

## Heinz-Kato's Inequalities for Lie Groups

Tin-Yau Tam

Communicated by E. B. Vinberg

**Abstract.** Extensions of Heinz-Kato's inequalities and related inequalities are obtained for semisimple connected noncompact Lie groups.

*Mathematics Subject Index 2000:* Primary 22E46; Secondary 15A45.

*Keywords and phrases:* Heinz-Kato's inequalities, McIntosh's inequality, Cartan decomposition, Kostant's pre-order.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  be the set of  $n \times n$  complex matrices and let

$$\|X\| := \max_{\|v\|_2=1} \|Xv\|_2$$

denote the spectral norm of  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ . We have the following norm inequalities.

**Theorem 1.1.** 1. (Heinz-Kato [16, Theorem 3]) If  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite and  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ , then

$$\|A^t X B^t\| \leq \|X\|^{1-t} \|A X B\|^t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

2. (Heinz-Kato [17]) If  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite and  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ , then

$$\|A^t X B^{1-t}\| \leq \|A X\|^t \|X B\|^{1-t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

3. (McIntosh [23], Bhatia-Davis [4]) For  $A, B, X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ ,

$$\|A^* X B\| \leq \|A A^* X\|^{1/2} \|X B B^*\|^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

See [1, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25] for the inequalities and related inequalities.

By continuity it suffices to consider the general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  instead of  $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ . Moreover by the homogeneous property of  $\|\cdot\|$  we can restrict ourselves to  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

We will obtain some extensions of the above inequalities and other related inequalities in the context of semisimple connected noncompact Lie groups.

### 2. Log majorization

If we order the singular values of  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  in descending order

$$s_1(X) \geq \cdots \geq s_n(X),$$

then (2), for example, can be written as

$$s_1(A^t X B^{1-t}) \leq s_1^t(AX) s_1^{1-t}(XB), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{4}$$

Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  denote the set of positive  $n$ -tuples and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then  $a$  is said to be *log majorized* by  $b$ , denoted by  $a \prec_{\log} b$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^k a_{\sigma(i)} &\leq \max_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^k b_{\sigma(i)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n a_i &= \prod_{i=1}^n b_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Write

$$s(X) := (s_1(X), \dots, s_n(X)), \quad s^t(X) := (s_1^t(X), \dots, s_n^t(X)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Suppose  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The  $k$ th compound of  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  is defined to be the  $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$  complex matrix  $C_k(X)$  [22] whose elements are defined by

$$C_k(X)_{\alpha, \beta} = \det X[\alpha|\beta],$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{k,n}$  and  $Q_{k,n} = \{\omega = (\omega(1), \dots, \omega(k)) : 1 \leq \omega(1) < \dots < \omega(k) \leq n\}$  is the set of increasing sequences of length  $k$  chosen from  $1, \dots, n$ . For example, if  $n = 3$  and  $k = 2$ , then

$$C_2(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \det X[1, 2|1, 2] & \det X[1, 2|1, 3] & \det X[1, 2|2, 3] \\ \det X[1, 3|1, 2] & \det X[1, 3|1, 3] & \det X[1, 3|2, 3] \\ \det X[2, 3|1, 2] & \det X[2, 3|1, 3] & \det X[2, 3|2, 3] \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general  $C_1(X) = X$  and  $C_n(X) = \det X$ . Compound matrix has very nice properties: (i)  $C_k(AB) = C_k(A)C_k(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ , (ii) the eigenvalues of  $C_k(X)$  are  $\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{\omega(j)}(X)$ ,  $\omega \in Q_{k,n}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $X$ , (iii) the singular values of  $C_k(X)$  are  $\prod_{j=1}^k s_{\omega(j)}(X)$ ,  $\omega \in Q_{k,n}$ . The compound matrix  $C_k(X)$  is indeed the matrix representation (with respect to some induced basis) of the induced operator  $C_k(T)$  on the exterior space  $\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is an operator:  $C_k(T)v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k = Tv_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Tv_k$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

The following is an extension of Theorem 1.1. We will prove the second inequality and the rest are similar.

