

Linear Operators on Vector-Valued Function Spaces with Mackey Topologies

Marian Nowak

*Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics,
University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4A, 65–516 Zielona Góra, Poland
m.nowak@wmie.uz.zgora.pl*

Received: May 19, 2006

Revised manuscript received: April 2, 2007

Let E be an ideal of L^0 over a σ -finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and let E' be the Köthe dual of E . Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space, and X^* the Banach dual of X . Let $E(X)$ be a subspace of the space $L^0(X)$ of μ -equivalence classes of all strongly Σ -measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$, and consisting of all those $f \in L^0(X)$ for which the scalar function \tilde{f} , defined by $\tilde{f}(\omega) = \|f(\omega)\|_X$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, belongs to E . Assume that a Banach space X is an Asplund space. It is shown that a subset C of $E'(X^*)$ is relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact iff the set $\{\tilde{g} : g \in E'(X^*)\}$ in E' is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact. We consider the topology $\tau(E, E')$ on $E(X)$ associated with the Mackey topology $\tau(E, E')$ on E . It is shown that $\tau(E, E')$ is strongly Mackey topology; hence $\tau(E, E')$ coincides with the Mackey topology $\tau(E(X), E'(X^*))$. Moreover, $E'(X^*)$ is $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -sequentially complete whenever E' is perfect. We examine the space $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ of all $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous linear operators from $E(X)$ to a Banach space $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, equipped with the weak operator topology (briefly WOT) and the strong operator topology (briefly SOT). It is shown that if E is perfect, then $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is WOT-sequentially complete, and every SOT-compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous. Moreover, a Vitali-Hahn-Saks type theorem for $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is obtained.

Keywords: Vector-valued function spaces, Mackey topologies, strongly Mackey topologies, weak compactness, Radon-Nikodym property, Asplund spaces, sequential completeness, convex compactness property, weak operator topology, strong operator topology, linear operators

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E40, 46E30, 46A20, 46A70

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We denote by $\sigma(L, K)$ and $\tau(L, K)$ the weak-topology and the Mackey topology on L with respect to a dual pair $\langle L, K \rangle$. Given a Hausdorff locally convex space (L, ξ) by $(L, \xi)^*$ or L_ξ^* we will denote its topological dual. Recall that ξ is said to be a *strongly Mackey topology* if every relatively countably $\sigma(L_\xi^*, L)$ -compact subset of L_ξ^* is ξ -equicontinuous (see [22, Definition 4.1]). Clearly, if ξ is a strongly Mackey topology, then ξ is a Mackey topology, i.e., $\xi = \tau(L, L_\xi^*)$.

First we establish terminology concerning Riesz spaces and function spaces (see [1], [10], [11], [26]). Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space. Let L^0 denote the space of μ -equivalence classes of all Σ -measurable real-valued functions defined and finite a.e. on Ω . Let χ_A stand for the characteristic function of a set A , and let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} denote the sets of all natural and real numbers. Let E be an ideal of L^0 with $\text{supp } E = \Omega$, and let E' stand for the Köthe dual of E , i.e., $E' = \{v \in L^0 : \int_\Omega |u(\omega)v(\omega)|d\mu < \infty \text{ for all } u \in E\}$. Throughout the paper we assume that $\text{supp } E' = \Omega$. Let E^\sim and E_n^\sim

stand for the order dual and the order continuous dual of E , resp. Then E_n^\sim separates points of E and it can be identified with E' through the mapping: $E' \ni v \mapsto \varphi_v \in E_n^\sim$, where $\varphi_v(u) = \int_\Omega u(\omega)v(\omega)d\mu$ for all $u \in E$. E is said to be *perfect* whenever the natural embedding from E into $(E_n^\sim)_n^\sim$ is onto, i.e., $E'' = E$.

For terminology and basic concepts from the theory of vector-valued function spaces $E(X)$, we refer to the three main monographs: J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl's "Vector Measures" [8], P. Cembranos and J. Mendoza's "Banach spaces of Vector Valued Functions" [5] and Pei-Kee Lin's "Köthe-Bochner Function Spaces" [11].

