

On the Equal Hull Problem for Nontrivial Semiconvex Hulls

Kewei Zhang

*School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, UK
k.zhang@sussex.ac.uk*

Received May 2, 2002

We define a nontrivial semiconvex hull $qr_\alpha(K)$ of a compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$ called the α -rank-one convex quadratic hull and establish the equalities of semiconvex hulls with respect to $qr_\alpha(K)$ by showing that $L_c(K) = qr_\alpha(K)$ if and only if $Q(K) = qr_\alpha(K)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where $Q(K)$ and $L_c(K)$ are the quasicontinuous convex hull and the closed lamination convex hull of K respectively. We also show that $qr_\alpha(K)$ is a nontrivial semiconvex hull, that is, $qr_\alpha(K) \neq C(K)$ if $R(K) \neq C(K)$.

Keywords: Semiconvex hulls, equal hull properties, nontrivial semiconvex hull, rank-one convex quadratic functions

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15

Let $M^{N \times n}$ the linear space of real $N \times n$ matrices with the standard Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^{Nn} , and assume that $N, n \geq 2$. In the vectorial calculus of variations, we may define, for a compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$ the corresponding semiconvex hulls by using cosets. Let $R(K)$, $Q(K)$, $P(K)$, $qr(K)$ and $C(K)$ be the rank-one convex, the quasicontinuous, the polyconvex, the quadratic rank-one convex and the convex hulls of K respectively. We may also define the so called closed lamination convex hull $L_c(K)$ of K (see below for definitions). We have

$$K \subset L_c(K) \subset R(K) \subset Q(K) \subset qr(K) \& P(K) \subset C(K). \quad (1)$$

A surprising connection among these semiconvex hulls is the following equalities of semiconvex hulls with respect to the trivial hull $C(K)$:

- (a) $Q(K) = C(K) \iff R(K) = C(K)$ [15],
- (b) when $n = M = 2$, $P(K) = C(K) \iff R(K) = C(K)$ [15] and in fact this result holds if and only if $\min\{N, n\} = 2$ [4].
- (c) $qr(K) = C(K) \iff R(K) = C(K)$ [18] and $qr(K) = P(K)$ if and only if $\min\{N, n\} = 2$ [12, 4].

Item (c) above unifies results in (a) and (b) and the proof is more elementary. We may view the results a) - c) as equal hull properties with respect to the trivial hull $C(K)$.

Naturally, one would ask whether the equal hull properties holds with respect to other semiconvex hulls such as $qr(K)$ or $P(K)$, that is, whether for a compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$, $L_c(K) = qr(K)$ if and only if $Q(K) = qr(K)$, or $L_c(K) = P(K)$ if and only if $Q(K) = P(K)$ when $\min\{N, n\} = 2$.

It can be shown by using an example due to Šverák [9] that the answers to both questions are negative at least for some compact sets K in $M^{6 \times 2}$ (see Example 4 below). The question then is whether there are nontrivial semiconvex hulls of K such that the equal hull property holds. In this paper we define a family of semiconvex hulls called α -rank-one convex quadratic hull $qr_\alpha(K)$ lying between the convex hull $C(K)$ and the quadratic rank-one convex hull $q(K)$ by using a class of rank-one convex quadratic functions which are either convex or strictly rank-one convex. We are then able to establish the equal hull property with respect to $qr_\alpha(K)$. We have

Theorem 1. *Let $K \subset M^{N \times n}$ be compact, then for $0 < \alpha < 1$,*

- (i) $L_c(K) = C(K)$ if and only if $qr_\alpha(K) = C(K)$.
- (ii) $L_c(K) = qr_\alpha(K)$ if and only if $Q(K) = qr_\alpha(K)$.

Remark 2. Since we have

$$L_c(K) \subset R(K) \subset Q(K) \subset qr(K) \subset qr_\alpha(K) \subset C(K), \quad (2)$$

and (i) implies that $qr_\alpha(K)$ is a nontrivial semiconvex hull, we see that the equal hull property holds for a family of nontrivial semiconvex hulls.

Before we prove our main results, let us first introduce some notation and definitions.