**Theorem 2.1.** 1. If  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite and  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then

$$s(A^t X B^t) \prec_{\log} s^{1-t}(X) s^t(AXB).$$

2. If  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite and  $X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then

$$s(A^t X B^{1-t}) \prec_{\log} s^t(A X) s^{1-t}(X B).$$

3. For  $A, B, X \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ ,

$$s(A^* X B) \prec_{\log} s^{1/2}(A A^* X) s^{1/2}(X B B^*).$$

**Proof.** Let  $C_k(X) \in \mathbb{C}_{\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}}$  denote the  $k$ th compound of  $X$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Notice that  $s_1(C_k(X)) = \prod_{i=1}^k s_i(X)$ ,  $C_k(XY) = C_k(X)C_k(Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ , and  $C_k(X)$  is positive semi-definite if  $X$  is positive semi-definite. So

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k s_i(A^t X B^{1-t}) &= s_1(C_k(A^t X B^{1-t})) \\ &= s_1(C_k(A)^t C_k(X) C_k(B)^{1-t}) \\ &\leq s_1^t(C_k(A X)) s_1^{1-t}(C_k(X B)) \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k s_i^t(A X) \prod_{i=1}^k s_i^{1-t}(X B). \end{aligned}$$

When  $k = n$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n s_i(A^t X B^{1-t}) = |\det(A^t X B^{1-t})| = (\det A)^t |\det X| (\det B)^{1-t}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^t(A X) \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{1-t}(X B) &= |\det(A X)|^t |\det(X B)|^{1-t} \\ &= (\det A)^t |\det X| (\det B)^{1-t}. \end{aligned}$$

■

### 3. A pre-order of Kostant

We now take a close look of Theorem 2.1 for  $X \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $A_+ \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the set of all positive diagonal matrices with diagonal entries in descending order. Recall that the singular value decomposition of  $X \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  asserts that there exist unitary matrices  $U, V$  such that

$$X = U a_+(X) V, \tag{5}$$

where  $a_+(X) = \text{diag}(s_1(X), \dots, s_n(X)) \in A_+$ . Though  $U$  and  $V$  in the decomposition (5) are not unique,  $a_+(X) \in A_+$  is uniquely defined.

Let  $G$  be a semisimple noncompact connected Lie group having  $\mathfrak{g}$  as its Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be a fixed Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K \subset G$  be the analytic subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then  $\text{Ad } K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Ad } G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal abelian subspace. The exponential map  $\exp : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A$  is bijective.

Set

$$P := \exp \mathfrak{p}.$$

The map  $K \times P \rightarrow G$ ,  $(k, p) \mapsto kp$  is a diffeomorphism. In particular

$$G = KP$$

and every element  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written as

$$g = kp, \quad k \in K, p \in P. \tag{6}$$

The map  $\Theta : G \rightarrow G$

$$\Theta(kp) = kp^{-1}, \quad k \in K, p \in P,$$

is an automorphism of  $G$  [19, p.387]. The map  $*$  :  $G \rightarrow G$  defined by

$$g^* := \Theta(g^{-1}) = pk^{-1}, \quad g \in G$$

is clearly a diffeomorphism. Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})$  which may be defined as the quotient of the normalizer of  $A$  in  $K$  modulo the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ . The Weyl group operates naturally in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $A$  and the isomorphism  $\exp : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A$  is a  $W$ -isomorphism.

Fix a *closed* Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}_+$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and set  $A_+ := \exp \mathfrak{a}_+$ . We have [11] the Cartan decomposition

$$G = KA_+K.$$

Though  $k_1, k_2 \in K$  are not unique in  $g = k_1ak_2$  ( $g \in G, k_1, k_2 \in K, a \in A_+$ ), the element  $a = a_+(g) \in A_+$  is unique.

**Proposition 3.1.** The following maps are continuous.

1.  $a'_+ : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_+$  where for each  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $a'_+(X)$  is the unique element in  $\mathfrak{a}_+$  such that  $a'_+(X) = \text{Ad}(s)X$  for some  $s \in K$ . Indeed it is a contraction.
2.  $a_+ : G \rightarrow A_+$  where  $a_+(g) \in A_+$  is the unique element in  $g = k_1a_+(g)k_2 \in G$ , where  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ .

**Proof.** (1) By Berezin-Gelfand's result [2],  $a'_+(X+Y) \in a'_+(X) + \text{conv } Wa'_+(Y)$  for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $a'_+(X) = a'_+(Y + (X - Y)) \in a'_+(Y) + \text{conv } W(a'_+(X - Y))$ . Hence  $a'_+(X) - a'_+(Y) \in \text{conv } Wa'_+(X - Y)$ . Also see [13, Corollary 3.10]. Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the norm on  $\mathfrak{p}$  induced by the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is  $K$ -invariant and strictly convex,  $\|a'_+(X) - a'_+(Y)\| \leq \|a'_+(X - Y)\| = \|X - Y\|$ . So the map  $a'_+$  is a contraction and thus continuous.

(2) Since the map  $G \rightarrow P$  such that  $g = kp \mapsto p$  is differentiable and  $a_+(g) = a_+(p)$ , it suffices to establish the continuity of  $a_+ : P \rightarrow A_+$ . The map  $\exp : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow P$  is a surjective diffeomorphism and the inverse  $\log : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  is well defined. So  $a_+ = \exp \circ a'_+ \circ \log$  on  $P$  is continuous. ■

Define a pre-order  $\prec$  in  $A$ . Given  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \prec b$  means

$$\exp(\text{conv } W(\log a)) \subset \exp(\text{conv } W(\log b)).$$

The set  $\exp(\text{conv } W(\log a))$  is multiplicatively the convex hull of the compact convex set having the Weyl group orbit  $W(\log a)$  as its extreme points.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . We pick

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k} &= \mathfrak{su}(n), \\ K &= \mathrm{SU}(n), \\ \mathfrak{p} &= i\mathfrak{su}(n), \text{ i.e., the set of Hermitian matrices of zero trace} \\ P &= \text{the set of positive definite matrices in } \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ A &= \left\{ \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) : a_1, \dots, a_n > 0, \prod_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \right\}, \\ A_+ &= \left\{ \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) : a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0, \prod_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n), b = \mathrm{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A$ . Since the Weyl group is the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathrm{conv} W(\log a) = \mathrm{conv} S_n(\log a)$ . So  $a \prec b$  amounts to  $\log a \in \mathrm{conv} S_n(\log b)$  and by Hardy-Littlewood-Poynla's theorem,  $a \prec b$  is equivalent to the log majorization inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k a_{[i]} &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k b_{[i]}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n a_{[i]} &= \prod_{i=1}^n b_{[i]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq a_{[n]}$  denote the rearranged  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in descending order.

The following nice result of Kostant describes the pre-order  $\prec$  in  $A$  via the representations of  $G$ . We remark that Kostant's pre-order [21, p.426] is more general and is defined in  $G$  via the complete multiplicative Jordan decomposition and hyperbolic elements (see Section 5 and [21]).

**Theorem 3.3.** (Kostant [21, Theorem 3.1]) Let  $f, g \in A$ . Then  $f \prec g$  if and only if  $|\pi(f)| \leq |\pi(g)|$  for all finite dimensional representations  $\pi$  of  $G$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the spectral radius.

One may derive the log majorization in Example 3.2 via Theorem 3.3 and the fundamental representations on the exterior space  $\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , since  $|\pi_k(a)| = a_1 \cdots a_k$ .

#### 4. Extension of the inequalities

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $a_+(g) = a_+(g^*) = a_+^{1/2}(gg^*) = a_+^{1/2}(g^*g)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g = kp$  be the Cartan decomposition of  $g \in G$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $p \in P$ . Notice that  $g^* = pk^{-1}$  so that  $a_+(g) = a_+(p) = a_+(g^*)$ . Now  $g^*g = p^2$  and  $gg^* = kp^2k^{-1}$ . So  $a_+^{1/2}(gg^*) = a_+^{1/2}(g^*g) = a_+^{1/2}(p^2)$ . Since each element in  $P$  is  $K$ -conjugate to some element in  $A_+$  [19, p.320]. Thus  $a_+^{1/2}(p^2) = a_+(p) = a_+(g)$ . ■

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $h_1, h_2 \in A_+$ . For any finite dimensional representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ ,  $|\pi(h_1 h_2)| = |\pi(h_1)| |\pi(h_2)|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the spectral radius.

