution vector. So (H, N_a) can not be a generalized Gelfand pair.

3. The main result

According to the results in the previous section we are interested in determining when the restriction of the metaplectic representation $\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\operatorname{Sp}(V,J_a)}$ is multiplicity free.

Let N be an irreducible group of type H, the subgroups U of ${\rm Aut}_V(\mathfrak{N})$ corresponding to N are :

$SL(2,\mathbb{R}),\ldots,\mathbf{m}$	\equiv	$1 \pmod{8}$
$SL(2,\mathbb{C}),\ldots,\mathbf{m}$	\equiv	$2 \pmod{8}$
$U(1,\mathbb{H}),\ldots,\mathbf{m}$	\equiv	$3 (\mathrm{mod} 8)$
$\operatorname{GL}(1,\mathbb{H}),\ldots,\mathbf{m}$	\equiv	$4(\mathrm{mod}8)$
U(1),m	\equiv	$5(\mathrm{mod}8)$
O(1), m	\equiv	$6(\mathrm{mod}8)$
O(1), m	\equiv	$7 \pmod{8}$
$\mathbb{R}^*, \dots, \mathbf{m}$	\equiv	$8 \pmod{8}$

The group U is compact when $\mathbf{m} \equiv 3, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$. It is shown in [11] that in these cases, with N irreducible, $(\text{Spin}(m) \times U, \text{N})$ is a Gelfand pair if and only if m = 5, 6, or 7.

We will therefore study $\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\operatorname{Sp}(V,J_a)}$, the restriction of the metaplectic representation, for $\mathbf{m} \equiv 1, 2, 4, 8 \pmod{8}$.

First we observe that the groups appearing in the list satisfy the conditions for H in the above theorem.

Next we will apply a result due to V. Kac. Let H be a compact, connected subgroup of U(l) and denote by $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. Assume that the action of H on \mathbb{C}^l is irreducible. In [5] it is given the precise list of pairs $(H_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^l)$, such that the corresponding action of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the polynomial ring $P(\mathbb{C}^l)$ is multiplicity free, (see also [2]).

Moreover, let us denote by T the one dimensional torus and by $P_r(\mathbb{C}^l), r \in \mathbb{N}$, the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree α with $|\alpha| = r$. Then T acts on $P_r(\mathbb{C}^l)$ by e^{irt} . Thus $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts without multiplicity on each $P_r(\mathbb{C}^l), r \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if the action of $H_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $P(\mathbb{C}^l)$ is multiplicity free.

Remark 3.1. We recall that there are two models for the representations of the Heisenberg group. The Fock model realized on the space of holomorphic functions on (V, J_a) which are square integrable with respect to the measure $e^{-|z|^2}dz$ and the Schroedinger model realized on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N = \frac{\dim V}{2}$. An intertwining operator sends the monomials $z^{\alpha} = z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_N^{i_l}$ to $h_{\alpha}(x) = h_{i_1}(x_1)h_{i_2}(x_2)\dots h_{i_N}(x_N)$ where $h_i(t) = H_i(t)e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$ and $H_i(t)$ is the Hermite polynomial of degree *i*. We also define $H_{\alpha}(x) := H_{i_1}(x_1)H_{i_2}(x_2)\dots H_{i_N}(x_N)$.

Write $V = \mathbb{R}^N \oplus J_a \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $\mathrm{SO}(N) = \mathrm{U}(N) \cap \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Then the metaplectic action of $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ on $P_r(V)$ corresponds to an action on the space $H^r = \operatorname{span}\{h_\alpha : |\alpha| = r\}$. This action preserves the filtration given by the degree, and induces an action on $P_r(\mathbb{R}^N) = \operatorname{span}\{x^\alpha : |\alpha| = r\}$. If $H_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha +$ lower degree terms and $k \in \mathrm{SO}(N)$ then $k.x^\alpha =$ highest degree terms of $(k.H_\alpha)$. In fact we obtain the natural action of $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ on $P_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 3.2. The Mellin transform is the Fourier transform adapted to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and it is defined by $Mf(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f(s)s^{i\lambda}\frac{ds}{s}$. The action of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0},\frac{ds}{s})$ given by $\delta_t f(s) = f(ts)$ decomposes, via the Mellin transform, as

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \frac{ds}{s}) \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\lambda} \, d\lambda \, .$$

where F_{λ} is the \mathbb{C} -vector space generated by $s^{i\lambda}$ (see [13], page 168.)