Let $f : M^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. The following are some conditions related to weak lower semicontinuity of the integral (c.f. [2, 7, 5, 1])

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(Du(x)) dx$$

- (i) f is rank-one convex if for each matrix $A \in M^{N \times n}$ and each rank-one matrix $B = a \otimes b \in M^{N \times n}$, the function $t \rightarrow f(A + tB)$ is convex.
- (ii) f is quasiconvex at $A \in M^{N \times n}$ on Ω , if for any smooth function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ compactly supported in Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(A + D\phi(x)) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(A) dx$$

holds. f is quasiconvex if it is quasiconvex at every $A \in M^{N \times n}$. The class of quasiconvex functions is independent of the choice of Ω .

- (iii) f is polyconvex if $f(A) = \text{convex function of minors of the matrix } A$.
- (iv) f is a rank-one convex quadratic function if $f(A) = q(A) + l(A)$ with $q(\cdot)$ a rank-one convex quadratic form and $l(\cdot)$ an affine function.

It is well-known that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i), while (i) $\not\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\not\Rightarrow$ (iii) (cf. [2, 7, 5, 13]). However, if f is a quadratic function, (i) is equivalent to (ii).

Let $E \subset M^{N \times n}$ be a linear subspace without rank-one matrices, and E^\perp being its orthogonal complement. Let

$$q_E(A) = |P_{E^\perp}(A)|^2 - \lambda_E |P_E(A)|^2, \quad (3)$$

where P_{E^\perp} and P_E are orthogonal projections to E^\perp and E respectively, where $\lambda_E > 0$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_E} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|P_E(a \otimes b)|^2}{|P_{E^\perp}(a \otimes b)|^2}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^N, b \in \mathbb{R}^n, |a| = |b| = 1 \right\} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Then q_E is a rank-one convex quadratic form [3]. For convenience, we also define $\lambda_E = 0$ if E has rank-one matrices, and

$$q_{\mu,E} = |P_{E^\perp}(A)|^2 - \mu\lambda_E|P_E(A)|^2$$

for some $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$. Clearly, $q_{\mu,E}$ is a rank-one convex quadratic form. Let \mathcal{E} be the set of all non-zero linear subspaces of $M^{N \times n}$ and define for a fixed $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$QR_\alpha = \{\sigma q_{\mu,E} + l, \sigma \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha, E \in \mathcal{E}, l \text{ affine}\}.$$

Although the definition of the family QR_α of rank-one convex quadratic functions looks complicated, in fact it is among the simplest collection of strictly (when $0 < \alpha < 1$) rank one convex quadratic functions that separate points.

Definition 3. We define the α -quadratic rank-one convex envelope $qr_\alpha(f)$ of $f : M^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$qr_\alpha(f)(A) = \sup\{q(A), q \leq f, q \in QR_\alpha\}, \tag{5}$$

In the study of material microstructure, the following concepts of semiconvex hulls for a compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$ are naturally introduced.

A compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$ is called stable under lamination (or lamination convex) [8] if $A, B \in K$ are rank-one connected, that is, $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$, then for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, one has $(1 - \lambda)A + \lambda B \in K$. For a given $K \subset M^{N \times n}$, the *lamination convex hull* $L(K)$ is defined as the smallest lamination convex set that contains K [8]. We also define the *closed lamination convex hull* $L_c(K)$ as the smallest closed lamination convex set that contains K [15].

By using the coset definition, we may define semiconvex hulls $S(K)$ of K as follows,

$$S(K) = \{X \in M^{N \times n}, f(X) \leq \sup_{Y \in K} f(Y), \text{ for every S-convex } f : M^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

If we replace S-convex by rank-one convex, quasiconvex, polyconvex, quadratic rank-one convex functions respectively, we obtain the rank-one convex hull $R(K)$, the quasiconvex hull $Q(K)$, the polyconvex hull $P(K)$ [14], the quadratic rank-one convex hull $qr(K)$ [18] and the convex hull $C(K)$. Clearly, if K is closed, (1) holds. If $L_c(K)$ is convex, obviously, all other ‘semiconvex’ hulls are identical to $C(K)$.