**Proof.** Since the spectral radius of an operator is invariant under similarity, by the complete reducibility [5, p.50], [14, p.28] of  $\pi$ , we may assume that  $\pi$  is irreducible. We also use the same notation  $d\pi$  to denote the irreducible representation of the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus i\mathfrak{g}$  (direct sum) of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , induced by  $d\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , i.e.,  $d\pi(X + iY) = d\pi(X) + i d\pi(Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$  is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , there is an inner product (unique up to scalar multiple) on  $V$  [6, p.217] such that  $d\pi(\mathfrak{u})$  are skew Hermitian. So  $d\pi(\mathfrak{k})$  are skew Hermitian and  $d\pi(\mathfrak{p})$  are Hermitian. Thus the elements of

$$\pi(P) = \pi(\exp \mathfrak{p}) = \exp d\pi(\mathfrak{p})$$

[11, p.110] are positive definite operators. Since  $A \subset P$  and is abelian,  $\pi(A)$  is an abelian subgroup of positive definite operators. Thus the elements of  $\pi(A)$  are positive diagonal operators under an appropriate orthonormal basis (once fixed and for all) of  $V$ . For each  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\exp d\pi(H) = \pi(\exp H) \in \pi(A)$  so that  $d\pi(H)$  are real diagonal operators. Let  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  such that  $h_1 = \exp H_1, h_2 = \exp H_2 \in A_+$ . Then

$$\pi(h_1)\pi(h_2) = \exp d\pi(H_1) \exp d\pi(H_2) = \exp d\pi(H_1 + H_2)$$

since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian and  $d\pi$  respects the bracket. Notice that  $|\pi(h_1)\pi(h_2)|$  is the exponent of the largest diagonal entry of the diagonal operator  $d\pi(H_1) + d\pi(H_2)$ . To arrive at  $|\pi(h_1 h_2)| = |\pi(h_1)| |\pi(h_2)|$ , it is sufficient to show that the sum of the largest diagonal entries  $d\pi(H_1)$  and  $d\pi(H_2)$  is also a diagonal entry of  $d\pi(H_1 + H_2)$ . To this end, we will use the theory of highest weights [14, p.108] on the finite dimensional irreducible representations of the complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  (since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple).

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

be the restricted root decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  [11, p.263], where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\Sigma$  is the set of restricted roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$  and we set  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ . It is known that  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus i\mathfrak{h}$ , the complexification of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , is a Cartan subalgebra of the complex semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  [11, p.259]. Let  $\Delta$  be the set of roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$  and set  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{R}H_{\alpha}$ , where  $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is defined by the restriction to  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of the Killing form, i.e.,  $B(H_{\alpha}, H) = \alpha(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Each root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  is real-valued on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$  [11, p.170]. Let  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \Delta$  be the set of roots which do not vanish identically on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . It is known that  $\Sigma$  is the set of restrictions of  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to  $\mathfrak{a}$  [11, p.263]. Furthermore we can choose a positive root system  $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$  so that  $\mathfrak{a}_+$  is in the corresponding Weyl chamber (in  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) [21, p.431], that is,  $\alpha(H) \geq 0$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ . So any root of  $\Delta^+$  restricts to either zero or an element in  $\Sigma^+$  as a linear functional on  $\mathfrak{a}$  [11, p.263].

The diagonal entries of the diagonal operator  $d\pi(H)$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$  are the eigenvalues of  $d\pi(H)$  so that they are of the form  $\mu(H)$ , where  $\mu$  are the weights

of the representation  $d\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  [14, p.107-108]. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}'$  be the highest weight of  $d\pi$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}'$  denotes the dual space of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . From the theory of representation  $\lambda - \mu$  is a sum of positive roots, i.e.,

$$\lambda - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} k_{\alpha} \alpha, \quad k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the restrictions of the positive roots in  $\Delta^+$  to  $\mathfrak{a}$  are either zero or elements in  $\Sigma^+$ , we conclude  $\lambda(H) \geq \mu(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}_+$  is a cone,  $H_1 + H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ . Thus  $\lambda(H_1 + H_2) = \lambda(H_1) + \lambda(H_2)$  is the largest diagonal entry (eigenvalue) of the diagonal operator  $d\pi(H_1 + H_2)$  and  $\lambda(H_1)$ , and  $\lambda(H_2)$  are the largest diagonal entries (eigenvalues) of  $d\pi(H_1)$  and  $d\pi(H_2)$ , respectively. ■