We notice that the module generated by $g_r(s) = s^r e^{-s}, r \in \mathbb{N}$, is $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, s^{-1}ds)$. Indeed, by a well known Wiener theorem, it is enough to prove that $Mg_r(s) \neq 0$ for all s, but this holds since $Mg_r(\lambda) = \int s^r e^{-s} s^{i\lambda} \frac{ds}{s} = \Gamma(r-1+i\lambda) \neq 0$, where Γ denotes the gamma function.

Now we will consider the cases, according to the congruences of $m \mod 8$. $\mathbf{m} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.

In this case $U = \operatorname{GL}(1,\mathbb{H}) = \operatorname{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$, where V^+ and V^- are real, inequivalent, irreducible $C^+(m)$ -modules, dim $V^{\pm} = N$. Also V^+ and V^- are real irreducible equivalent $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m)$ -modules. So $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m)$ embeds in $\operatorname{SO}(N)$, via the spin representation. $\operatorname{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ is embedded in $\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)$ as $q \to a_q = (R_q, R_{\overline{q}^{-1}})$ so that $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ acts by right multiplication by q. So, the metaplectic action of $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the natural one of $\operatorname{SO}(N)$ and setting $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, dx) = L^2(S^{N-1}, d\sigma) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, r^{n-1}dr)$, we have that the action of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is given by

$$\omega(a_t)f(x) = t^{\frac{N}{2}}f(tx), \ t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This last action is equivalent to $\delta_t f(s) = f(ts)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \frac{ds}{s})$. Assume that the action of $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ is multiplicity free on each $P_r(V)$ and let V_α be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ in $P_r(V)$. For $p \in V_\alpha$, we consider the function $p(x)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} = p(\frac{x}{|x|})|x|^r e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$. Then $\operatorname{SO}(N)$ acts on $p(\frac{x}{|x|})$ in the natural way and by Remark 3.2, the action of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on $s^r e^{-s}$ generates a space isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \frac{ds}{s})$. We conclude that the K_a - module generated by V_α , which is the isotypical component, is $V_\alpha \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, s^{n-1}ds)$. So

$$\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\operatorname{Sp}(V,J_a)} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha \otimes e^{i\lambda t} \, dt$$

and the decomposition is multiplicity free.

On the other hand, if p(x) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k

$$\omega(a_t)p(x)e^{-|x|^2} = t^{\frac{N}{2}+k}p(x)e^{-|x|^2}.$$
(4)

and the infinitesimal action is given by

$$\omega(t\frac{d}{dt})(p(x)e^{-|x|^2}) = t(k + \frac{N}{2} - 2|x|^2)p(x)e^{-|x|^2}.$$
(5)

Let W_1, W_2 two equivalent, irreducible $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ -modules in some $P_r(V)$, and let H_1, H_2 be the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -modules generated by them. Then the above proof shows that H_1 is equivalent to H_2 . If the metaplectic action of K is multiplicity free then $H_1 = H_2$. So $H_1 \cap P_r(V) = H_2 \cap P_r(V)$. But 5 implies that $W_1 = W_2$. Since $\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{4} \pmod{8}$, we have that V is a complex irreducible $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ module. By looking at Kac list, we know that the action of $\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \times \operatorname{SU}(2) \times T$ on P(V) is multiplicity free only for m = 4. This case corresponds to the action
of $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and the decomposition of $\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\operatorname{Sp}(V, J_a)}$ was given
in [3].

 $\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{0} \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 8).$

In this case $U = \mathbb{R}^*$ and the action is given by

$$\omega(a_t)f(x) = |t|^{\frac{N}{2}}f(tx).$$

We observe that $-I \in \text{Spin}_a(m) \cap U$. Thus the action of K_a on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the same action of $\text{Spin}_a(m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and we repeat the argument of the above proof to conclude that $\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\text{Sp}(V,J_a)}$ is multiplicity free only for m = 8. See also [3].