Later we will use some facts from the theory of gradient Young measures and homogeneous (gradient) Young measures supported on compact sets in $M^{N \times n}$ [10, 6]: (i) If $X_0 \in Q(K)$, there is a homogeneous gradient Young measure ν supported in K such that the integral average $\bar{\nu} := \int_K \lambda d\nu = X_0$ (also see [16]). (ii) For a rank-one convex quadratic form q satisfying $q(a \otimes b) \geq c|a|^2|b|^2$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^N, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $c > 0$ a constant, one has for the Young measure above, $\int_K q(\lambda) d\nu \geq q(X_0) + c \int_K |\lambda - X_0|^2 d\nu$ (also see [17]).

Before we introduce the α -quadratic rank-one convex hull $qr_\alpha(K)$, let us examine the following counter-example of the equal hull property with respect to $qr(K)$ and $P(K)$ due to Šverák [9].

Example 4. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ be defined as

$$u(x_1, x_2) = (\cos x_1, \sin x_1, \cos x_2, \sin x_2, \cos(x_1 + x_2), \sin(x_1 + x_2)),$$

and notice that u is a periodic smooth mapping. We define K as the image of the gradient of u ,

$$K = \{Du(x) \in M^{6 \times 2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

It was shown by Šverák that $L_c(K) = R(K) = K$ while $P(K) = Q(K) = K \cup \{0\}$. We have $P(K) = qr(K) \neq L_c(K)$ while $qr(K) = Q(K)$. Thus the equal hull property does not hold in $M^{6 \times 2}$.

Definition 5. For a compact set $K \subset M^{N \times n}$, the α -quadratic rank-one convex hull $qr_\alpha(K)$ is defined by

$$qr_\alpha(K) = \{A \in M^{N \times n}, q(A) \leq \sup_{B \in K} q(B), q \in QR_\alpha\}. \tag{6}$$

If $qr_\alpha(K) = K$, we call K an α -quadratic rank-one convex set. Clearly, $qr_\alpha(K)$ satisfies (2).

Remark 6. Our choice of QR_α of quadratic functions is because it gives us several advantages.

(i) QR_α separates points for any plane $E \subset M^{N \times n}$ without rank-one connection in the sense that for every $X \in E$, there is some $q \in QR_\alpha$ such that $q(Y) < 0$ for $Y \in E, Y \neq X$ and $q(X) = 0$. We may construct such a quadratic function as follows. Let E_0 be the subspace parallel to E given by $E_0 = \{Y - X, Y \in E\}$, then we define

$$q(A) = |P_{E_0^\perp}(A - X)|^2 - \alpha \lambda_{E_0} |P_{E_0}(A - X)|^2,$$

then $q \in QR_\alpha$ and for every $Y \in E, Y \neq X, q(Y) = -\alpha \lambda_{E_0} |P_{E_0}(Y - X)|^2 < 0 = q(X)$.

(ii) Every ‘bounded’ set of QR_α is sequentially compact. To be more precisely, let

$$q_k(A) = \sigma_k \left(|P_{E_k^\perp}(A)|^2 - \mu_k \lambda_{E_k} |P_{E_k}(A)|^2 \right) + B_k \cdot A + c_k$$

be a sequence in QR_α such that σ_k, B_k and c_k are bounded. Then there is a subsequence q_{k_j} and some $q \in QR_\alpha$ such that for each fixed $A \in M^{N \times n}, q_{k_j}(A) \rightarrow q(A)$.

This can be easily proved because $\dim(E_k)$ is a sequence of bounded integers. Therefore, there is a subsequence such that $\dim(E_k) = m$. For each k we take an orthonormal basis $\{E_k^{(1)}, \dots, E_k^{(m)}\} \subset E_k$ and that of E_k^\perp . Then up to a subsequence, the two basis of E_k and E_k^\perp converge to basis of E and E^\perp respectively (this is easy to verify). Note also that λ_{E_k} is bounded and equals zero if E_k has a rank-one matrix. We may also assume that $\sigma_k \rightarrow \sigma \geq 0, \mu_k \rightarrow \mu \leq \alpha, B_k \rightarrow B, c_k \rightarrow c,$ and $\lambda_{E_k} \rightarrow \lambda_E$ up to a subsequence. We will check the last assertion later. Now, let

$$q(A) = \sigma \left(|P_{E^\perp}(A)|^2 - \mu \lambda_E |P_E(A)|^2 \right) + B \cdot A + c,$$

then clearly $q_k(A) \rightarrow q(A)$ for each fixed $A \in M^{N \times n}$ and $q \in QR_\alpha$.