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** The following are equivalent and are valid.

$$a_+(a^t g b^{1-t}) \prec [a_+(ag)]^t [a_+(gb)]^{1-t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad a, b \in P, \quad g \in G, \quad (7)$$

$$a_+(a^t g b^t) \prec [a_+(g)]^{1-t} [a_+(agb)]^t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad a, b \in P, \quad g \in G, \quad (8)$$

$$a_+(a^* g b) \prec [a_+(aa^* g)]^{1/2} [a_+(gbb^*)]^{1/2}, \quad a, b, g \in G. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** We will first establish (7) and then the equivalence among the relations. Let  $g \in G$  and write  $g = k_1 a_+(g) k_2$ , where  $a_+(g) \in A_+$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Let  $\pi$  be any representation of  $G$ . Since the elements of  $d\pi(\mathfrak{k})$  are skew Hermitian,

$$\|\pi(g)\| = \|\pi(k_1 a_+(g) k_2)\| = \|\pi(k_1) \pi(a_+(g)) \pi(k_2)\| = \|\pi(a_+(g))\|.$$

Since the spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is invariant under unitary equivalence, and  $\|X\| = |X|$  for each positive definite operator  $X$ ,  $\|\pi(a_+(g))\| = |\pi(a_+(g))|$  and thus

$$\|\pi(g)\| = \|\pi(a_+(g))\| = |\pi(a_+(g))|. \quad (10)$$

Suppose  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Since the elements of  $d\pi(\mathfrak{p})$  are Hermitian operators,  $\pi(a)$  and  $\pi(b)$  are positive definite operators,

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi(a_+(a^t g b^{1-t}))| &= \|\pi(a^t g b^{1-t})\| && \text{by (10)} \\ &= \|\pi^t(a) \pi(g) \pi^{1-t}(b)\| \\ &\leq \|\pi(a) \pi(g)\|^t \|\pi(g) \pi(b)\|^{1-t} && \text{by (2)} \\ &= \|\pi(ag)\|^t \|\pi(gb)\|^{1-t} \\ &= |\pi(a_+(ag))|^t |\pi(a_+(gb))|^{1-t} && \text{by (10)} \end{aligned}$$

The elements of  $\pi(A)$  are positive diagonal operators under an appropriate orthonormal basis. Since  $a_+^t(ag), a_+^{1-t}(gb) \in A_+$ ,  $|\pi(a_+(ag))|^t = |\pi(a_+^t(ag))|$  and  $|\pi(a_+(gb))|^{1-t} = |\pi(a_+^{1-t}(gb))|$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi(a_+(ag))|^t |\pi(a_+(gb))|^{1-t} &= |\pi(a_+^t(ag))| |\pi(a_+^{1-t}(gb))| \\ &= |\pi(a_+^t(ag) \pi(a_+^{1-t}(gb)))| && \text{by Lemma 4.2} \\ &= |\pi(a_+^t(ag) a_+^{1-t}(gb))|. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,  $|\pi(a_+(a^tgb^{1-t}))| \leq |\pi(a_+^t(ag)a_+^{1-t}(gb))|$  for any representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . By Theorem 3.3 we have (7).

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (8): If  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then  $0 \leq 1 - t \leq 1$ . If  $a, b \in P$ , so are their inverses. From (7)

$$\begin{aligned} a_+(a^tgb^t) &= a_+((a^{-1})^{1-t}agb^{1-(1-t)}) \\ &\prec a_+^{1-t}(a^{-1}ag)a_+^t(agb) \\ &= a_+^{1-t}(g)a_+^t(agb), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (8) is established.

(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (9): Let  $a, b \in G$ . Write  $a^* = kp$ ,  $b^* = k'p'$  according to their Cartan decompositions. Then  $b = p'k'^{-1}$ . By (8) with  $t = 1/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_+(a^*gb) &= a_+(kpgp'k'^{-1}) \\ &= a_+(pgp') \\ &= a_+((p^{-2})^{1/2}(p^2g)(p'^2)^{1/2}) \\ &\prec a_+^{1/2}(p^2g)a_+^{1/2}(p^{-2}p^2gp'^2) \\ &= a_+^{1/2}(p^2g)a_+^{1/2}(gp'^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $aa^* = p^2$  and  $bb^* = p'^2$ , (9) follows.