$\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{8}$

The case m = 1 corresponds to the classical Heisenberg group. It is well known that $L^2(V)$ decomposes under the metaplectic action of $U \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ as a sum of two unequivalent irreducible components corresponding to the even and odd functions respectively. When m > 1, $U \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $K_a \simeq \mathrm{Spin}_a(m) \times$ $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Also, V can be decomposed as $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$ -module as an orthogonal direct sum

$$V = V_{\Lambda} \oplus J_a V_{\Lambda}$$

where V_{Λ} is the real spin representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(m)$, $\dim V_{\Lambda} = N$. Thus, via the spin representation, $\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)$ is embedded in $\operatorname{SO}(N)$ and as $\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)$ -modules $V_{\Lambda} = V_{\Lambda^{+}} \oplus V_{\Lambda^{-}}$ where $V_{\Lambda^{+}}, V_{\Lambda^{-}}$ are the half spin representations. Thus we have the embeddings

$$\operatorname{Spin}_a(m) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2}) \times \operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SO}(N).$$

Besides, $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is embedded in $Sp(V, J_a)$ as $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} aI & -bQ \\ cQ & dI \end{pmatrix}$, where $Q = Q^t, QQ^t = I$ (see Prop 5.2 in [7]). It is well known that (see [15], page 443)

$$\omega \downarrow_{\mathrm{SO}(N) \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{Sp}(V,J_a)} = \bigoplus_k V_{k\Lambda} \otimes D_{l(k)} \,.$$

where $V_{k\Lambda}$ denotes the irreducible representation of SO(N) on the harmonic polynomials of degree k on V_{Λ} , and $D_{l(k)}$ is a discrete series representation of SL(2, \mathbb{R}) and $l(k) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{N}{4}$ denotes the lowest K-type. Also

$$V_{k\Lambda}\downarrow_{\mathrm{SO}(\frac{N}{2})\times\mathrm{SO}(\frac{N}{2})}^{\mathrm{SO}(N)} = \bigoplus_{r,s} V_{r\Lambda^+} \otimes V_{s\Lambda^-}$$

where the sum runs over the integers r, s such that k - r - s is an even, non negative integer (see [15], page 211).

We consider two possibilities for m:

Case m > 9.

We have that as $\operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2})$ -modules, $P_r(V^+) = V_{r\Lambda^+} \oplus V_{(r-2)\Lambda^+} \oplus V_{(r-4)\Lambda^+} \oplus \dots$ and $P_r(V^-) = V_{r\Lambda^-} \oplus V_{(r-2)\Lambda^-} \oplus V_{(r-4)\Lambda^-} \oplus \dots$ As $\operatorname{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}(m-1) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ does not appear in Kac list, we deduce that there exists r for which the action of $\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)$ on $P_{r}(V^{+})$ can not be multiplicity free. Thus there exists an irreducible representation α that appears in $V_{(r-2i)\Lambda^{+}}$ and in $V_{(r-2j)\Lambda^{+}}$, for some $i \neq j$. Then $V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{r\Lambda^{-}}$ appears in $V_{(r-2i)\Lambda^{+}} \otimes V_{r\Lambda^{-}}$ and in $V_{(r-2j)\Lambda^{+}} \otimes V_{r\Lambda^{-}}$ concluding that $V_{k\Lambda}\downarrow_{\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)}^{\operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2}) \times \operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2})}$ is not multiplicity free.

Case m = 9.

In this case $\omega \downarrow_{K_a}^{\operatorname{Sp}(V,J_a)}$ is multiplicity free and the proof together with the corresponding decomposition is given in [3].

 $\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{2} \pmod{8}$

In this case $U \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. When m = 2, the metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ splits as a sum of two inequivalent irreducible $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ -modules. So we can assume $m \ge 10$. Then $K_a \simeq \mathrm{Spin}_a(m) \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and V decomposes as $\mathrm{Spin}_a(m)$ - module as an orthogonal direct sum

$$V = V_{\Lambda} \oplus J_a J_b V_{\Lambda} \oplus J_a V_{\Lambda} \oplus J_b V_{\Lambda} \,.$$

where a is orthogonal to b, and V_{Λ} denotes its real spin representation, dim $V_{\Lambda} = \frac{N}{2}$. Thus, $\operatorname{Spin}_{a}(m)$ is embedded in $\operatorname{SO}(\frac{N}{2})$.

Besides, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is embedded in $Sp(V, J_a)$ as $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} aI & -bQ \\ cQ & dI \end{pmatrix}$, where a, b, c, d belong to $\mathbb{C} = \{\alpha + \beta J_a J_b \text{ s.t. } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and Q is given by (4.2) in [7].