To show that $\lambda_{E_k} \rightarrow \lambda_E$, we have, by definition, $|P_{E_k^\perp}(a \otimes b)|^2 \geq \lambda_{E_k} |P_{E_k}(a \otimes b)|^2$, and for some $a_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|a_k| = |b_k| = 1$, $|P_{E_k^\perp}(a_k \otimes b_k)|^2 = \lambda_{E_k} |P_{E_k}(a_k \otimes b_k)|^2$. Passing to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains, $|P_{E^\perp}(a \otimes b)|^2 \geq \lambda |P_E(a \otimes b)|^2$, so that $\lambda \leq \lambda_E$. On the other hand, up to a subsequence, $a_k \rightarrow a_0$, $b_k \rightarrow b_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we also have $|P_{E^\perp}(a_0 \otimes b_0)|^2 = \lambda |P_E(a_0 \otimes b_0)|^2$. Thus $\lambda = \lambda_E$.

(iii) The semiconvex hull $qr_\alpha(K)$ can be represented as the intersection of the convex hull $C(K)$ and sub-level sets of a family of simple rank-one convex quadratic functions.

From the definition of $qr_\alpha(K)$, for any $X_0 \in qr_\alpha(K)$, $q(X_0) \leq \max\{q(X), X \in K\} := c_q$, for $q \in QR_\alpha$, if we let $q_c = q - c_q$, then $q_c \in QR_\alpha$ and $q_c(X_0) \leq 0$. Let $K_{q_c} = \{X \in M^{N \times n}, q_c(X) \leq 0\}$, then

$$qr_\alpha(K) = \bigcap_{q \in QR_\alpha} K_{q_c}.$$

Since every $q \in QR_\alpha$ can be written as

$$q(X) = \sigma (|P_{E^\perp}(X)|^2 - \mu \lambda_E |P_E(X)|^2) + B \cdot X + c,$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$. If $\sigma = 0$, q is affine hence q_c is affine. If $\sigma > 0$, we may divide q_c by σ and consider quadratic functions in the form

$$q^{(*)}(X) = (|P_{E^\perp}(X)|^2 - \mu \lambda_E |P_E(X)|^2) + B^{(*)} \cdot X + c^{(*)}, \tag{7}$$

under the assumption that E does not have rank-one matrices and $0 < \mu \leq \alpha$. Clearly $q_c(X) \leq 0$ if and only if $q^{(*)}(X) \leq 0$. If $\mu = 0$ or E has rank-one matrices, $q^{(*)}$ is then convex. However, recall [11] that convex functions can be represented by ‘sup’ of affine functions, we define a subset $QR_\alpha(K)$ of QR_α by

$$QR_\alpha(K) = \{q \in QR_\alpha, q \text{ satisfies (7), } \mu \lambda_E > 0, q(X) \leq 0, X \in K, \exists Y_q \in K, q(Y_q) = 0\}. \tag{8}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$qr_\alpha(K) = C(K) \cap \left(\bigcap_{q \in QR_\alpha(K)} K_q \right), \quad \text{where } K_q = \{X \in M^{N \times n}, q(X) \leq 0\}.$$

We only prove Theorem 1 (ii) here and leave the proof for (i) at the end of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). We may assume that $K = L_c(K)$. In other words, K is a closed laminated convex set. If $qr_\alpha(K) \neq K$, we show that $qr_\alpha(K) \neq Q(K)$. Let m be the smallest affine dimension of $C(K)$ such that our statement fails. It is easy to show that this dimension m is greater than 1.

In fact, if the affine dimension of $C(K)$ is 1, K is contained in a straight line. If the line contains rank-one connections, then $L_c(K) = C(K)$ and our claim is true. If the line does not have rank-one connections, then it separates points as shown in Remark 6.(i). Thus in this case $qr_\alpha(K) = K = L_c(K)$. We examine two cases.

Case (I) There is some $X_0 \in \partial C(K) \cap qr_\alpha(K)$ while $X_0 \notin K$, where $\partial C(K)$ is the relative boundary of $C(K)$ in the plane containing $C(K)$ with dimension m .

Case (II) $qr_\alpha(K) \cap \partial C(K) \subset K$.