(9)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): Let  $a, b \in P$ . For  $t = 0, 1$ , (7) is trivial and for  $t = 1/2$ , it follows from (9). We will prove by induction for all  $t = \frac{k}{2^n}$ , where  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$  [3]. Let  $t = \frac{2k+1}{2^n}$ . Then  $t = s + \rho$ , where  $s = \frac{k}{2^{n-1}}$  and  $\rho = \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Suppose that (7) is valid for all dyadic rationals with denominator  $2^{n-1}$ . Then by induction and (9), with  $\lambda := s + 2\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_+(a^tgb^{1-t}) &= a_+(a^\rho(a^s gb^{1-\lambda})b^\rho) \\ &\prec a_+^{1/2}(a^{2\rho}a^s gb^{1-\lambda})a_+^{1/2}(a^s gb^{1-\lambda}b^{2\rho}) \\ &= a_+^{1/2}(a^\lambda gb^{1-\lambda})a_+^{1/2}(a^s gb^{1-s}) \\ &\prec a_+^{\lambda/2}(ag)a_+^{(1-\lambda)/2}(gb)a_+^{s/2}(ag)a_+^{(1-s)/2}(gb) \\ &= a_+^{(\lambda+s)/2}(ag)a_+^{1-(\lambda+s)/2}(gb) \\ &= a_+^t(ag)a_+^{1-t}(gb). \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows from continuity of the spectral radius and Theorem 3.3. ■

Furuta's inequality [8] asserts that if  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite, then

$$\|A^t B^t\| \leq \|AB\|^t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{11}$$

It is equivalent to say that

$$\|A^s B^s\| \geq \|AB\|^s, \quad s \geq 1. \tag{12}$$

See [1, 7, 24]. We have the following extension of Furuta's inequality.



**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $a, b \in P$ . Then

1.  $a_+(a^t b^t) \prec a_+^t(ab)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .
2.  $a_+^t(ab) \prec a_+(a^t b^t)$ ,  $t \geq 1$ .

Hence  $\varphi(t) = [a_+(a^{1/t} b^{1/t})]^t$  is a decreasing function on  $t > 0$  with respect to the partial order  $\prec$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(s) \prec \varphi(t)$  if  $s \geq t > 0$ .

**Proof.** By setting  $g$  to be the identity in (8) we have  $a_+(a^t b^t) \prec a_+^t(ab)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . When  $t \geq 1$ ,  $a_+(a^{1/t} b^{1/t}) \prec a_+^{1/t}(ab)$ . Then replace  $a, b$  by  $a^t$  and  $b^t$  respectively to have  $a_+(ab) \prec a_+^{1/t}(a^t b^t)$ . Let  $s \geq t > 0$ . Then  $s/t > 1$  and

$$a_+(a^s b^s) = a_+((a^t)^{s/t} (b^t)^{s/t}) = a_+^{s/t}(a^t b^t)$$

so that  $\varphi(t)$  is decreasing on  $t > 0$ . ■

**Corollary 4.5.** For  $f, g \in G$ ,  $a_+(fg) \prec a_+(f)a_+(g)$ .

**Proof.** By (9),

$$a_+(fg) \prec a_+^{1/2}(f^* f) a_+^{1/2}(g g^*).$$

Use Lemma 4.1 to obtain  $a_+(fg) \prec a_+(f)a_+(g)$ . ■

**Remark 4.6.** When  $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , by Corollary 4.5 the singular values of a product  $AB$  is log majorized by the product of the singular values of  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ , assuming that singular values are all arranged in descending order.

Nakamoto [9] showed that (12) holds for normal matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  and natural numbers  $s$ . An element  $g \in G$  is said to be *normal* if  $gg^* = g^*g$ . It is equivalent to say that  $kp = pk$ , where  $g = kp$  is the Cartan decomposition of  $g$ . Since Cartan decomposition is unique up to conjugation [11, p.183], normality is independent of the choice of  $K$  and  $P$ . Clearly the elements of  $P$  are normal. Normality is reduced to the usual normality when  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Now we extend Nakamoto's result.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $f, g \in G$  be normal. Then  $a_+^n(fg) \prec a_+(f^n g^n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f = kp$ ,  $g = k'p'$  be the Cartan decompositions of  $f, g \in G = KP$ . Since  $f, g$  are normal, we have  $kp = pk$  and  $k'p' = p'k'$ . Then

$$a_+^n(fg) = a_+^n(kpk'p') = a_+^n(kpp'k') = a_+^n(pp').$$

By Corollary 4.4,

$$a_+^n(pp') \prec a_+(p^n p'^n) = a_+(k^n p^n p'^n k'^n) = a_+((kp)^n (k'p')^n) = a_+(f^n g^n).$$