It is well known that $(O(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{C}), SL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ is a dual pair in $Sp(V, J_a)$. It follows from [1] that the restriction of ω to $O(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is multiplicity free and decomposes as $\omega \downarrow_{O(\frac{N}{2},\mathbb{C})\times SL(2,\mathbb{C})}^{Sp(V,J_a)} = \int_{\oplus} P_{\lambda}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) d\mu(\lambda)$, where $P_{\lambda}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \simeq$ $\pi_{\lambda} \otimes \pi^{\lambda}$. Moreover, for this pair, the correspondence between π_{λ} and π^{λ} is given explicitly in terms of the lowest K-types. D. Barbasch pointed to us that we can consider π^{λ} a tempered representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and in that case the restriction to $SO(\frac{N}{2},\mathbb{R})$ of the corresponding π_{λ} is not multiplicity free. Indeed let π^{λ} be a tempered representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ then $\pi^k := \pi^{\lambda}$ is a unitary principal series of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ with lowest K-type, the k+1- dimensional irreducible module of SU(2).

The corresponding $\pi_k := \pi_\lambda$ is the unitary principal series of $O(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{C})$ with lowest *K*-type the irreducible representation of $SO(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{R})$ given by the harmonic polynomials on V_{Λ} of degree *k*.

We will check that the restriction of π_k to $\mathrm{SO}(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{R})$ is not multiplicity free. First recall that if $\mathrm{O}(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{O}(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{R})AN$ denotes the Iwasawa decomposition, the commutator M of A in $\mathrm{O}(\frac{N}{2}, \mathbb{R})$ is a maximal torus of it. Thus, by Frobenius reciprocity, the multiplicity of the representation with highest weight $2k\Lambda$ in π_k , $[\pi_k: V_{2k\Lambda}]$ is equal to $m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda)$, the multiplicity of the weight $k\Lambda$ in $V_{2k\Lambda}$.

We compute $m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda)$ using Kostant multiplicity formula [4].

Lemma 3.3. We have for k = 2j

$$m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda) = \binom{\frac{N}{4} + j - 2}{j}$$

and $m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Let W be the Weyl group, W_1 the stabilizer of Λ , Δ a set of positive roots, $\Delta_1 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \langle \alpha, \Lambda \rangle = 0 \}$ and Π a set of simple roots for Δ . Then by Kostant formula

$$m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} sg(\sigma)K(\rho - \sigma(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda)$$

where $K(\mu)$ is the number of ways in which $-\mu$ can be written as a sum of positive roots. We will show that

$$m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_1} sg(\sigma)K(\rho - \sigma(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda).$$
(6)

Indeed, to prove 6 we will see that

if
$$\sigma \notin W_1$$
, then $K(\rho - \sigma(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda) = 0$.

Or equivalently, that if $\sigma \notin W_1$ then

$$\rho - \sigma(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} k_{\alpha}\alpha \text{ for some } k_{\alpha} \ge 1.$$
(7)

To see (7) we do induction on $l(\sigma)$: for $l(\sigma) \ge 1$, we write $\sigma = \tau r_{\alpha}$ with $l(\tau) < l(\sigma)$ and r_{α} the reflection corresponding to $\alpha \in \Pi$. Then

$$\rho - \sigma(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda = \rho - \tau\rho + \tau\rho - \tau r_{\alpha}(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda$$

$$= \rho - \tau\rho + \tau(\rho - r_{\alpha}\rho) - \tau r_{\alpha}(2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda$$

$$= \rho - \tau\rho + \tau(\alpha) - \tau(2k\Lambda - 2\frac{2k\langle\Lambda,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}\alpha) + k\Lambda$$

$$= \rho - \tau(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda + 2\frac{2k\langle\Lambda,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}\tau(\alpha) + \tau(\alpha).$$

We have two cases:

i) $\tau \notin W_1$. By induction $\rho - \tau(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} k_\beta \beta$ with some $k_\beta \ge 1$ and also $\tau(\alpha)$ is a positive root.

ii) $\tau \in W_1$. Then $r_{\alpha} \notin W_1$ and so $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and

$$\rho - \tau(\rho + 2k\Lambda) + k\Lambda + 2\frac{2k\langle\Lambda,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}\tau(\alpha) + \tau(\alpha)$$

= $\rho - \tau(\rho) - k\Lambda + (2\frac{2k\langle\Lambda,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle} + 1)\tau(\alpha)$
= $\rho - \tau(\rho) - k\Lambda + (2k+1)\tau(\alpha)$.