We need the following Lemma for Case (I).

Lemma 7. *Let E be a proper supporting plane [11] of $C(K)$ then $qr_\alpha(K) \cap E = qr_\alpha(K \cap E)$.*

We prove Lemma 7 after we finish the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1, (ii), Case (I). Accepting Lemma 7 for the moment. We seek to prove that $Q(K) \neq qr_\alpha(K)$. Lemma 7 implies that there is a supporting plane E of $C(K)$ passing through X_0 , hence $qr_\alpha(K) \cap E = qr_\alpha(K \cap E)$. On the other hand, we have $Q(K \cap E) = Q(K) \cap E$ and $K \cap E$ is still a closed lamination convex set. Since $\dim(E \cap C(K)) < m$, we see that $Q(K \cap E) \neq qr_\alpha(K \cap E)$. Thus $Q(K) \cap E \neq qr_\alpha(K) \cap E$ so that $Q(K) \neq qr_\alpha(K)$. Therefore the proof of Case (I) is finished pending the proof of Lemma 7.

Proof of Theorem 1, (ii), Case (II). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \in K$. Since

$$qr_\alpha(K) = C(K) \cap \left(\bigcap_{q \in QR_\alpha(K)} K_q \right) \subset \text{span}[C(K)] := E_0,$$

we see that E_0 must have rank-one matrices. Otherwise $qr_\alpha(K) = Q(K) = L_c(K) = K$.

We further consider two different subcases:

Case (IIa) The relative boundary of $qr_\alpha(K)$ in E_0 is contained in K and there is a relative interior point X_0 of $qr_\alpha(K)$ such that $X_0 \notin Q(K)$.

Case (IIb) There is a relative boundary point X_0 of $qr_\alpha(K)$ such that $X_0 \notin Q(K)$.

Proof of Case (IIa). Let $A_0 \in E_0$ be a rank-one matrix, and let us consider the line $X_0 + tA_0$. It is easy to see that since $qr_\alpha(K)$ is compact and X_0 is a relative interior point, there are $t_1 > 0, t_2 < 0$ such that $A_1 = X_0 + t_1A_0, A_2 = X_0 + t_2A_0$ are both on the boundary $\partial qr_\alpha(K) \subset K$. Thus $X_0 \in L_c(K)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Case (IIb). Since X_0 is a boundary point of $qr_\alpha(K) = C(K) \cap \left(\bigcap_{q \in QR_\alpha(K)} K_q \right)$ while $X_0 \notin \partial C(K)$, we see that there is a sequence (q_k) in $QR_\alpha(K)$ such that $q_k(X) \leq 0, -1/k \leq q_k(X_0) \leq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Clearly, we may write q_k as $q_k(X) = q_k^{(0)}(X) + B_k \cdot (X - X_0) + c_k$, where

$$q_k^{(0)}(X) = |P_{E_k^\perp}(X)|^2 - \mu_k \lambda_{E_k} |P_{E_k}(X)|^2, \quad X \in M^{N \times n}.$$

We see from $-1/k \leq q_k(X_0) \leq 0$ that

$$-\frac{1}{k} \leq q_k^{(0)}(X_0) + c_k \leq 0.$$

Since $q_k^{(0)}(X_0)$ is bounded, c_k is then bounded. We also claim that $|B_k|$ is bounded. Otherwise, up to a subsequence, $|B_k| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence up to a subsequence $B_k/|B_k| \rightarrow B_0$ for some $B_0 \in M^{N \times n}$, $|B_0| = 1$. Now we dividing q_k by $|B_k|$ and pass to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed X , we see that $q_k(X)/|B_k| \rightarrow l(X) = B_0 \cdot (X - X_0)$. We also have $l(X) \leq 0$ for $X \in K$ and $l(X_0) = 0$. Thus $X_0 \in \partial C(X)$, which is a contradiction.

Now, since B_k and c_k are both bounded, we may pass to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ (up to a subsequence) so that $q_k(X) \rightarrow q(X)$ where

$$q(X) = |P_{E^\perp}(X)|^2 - \mu\lambda_E|P_E(X)|^2 + B \cdot (X - X_0) + c,$$

and we let

$$q^{(0)}(X) = |P_{E^\perp}(X)|^2 - \mu\lambda_E|P_E(X)|^2.$$

If E has rank-one matrices, hence $\lambda_E = 0$ or $\mu = 0$, q is then convex which again implies $X_0 \in \partial C(K)$ and leads to a contradiction. Thus we may claim that $0 < \mu \leq \alpha$ and $\lambda_E > 0$.