■

### 5. Inequalities for hyperbolic components

Furuta's inequality (11) is equivalent to the following inequality: for any positive semi-definite  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ ,

$$\lambda_1(A^t B^t) \leq \lambda_1^t(AB), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

One can deduce the equivalence by

$$|AB| = \lambda_1(AB), \quad (14)$$

where  $\lambda_1(AB)$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $AB$  whose eigenvalues are those of the positive semi-definite  $B^{1/2}AB^{1/2}$ .

Inequality (13) concerns about the largest eigenvalues of  $AB$  and  $A^t B^t$  when  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$  are positive semi-definite. Since the eigenvalues of  $AB$  are nonnegative, (13) can be viewed as a result on the largest eigenvalue modulus. So we will consider the *hyperbolic component* of  $g \in G$  of a semisimple connected noncompact Lie group  $G$  for an appropriate extension.

An element  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is called *real semisimple* if  $\text{ad } X \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is equivalent to say that  $\text{ad}(X)$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$  and the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}(X)$  are real. An element  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is called nilpotent if  $\text{ad } X$  is nilpotent. An element  $g \in G$  is called hyperbolic if  $g = \exp(X)$  where  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is real semisimple and is called unipotent if  $g = \exp(X)$  where  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent. An element  $g \in G$  is elliptic if  $\text{Ad}(g) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$  with eigenvalues of modulus 1. The *complete multiplicative Jordan decomposition* [21, Proposition 2.1] for  $G$  asserts that each  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written as  $g = eh u$ , where  $e$  is elliptic,  $h$  is hyperbolic and  $u$  is unipotent and the three elements  $e, h, u$  commute. We write

$$g = e(g)h(g)u(g).$$

It turns out that  $h \in G$  is hyperbolic if and only if it is conjugate to a unique element  $b(h) \in A_+$  [21, Proposition 2.4]. Denote

$$b(g) := b(h(g)).$$

It is known that [21, Proposition 6.2]  $P^2$  is the set of all hyperbolic elements and  $b(g) \prec a_+(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  [21, Theorem 5.4].

**Example 5.1.** When  $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $g = eh u$  is the usual complete multiplicative Jordan decomposition [11, p.431] and

$$b(g) = \text{diag}(|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $g$  with descending moduli.

- Lemma 5.2.**
1. Let  $f, g \in G$ . Then  $h(fg) = g^{-1}h(gf)g$  and  $b(fg) = b(gf)$ .
  2. If  $f \in P$ , then  $b(f) = a_+(f)$ . So  $a_+(g^*g) = a_+(gg^*) = b(g^*g) = b(gg^*)$  for all  $g \in G$ .
  3. Let  $f, g \in P$ . Then  $b(f^2g^2) = a_+^2(fg) = a_+^2(gf)$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $fg = ehv$  be the CMJD of  $fg$  where  $f, g \in G$ . Then  $gf = g(fg)g^{-1} = (geg^{-1})(ghg^{-1})(gug^{-1})$ . By the uniqueness of CMJD,  $h(fg) = g^{-1}h(gf)g$  follows immediately. Now  $b(fg) = b(h(fg)) = b(g^{-1}h(gf)g) = b(gf)$ .

(2) Since  $P$  is  $K$ -conjugate to some element in  $A_+$ ,  $b(f) = a_+(f)$ .

(3) By (1)  $h(f^2g^2) = g^{-1}h(gf^2g)g$  so that

$$b(f^2g^2) = b(g^{-1}h(gf^2g)g) = b(gf^2g) = b((gf)(gf)^*).$$

The element  $(gf)(gf)^*$  is in  $P$  so that by (2) and Lemma 4.1

$$b(f^2g^2) = b((gf)(gf)^*) = a_+((gf)(gf)^*) = a_+^2(fg).$$

■

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $a, b \in P$ . The following are equivalent and are valid.

