

# Irreducible Representations of a Product of Real Reductive Groups

Dmitry Gourevitch and Alexander Kemarsky

Communicated by S. Gindikin

**Abstract.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be real reductive groups and  $(\pi, V)$  be a smooth admissible representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$ . We prove that  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible if and only if it is the completed tensor product of  $(\pi_i, V_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $(\pi_i, V_i)$  is a smooth, irreducible, admissible representation of moderate growth of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We deduce this from the analogous theorem for Harish-Chandra modules, for which one direction was proven in A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch, Multiplicity one theorem for  $(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}), GL_n(\mathbb{R}))$ , *Selecta Mathematica N. S.* **15** (2009), 271–294, and the other direction we prove here. As a corollary, we deduce that strong Gelfand property for a pair  $H \subset G$  of real reductive groups is equivalent to the usual Gelfand property of the pair  $\Delta H \subset G \times H$ .

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 20G05, 22D12, 22E47.

*Key Words and Phrases:* Gelfand pair.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be reductive Lie groups,  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  be the Lie algebra of  $G_i$ . Fix  $K_i$  - a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  be the category of Harish-Chandra  $(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$ -modules and  $\mathcal{M}(G_i)$  be the category of smooth admissible Fréchet representations of moderate growth (see [4, 10]). We also denote by  $\text{Irr}(G_i)$  and  $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  the isomorphism classes or irreducible objects in the above categories.

In this note we prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2, K_1 \times K_2)$ . Then there exist  $M_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  such that  $M = M_1 \otimes M_2$ .*

The converse statement, saying that for irreducible  $M_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$ ,  $M_1 \otimes M_2$  is irreducible is [1, Proposition A.0.6]. By the Casselman-Wallach equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}, K) \simeq \mathcal{M}(G)$ , these two statements imply

**Theorem 1.2.** *A representation  $(\pi, V) \in \mathcal{M}(G_1 \times G_2)$  is irreducible if and only if there exist irreducible  $(\pi_i, V_i) \in \mathcal{M}(G_i)$  such that  $(\pi, V) \simeq (\pi_1, V_1) \hat{\otimes} (\pi_2, V_2)$ .*

Finally, we deduce a consequence of this theorem concerning Gelfand pairs. A pair  $(G, H)$  of reductive groups is called a *Gelfand pair* if  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and the space  $(\pi^*)^H$  of  $H$ -invariant continuous functionals on any  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  has dimension zero or one. It is called a *strong Gelfand pair* or a *multiplicity-free pair* if  $\dim \text{Hom}_H(\pi|_H, \tau) \leq 1$  for any  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\tau \in \text{Irr}(H)$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be reductive groups and let  $\Delta H \subset G \times H$  denote the diagonal. Then  $(G, H)$  is a multiplicity-free pair if and only if  $(G \times H, \Delta H)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

An analog of Corollary 1.3 was proven in [7] for generalized Gelfand property of arbitrary Lie groups, with smooth representations replaced by smooth vectors in unitary representations.

An analog of Theorem 1.2 for p-adic groups was proven in [3, §§2.16] and in [5]. For a more detailed exposition see [6, §§10.5].

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Harish-Chandra modules and smooth representations.

In this subsection we fix a real reductive group  $G$  and a maximal compact subgroup  $K \subset G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$  denote the complexified Lie algebras of  $G, K$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *A  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\pi$  with a locally finite action of  $K$  such the two induced actions of  $\mathfrak{k}$  coincide and  $\pi(\text{ad}(k)(X)) = \pi(k)\pi(X)\pi(k^{-1})$  for any  $k \in K$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .*

*A finitely-generated  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module is called admissible if any representation of  $K$  appears in it with finite (or zero) multiplicity. In this case we also call it a Harish-Chandra module.*

**Lemma 2.2** ([9], §§4.2). *Any Harish-Chandra module  $\pi$  has finite length.*

**Theorem 2.3** (Casselman-Wallach, see [10], §§§11.6.8). *The functor of taking  $K$ -finite vectors  $HC : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is an equivalence of categories.*

In fact, Casselman and Wallach construct an inverse functor  $\Gamma : \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ , that is called Casselman-Wallach globalization functor (see [10, Chapter 11] or [4] or, for a different approach, [2]).

**Corollary 2.4.**

- (i) *The category  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is abelian.*
- (ii) *Any morphism in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  has closed image.*

**Proof.** (i)  $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is clearly abelian and by the theorem is equivalent to  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ .

(ii) Let  $\phi : \pi \rightarrow \tau$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ . Let  $\tau' = \overline{Im\phi}$ ,  $\pi' = \pi / \ker \phi$  and  $\phi' : \pi' \rightarrow \tau'$  be the natural morphism. Clearly  $\phi'$  is monomorphic and epimorphic in the category  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ . Thus by (i) it is an isomorphism. On the other hand,  $Im\phi' = Im\phi \subset \overline{Im\phi} = \tau'$ . Thus  $Im\phi = \overline{Im\phi}$ . ■

We will also use the embedding theorem of Casselman.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Any irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module can be imbedded into a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module of principal series.*

Lemma 2.2, Theorems 2.3 and 2.5 and Corollary 2.4 have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.6.** *The underlying topological vector space of any admissible smooth Fréchet representation of moderate growth is a nuclear Fréchet space.*

**Definition 2.7.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be real reductive groups. Let  $(\pi_i, V_i) \in \mathcal{M}(G_i)$  be admissible smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth of  $G_i$ . We define  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$  to be the natural representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  on the space  $V_1 \widehat{\otimes} V_2$ .*

**Proposition 2.8** ([1], Proposition A.0.6). *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be real reductive groups. Let  $\pi_i \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  be irreducible Harish-Chandra modules of  $G_i$ . Then  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2, K_1 \times K_2)$ .*

We will use the classical statement on irreducible representations of compact groups.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be compact groups. A representation  $\tau$  of  $K_1 \times K_2$  is irreducible if and only if there exist irreducible representations  $\tau_i$  of  $K_i$  such that  $\tau \simeq \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$ . Note that  $\tau_i$  are finite-dimensional, and  $\otimes$  is the usual tensor product.*

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be real reductive groups and  $(\pi_i, V_i) \in \mathcal{M}(G_i)$ . Then we have a natural isomorphism  $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)^{HC} \simeq \pi_1^{HC} \otimes \pi_2^{HC}$ .*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout the section  $\rho_i$  always denote irreducible representations of  $K_1$ ,  $\sigma_j$  always denote irreducible representations of  $K_2$ . For a representation  $V$  of  $K_1$  (or of  $K_2$ ) we will denote by  $V^\rho$  (resp. by  $V^\sigma$ ) the corresponding isotypic component. Let  $K := K_1 \times K_2$  and  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ .

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an irreducible admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  - module. We show that there exist non-zero irreducible and admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$ -module  $V_1$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$ -module  $V_2$  and a non-zero morphism  $V_1 \widehat{\otimes} V_2 \rightarrow V$ . From the irreducibility of  $V$  and  $V_1 \widehat{\otimes} V_2$ , we obtain that  $V \simeq V_1 \widehat{\otimes} V_2$ .

Let's first find the module  $V_1$ . Choose  $\tau \in Irr(K)$  such that the isotypic component  $V^\tau$  is non-zero. By Lemma 2.9  $\tau \simeq \rho \otimes \sigma$  for some  $\rho \in Irr(K_1)$ ,  $\sigma \in$

$Irr(K_2)$ . Let  $W$  be the  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$ -module generated by  $V^\tau$ . Note that since the actions of  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$  commute,  $W$  is also a  $K_2$ -module and  $W = W^\sigma$ . We claim that  $W$  is an admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$ -module. Indeed, let  $\rho_1$  be an irreducible representation of  $K_1$ . Then  $W^{\rho_1} \subseteq V^{\rho_1 \otimes \sigma}$  and as a corollary

$$\dim(W^{\rho_1}) \leq \dim(V^{\rho_1 \otimes \sigma}) < \infty,$$

since  $V$  is an admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module.

Now by Lemma 2.2  $W$  has finite length and thus there is an irreducible admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$ -submodule  $V_1 \subseteq W$ . Thus, we finished the first stage of the proof.

Let

$$W'_2 := \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)}(V_1, V).$$

Clearly,  $W'_2 \neq 0$ . Since actions of  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$  on  $V$  commute,  $W'_2$  has a natural structure of  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$ -module. Take any non-zero morphism  $L \in W'_2$  and let  $W_2 \subseteq W'_2$  be the  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$ -module generated by  $L$ .

Let us show that  $W_2$  is admissible. Choose  $\sigma_2 \in Irr(K_2)$ . Let  $\rho_2 \in Irr(K_1)$  such that  $V_1^{\rho_2} \neq 0$ . Then  $V_1^{\rho_2}$  generates  $V_1$  and thus for any  $L', L'' \in W_2^{\sigma_2}$  if  $L'$  agrees with  $L''$  on  $V_1^{\rho_2}$  then  $L' = L''$ . This gives a linear embedding from  $W_2^{\sigma_2}$  into the finite-dimensional space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1^{\rho_2}, V^{\rho_2 \otimes \sigma_2})$ . Thus  $W_2$  is an admissible  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_2)$ -module.