Since $X_0 \in Q(K)$, there is a homogeneous gradient Young measure ν supported in K such that $\int_K \lambda d\nu = X_0$. Due to the fact that q is quasiconvex, we have

$$\int_K q(\lambda) d\nu \geq q(X_0).$$

On the other hand, $q(X) \leq 0$ for $X \in K$, we have $\int_K q(\lambda) d\nu = q(X_0)$. Thus ν is supported on the level set $\{X \in K, q(X) = 0\}$. Since $\sigma \leq \alpha$, we see that the quadratic form $q^{(0)}$ above satisfies

$$q^{(0)}(a \otimes b) \geq (1 - \alpha)\lambda_E|P_{E^\perp}(a \otimes b)|^2 \geq (1 - \alpha)\lambda_E \frac{\lambda_E}{1 + \lambda_E} |a|^2 |b|^2,$$

so that for the homogeneous Young measure ν above, we have

$$0 = \int_K (q(\lambda) - q(X_0)) d\nu \geq (1 - \alpha)\lambda_E \frac{\lambda_E}{1 + \lambda_E} \int_K |\lambda - X_0|^2 d\nu \geq 0.$$

Thus $\nu = \delta_{X_0}$ is a Dirac mass, hence $X_0 \in K$, a contradiction. The proof for Case (IIb) is complete. □

Proof of Lemma 7. Let E_1 be the plane in $M^{N \times n}$ containing $C(K)$ with the same dimension as $C(K)$ [11]. Obviously, $qr_\alpha(K \cap E) \subset qr_\alpha(K) \cap E$. Let $X \in qr_\alpha(K) \cap E$. There is an affine function l defined on $M^{N \times n}$ such that $l < 0$ on the open half plane in E_1 containing $C(K) \setminus E$, $l = 0$ on E and $l > 0$ on the opposite half plane to $C(K)$ in E_1 . We also define

$$E(\epsilon) = \{A \in E_1, \text{dist}(A, E) \leq \epsilon, l(A) \leq 0\}$$

which is a set on the same side as $C(K)$ in E_1 , where $\text{dist}(A, E_1)$ is the Euclidean distance from A to E_1 . For any fixed $q \in QR_\alpha$ we consider, for every integer $n > 0$ the quadratic function $q(\cdot) + nl(\cdot) \in QR_\alpha$. Since for any $A \in E$, $l(A) = 0$, we have, for each fixed $X \in qr_\alpha(K) \cap E$,

$$q(X) = q(X) + nl(X) \leq \sup_{A \in K} [q(A) + nl(A)].$$

Since $q + nl$ is continuous and K compact, the maximum is attained at some $A_n \in K$, that is, $\sup_{A \in K} [q(A) + nl(A)] = q(A_n) + nl(A_n)$, so that $q(X) \leq q(A_n) + nl(A_n)$. Since K is compact there is a subsequence $A_{n_k} \rightarrow A_0 \in K$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that $l(A_n) \leq 0$ for all n . If we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $\delta_k := \text{dist}(A_{n_k}, E) \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise $q(X)$ cannot be finite. Now we have

$$q(X) \leq q(A_{n_k}) + n_k l(A_{n_k}) \leq \sup\{q(A), A \in K \cap E(\delta_k)\}. \quad (9)$$

Again the ‘sup’ in (9) can be reached by, say $B_k \in K \cap E(\delta_k)$, and up to a subsequence, we have $B_k \rightarrow B_0 \in K \cap E$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Passing to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ on both side of the inequality $q(X) \leq q(B_k)$ and noticing that $B_0 \in K \cap E$, we have $q(X) \leq q(B_0) \leq \sup_{A \in K \cap E} q(A)$, hence $X \in qr_\alpha(K \cap E)$, Lemma 7 is then proved by noticing also that $C(K) \cap E = C(K \cap E)$. \square

Remark 8. Our argument breaks down if we allow all rank-one convex quadratic functions to be considered. The reason is that non-convex quadratic functions are no longer strictly quasiconvex. The problem is reduced to the study of homogeneous Young measures ν supported on a compact set $K \subset \{X \in M^{N \times n}, q(X) = 0\}$ for some quadratic rank-one convex function q with $q(0) = 0$ under the conditions that $0 \notin L_c(K)$. Example 4 shows that it is possible that the average of Young measure $\bar{\nu} = 0$ hence the equal hull property fails.