1.  $a_+(a^t b^t) \prec a_+^t(ab)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .
2.  $b(f^t g^t) \prec b^t(fg)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

In other words, for any hyperbolic element  $\ell \in G$ , if we write  $\ell = fg$ , where  $f, g \in P$ , then  $b(f^t g^t) \prec b^t(\ell)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Statement (1) is Corollary 4.4 (1). The set of all hyperbolic elements is  $P^2$ . Since  $f, g \in P$ ,  $f^t, g^t \in P$  and thus  $fg, f^t g^t \in P^2$  are hyperbolic for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 4.4

$$b^{1/2}(f^{2t} g^{2t}) = a_+(f^t g^t) \prec a_+^t(fg) = b^{t/2}(f^2 g^2).$$

So  $b(f^{2t} g^{2t}) \prec b^t(f^2 g^2)$ . Then replace  $f^2$  and  $g^2$  by  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, to obtain the desired result.

Conversely, suppose that  $b(f^t g^t) \prec b^t(fg)$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , where  $f, g \in P$ . Then

$$a_+(f^t g^t) = b^{1/2}(f^{2t} g^{2t}) \prec b^{t/2}(f^2 g^2) = a_+^t(fg).$$

■

## References

- [1] Andruchow, E., G. Corach, and D. Stojanoff, *Geometrical significance of Löwner-Heinz inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 1031–1037.
- [2] Berezin, F. A., and I. M. Gel'fand, *Some remarks on the theory of spherical functions on symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch. **5** (1956), 311–351 (English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **21** (1962)).
- [3] Bhatia, R., “Matrix Analysis,” Springer, New York, 1997.
- [4] Bhatia, R., and C. Davis, *A Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for operators with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **223/224** (1995), 119–129.
- [5] Bourbaki, N., “Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Chapter 1–3,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] Duistermaat, J. J., and J. A. C. Kolk, “Lie Groups,” Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [7] Furuta, T., *Norm inequalities equivalent to Löwner-Heinz theorem*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 135–137.
- [8] —, Equivalence relations among Reid, Löwner-Heinz and Heinz-Kato inequalities, and extensions of these inequalities, Integral Equations Operator Theory **29** (1997), 1–9.
- [9] Furuta, T., and J. Hakeda, Applications of norm inequalities equivalent to Löwner-Heinz theorem, Nihonkai Math. J. **1** (1990), 11–17.
- [10] Fujii, M., and R. Nakamoto, *Rota's theorem and Heinz inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl. **214** (1995), 271–275.
- [11] Helgason, S., “Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces,” Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [12] Heinz, E., *Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*, Math. Ann. **123** (1951), 415–438.
- [13] Holmes, R. R., and T. Y. Tam, *Distance to the convex hull of an orbit under the action of a compact Lie group*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **66** (1999), 331–357.
- [14] Humphreys, J.E., “Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory”, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [15] Kato, T., *Notes on some inequalities for linear operators*, Math. Ann. **125** (1952), 208–212.
- [16] —, *A generalization of the Heinz inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. **37** (1961), 305–308.
- [17] Kittaneh, F., *Norm inequalities for fractional powers of positive operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **27** (1993), 279–285.
- [18] Kittaneh, F., *Some norm inequalities for operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. **42** (1999), 87–96.
- [19] Knapp, A. W., “Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction,” Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [20] Kosaki, H., *Arithmetic-geometric mean and related inequalities for operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **156** (1998), 429–451.

- [21] Kostant, B., *On convexity, the Weyl group and Iwasawa decomposition*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), **6** (1973), 413–460.
- [22] Merris, R., “Multilinear Algebra,” Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [23] McIntosh, A., *Heinz inequalities and perturbation of spectral families*, Macquarie Mathematics Reports 79-0006, Macquarie University, 1979.
- [24] Yoshino, T., *Note on Heinz’s inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci. **64** (1988), 325–326.
- [25] Zhan, X., “Matrix inequalities,” Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1790**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

Tin-Yau Tam  
Department of Mathematics  
and Statistics  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849-5310, USA  
tamtiny@auburn.edu

Received Feb 25, 2008  
and in final form Jan 3, 2009