Let us see that $k_{\alpha_1} \geq 1$. We have that $\rho - \tau(\rho)$ is a sum of positive roots and since $\tau \in W_1, \tau$ is a product of reflections not involving r_{α_1} . Therefore $\tau(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + \sum_{\beta \in \Pi \setminus \alpha_1} k_{\beta}\beta$, and $\Lambda = \alpha_1 + \sum_{\beta \in \Pi \setminus \alpha_1} k_{\beta}\beta$. So $k_{\alpha_1} \geq k + 1$ and this proves 7.

Thus

$$m_{2k\Lambda}(k\Lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_1} sg(\sigma)K(\rho - \sigma\rho - k\omega_1).$$

Now by the proposition in page 317 of [3], with $S = \emptyset, T = \Delta$, and $\lambda = \Lambda$, we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in W_1} sg(\sigma) K(\rho - \sigma \rho - k\Lambda) = K_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_1}(-k\Lambda) \,.$$

Here $K_S(\mu)$ is the number of ways in which $-\mu$ can be written as a sum of roots in S. In this case $\Delta = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{N}{4}\}, \Lambda = \epsilon_1$ and $\Delta \setminus \Delta_1 = \{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_j : 2 \leq j \leq \frac{N}{4}\}$. It is not difficult to check that for even k = 2j,

$$K_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_1}(-k\Lambda) = {\binom{N}{4} + j - 2 \choose j} \ge 2 \text{ for } j \ge 2.$$

and when k = 2j + 1, $K_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_1}(-k\Lambda) = 0$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

References

- [1] Adams, J., and D. Barbasch, *Reductive dual pair correspondence for com*plex groups, J. of Funct. Analysis **132** (1995), 1–42.
- [2] Benson, C., J. Jenkins, and G. Ratcliff, On Gelfand pairs associated with solvable Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 85–116.
- [3] Galina, E., A. Kaplan, and F. Levstein, *The oscillator representation and groups of Heisenberg type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **210** (2000), 309–321.
- [4] Humphreys, J., "Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory," Graduate Texts in Math. 9, Springer, 1972.
- [5] Kac, V., Some remarks on nilpotent orbits, J. Algebra **64** (1980), 190–213.
- [6] Kaplan, A., Fundamental solutions for a class of hipoelliptic P.D.E. generated by composition of quadratic forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1980), 145–153.
- [7] Kaplan, A., F. Levstein, L. Saal, and A. Tiraboschi, *Horizontal submanifolds of groups of Heisenberg type*, Annali di Matematica **187** (2008), 67–91.
- [8] Kaplan, A., and F. Ricci, *Harmonic analysis on groups of Heisenberg type*, Springer Lectures Notes in Math. **992**, (1983), 416–435.
- [9] Mokni, K., and E. G. F. Thomas, *Paires de Guelfand généralisées associées au groupe d'Heisenberg*, J. of Lie Theory **8** (1998), 325–334.
- [10] Mackey, G., "Unitary group representation in physics," Probability and Number Theory, Benjamin-Cummings, 1978.
- Ricci, F., Commutative algebras of invariant functions on groups of Heisenberg type, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 32 (1985), 265–271.
- Saal, L., The automorphism group of a Lie algebra of Heisenberg type, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino 54 (1996), 101–113.
- [13] Taylor, M., "Non commutative harmonic analysis," Mathematical surveys and Monographs **22**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.

- [14] Thomas, E. G. F., The theorem of Bochner-Schwartz-Godement for generalized Gelfand pairs, in: Bierstedt, K.-D., and B. Fuchssteiner, Eds., "Functional Analysis: surveys and recent results III," Elsevier Science Publisher B. V., North Holland 1984.
- [15] Vilenkin, N., and A. Klimyk, "Representation of Lie groups and special functions, Vol. 2", in: M. Hazewinkel, Ed., "Mathematics and its Applications" (Soviet Series) 74, Kluwer A. P., 1992.
- [16] Van Dijk, G., *Group representations on spaces of distributions*, Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics **2** (1994), 57–68.
- [17] Warner, G., "Harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, I", Springer, 1972.

Fernando Levstein and Linda Saal Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física Universidad Nacional de Córdoba 5000 Córdoba Argentina levstein@famaf.unc.edu.ar, saal@famaf.unc.edu.ar

Received November 8, 2007 and in final form February 26, 2008