Thus  $W_2$  has finite length and therefore there is an irreducible admissible submodule  $V_2 \subseteq W_2$ . Define a linear map  $\phi : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V$  by the formula

$$\phi(v \otimes l) := l(v)$$

on the pure tensors. Clearly, this is a non-zero  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map.

The result  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq V$  follows now from the irreducibility of  $V$  and of  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  (Proposition 2.8).

**Remark 3.1.** An alternative way to prove this theorem is to remark that the category  $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is equivalent to the category of admissible modules over the idempotented algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  of  $K$ -finite distributions on  $G$  supported in  $K$  (see [5]), then show that this algebra is the tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  and thus the proofs from [3, 5] extend to this case. We estimate that such proof would be of similar length, but slightly less elementary.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

**Proof.** [Proof of Theorem 1.2] First take  $\pi_i \in Irr(G_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\pi_i^{HC} \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  and by Proposition 2.8  $\pi_1^{HC} \otimes \pi_2^{HC} \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2, K_1 \times K_2)$ . By Corollary 2.10  $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)^{HC} \simeq \pi_1^{HC} \otimes \pi_2^{HC} \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2, K_1 \times K_2)$ . This implies  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in Irr(G_1 \times G_2)$ .

Now take  $\pi \in Irr(G_1 \times G_2)$ . Then  $\pi^{HC} \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2, K_1 \times K_2)$  and by Theorem 1.1 there exist  $(M_i) \in Irr(\mathfrak{g}_i, K_i)$  such that  $\pi^{HC} \simeq M_1 \otimes M_2$ . By Theorem 2.3 there exist  $\pi_i \in Irr(G_i)$  such that  $\pi_i^{HC} \simeq M_i$ . Then  $\pi^{HC} \simeq \pi_1^{HC} \otimes \pi_2^{HC} \simeq (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)^{HC}$  and by Theorem 2.3 this implies  $\pi \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ . ■

Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be real reductive groups. Let  $(\pi, E)$  and  $(\tau, W)$  be admissible smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. Then  $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \tau)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_{\Delta H}(\pi \otimes \tilde{\tau}, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $\tilde{\tau}$  denotes the contragredient representation.*

**Proof.** For a nuclear Fréchet space  $V$  we denote by  $V'$  its dual space equipped with the strong topology. Let  $\widetilde{W} \subset W'$  denote the underlying space of  $\tilde{\tau}$ . By the theory of nuclear Fréchet spaces ([8, Chapter 50], we know  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E, W) \cong E' \widehat{\otimes} W$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E \widehat{\otimes} \widetilde{W}, \mathbb{C}) \cong E' \widehat{\otimes} \widetilde{W}'$ . Thus we have canonical embeddings

$$\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \tau) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta H}(\pi \otimes \tilde{\tau}, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\pi, \tilde{\tau}')$$

Since the image of any  $H$ -equivariant map from  $\pi$  to  $\tilde{\tau}'$  lies in the space of smooth vectors  $\widetilde{\tau}$ , which is canonically isomorphic to  $\tau$ , the lemma follows. ■

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Avraham Aizenbud and Erez Lapid for useful remarks.

### References

- [1] Aizenbud, A. and D. Gourevitch, *Multiplicity one theorem for  $(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}), GL_n(\mathbb{R}))$* , Selecta Mathematica N. S. **15** (2009), 271–294.
- [2] Bernstein, J., and B. Kroetz, *Smooth Fréchet Globalizations of Harish-Chandra Modules*, arXiv:0812.1684.
- [3] Bernšteĭn, I. N., and A. V. Zelevinskiĭ, *Representations of the group  $GL(n, F)$ , where  $F$  is a local non-Archimedean field*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), 5–70.
- [4] Casselman, W., *Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of  $G$* , Can. J. Math. **51** (1989), 385–438.
- [5] Flath, D., *Decomposition of representations into tensor products*. in: Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, 179–183,
- [6] Goldfeld, D., and J. Hundley, “Automorphic Representations and L-Functions for the General Linear Group, 1” Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **129**, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [7] van Dijk, G., *About the relation between multiplicity free and strong multiplicity free*, J. Lie Theory **19** (2009), 661–670.
- [8] Trèves, F., “Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels,” Purdue University, 1967.

- [9] Wallach, N., “Real Reductive groups, I,” Pure and Applied Math. **132**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1988).
- [10] —, “Real Reductive groups, II,” Pure and Applied Math. **132**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1992).

Dmitry Gourevitch  
Faculty of Mathematics  
and Computer Science  
Weizmann Institute of Science  
POB 26, Rehovot 76100, Israel  
dimagur@weizmann.ac.il

Alexander Kemarsky  
Mathematics Department  
Technion  
Israel Institute of Technology  
Haifa, 32000, Israel  
alexkem@tx.technion.ac.il

Received January 20, 2013  
and in final form February 25, 2013