However, it is interesting to find examples of non-convex rank-one convex quadratic functions and compact sets K contained in the level set $q = 0$ such that $qr(K) \neq R(K)$ while $qr(K) = Q(K)$.

Proof of Theorem 1 (i). For any nontrivial supporting plane of $C(K)$, we have, from Lemma 7 that $qr_\alpha(K) \cap E = qr(K \cap E)$. Notice also that $C(K) \cap E_1 = C(K \cap E_1)$.

Suppose $L_c(K) \neq C(K)$, while $qr_\alpha(K) = C(K)$. We may assume that K is a closed laminated convex set. Then among all these K 's there is one for which the affine dimension $\dim C(K) \geq 1$ of $C(K)$ is the smallest. For such K we claim that the plane E spanned by $C(K)$ does not have rank-one connections. Otherwise it is easy to see [15] that there is a supporting plane E of $C(K)$ such that $E \cap K$ is not convex and is still a closed laminated convex set while

$$qr_\alpha(K \cap E) = qr_\alpha(K) \cap E = C(K) \cap E$$

is convex. This contradicts to the fact that the dimension $\dim C(K)$ is the smallest. Now since $C(K) \subset E$ and E does not have rank-one connection, there is some $X \in C(K) \neq K$. If we define q_X as in Remark 6(i), there is some $\delta > 0$, such that $q_X(X) = 0 > -\delta = \sup_{A \in K \subset E} q_X(A)$. Hence $X \notin qr_\alpha(K)$ and $qr_\alpha(K) \neq C(K)$, a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Jan Kristensen for helpful suggestions and discussions.

References

- [1] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco: Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 86 (1984) 125–145.

- [2] J. M. Ball: Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 63 (1977) 337–403.
- [3] K. Bhattacharya, N. B. Firoozye, R. D. James, R. V. Kohn: Restrictions on Microstructures, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A* 124 (1994) 843–878.
- [4] G. Dolzmann, B. Kirchheim, J. Kristensen: Conditions for equality of hulls in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 154 (2000) 93–100.
- [5] B. Dacorogna: *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [6] J. Krestensen: *Finite Functionals and Young Measures Generated by Gradients of Sobolev Functions*, PhD Thesis, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby (1994).
- [7] C. B. Morrey Jr.: *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer (1966).
- [8] S. Müller, V. Šverák: Attainment results for the two-well problem by convex integration, in: *Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations*, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA (1996) 239–251.
- [9] S. Müller: *Variational Models for Microstructure and Phase Transitions*, Lecture Notes, Max-Planck Institute, Leipzig No. 2 (1998).
- [10] P. Pedregal: *Parametrized Measures and Variational Principles*, Birkhäuser (1997).
- [11] R. T. Rockafellar: *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press (1970).
- [12] D. Serre: Formes quadratiques et calcul des variations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 62 (1983) 177–196.
- [13] V. Šverák: Rank one convexity does not imply quasiconvexity, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edin.* 120 A (1992) 185–189.
- [14] V. Šverák: On the problem of two wells, in: *Microstructure and Phase Transition*, IMA Vol. Math. Appl. 54, Springer, New York (1993) 183–189.
- [15] K.-W. Zhang: On various semiconvex hulls in the calculus of variations, *Calc. Var. PDE* 6 (1998) 143–160.
- [16] K.-W. Zhang: On the structure of quasiconvex hulls, *ANN. Inst. H. Poincaré - Anal. Non Linéaire* 15 (1998) 663–686.
- [17] K.-W. Zhang: A two-well structure and intrinsic mountain pass points, *Calc. Var. PDE* 13 (2001) 231–364.
- [18] K.-W. Zhang: On conditions for equalities of relaxations in the calculus of variations, *J. Nonl. Convex Anal.* 3 (2002) 145–154.