Now we recall terminology and some basic results concerning the topological properties and the duality theory of vector-valued function spaces $E(X)$ as set out in [2], [3], [4], [5], [8], [9], [11], [14], [15], [16]. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space and let X^* stand for the Banach dual of X . Let S_X, B_X stand for the unit sphere and the closed unit ball in X . By $L^0(X)$ we denote the set of μ -equivalence classes of all strongly Σ -measurable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$. For $f \in L^0(X)$ let us set $\tilde{f}(\omega) := \|f(\omega)\|_X$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. Let

$$E(X) = \{f \in L^0(X) : \tilde{f} \in E\}.$$

Recall that the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes X$ is the subspace of $E(X)$ spanned by the functions of the form $u \otimes x$, $(u \otimes x)(\omega) = u(\omega)x$, where $u \in E$, $x \in X$.

A subset H of $E(X)$ is said to be *solid* whenever $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$ and $f_1 \in E(X)$, $f_2 \in H$ imply $f_1 \in H$. A linear topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be *locally solid* if it has a local base at zero consisting of solid sets. A linear topology τ on $E(X)$ that is at the same time locally solid and locally convex will be called a *locally convex-solid* topology on $E(X)$. A seminorm ϱ on $E(X)$ is called *solid* if $\varrho(f_1) \leq \varrho(f_2)$ whenever $f_1, f_2 \in E(X)$ and $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$. It is known that a locally convex topology τ on $E(X)$ is locally convex-solid if and only if it is generated by some family of solid seminorms defined on $E(X)$ (see [9]). A locally solid topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be a *Lebesgue topology* whenever for a net (f_α) in $E(X)$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$ in E implies $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ (see [16, Definition 2.2]).

Let (E, ξ) be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then one can topologize the space $E(X)$ as follows (see [9]). Let $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of Riesz seminorms on E that generates ξ . By putting

$$\bar{p}_\alpha(f) := p_\alpha(\tilde{f}) \quad \text{for } f \in E(X) \ (\alpha \in \mathcal{A}),$$

we obtain a family $\{\bar{p}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of solid seminorms on $E(X)$ that defines a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology $\bar{\xi}$ on $E(X)$ (called the *topology associated with ξ*). Then $\bar{\xi}$ is a Lebesgue topology whenever ξ is a Lebesgue topology (see [9]).

Conversely, let τ be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on $E(X)$ and let $\{\varrho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of solid seminorms on $E(X)$ that generates τ . By putting for a fixed $x_0 \in S_X$

$$\tilde{\varrho}_\alpha(u) := \varrho_\alpha(u \otimes x_0) \quad \text{for } u \in E \ (\alpha \in \mathcal{A}),$$

we obtain a family $\{\tilde{\varrho}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of Riesz seminorms on E that defines a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology $\tilde{\tau}$ on E .

One can note that $\tilde{\tilde{\xi}} = \xi$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\tau}} = \tau$ (see [9]). Thus every Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology τ on $E(X)$ can be represented as the topology associated with some Hausdorff

locally convex-solid topology $\xi (= \tilde{\tau})$ on E . In particular, for a Banach function space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ the space $E(X)$ provided with the norm $\|f\|_{E(X)} := \|\tilde{f}\|_E$ is usually called a *Köthe-Bochner space*.

A linear functional F on $E(X)$ is said *order continuous* whenever for a net (f_α) in $E(X)$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$ in E implies $F(f_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. The set consisting of all order continuous linear functionals on $E(X)$ will be denoted by $E(X)_n^\sim$ and called the *order continuous dual* of $E(X)$ (see [2], [13]). Since we assume that $\text{supp } E' = \Omega$, $E(X)_n^\sim$ separates points of $E(X)$. A Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology τ on $E(X)$ has the Lebesgue property if and only if $E(X)_\xi^* \subset E(X)_n^\sim$ (see [16, Theorem 2.4]).

To present the integral representation of $E(X)_n^\sim$ we now recall terminology concerning the spaces of weak*-measurable functions (see [5], [2], [4], [3]). For a given function $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$ and $x \in X$ we denote by g_x the real function on Ω defined by $g_x(\omega) = g(\omega)(x)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. A function $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be weak*-measurable if the functions g_x are measurable for each $x \in X$. We shall say the two weak*-measurable functions g_1, g_2 are weak*-equivalent whenever $g_1(\omega)(x) = g_2(\omega)(x)$ μ -a.e. for each $x \in X$. Let $L^0(X^*, X)$ be the set of weak*-equivalence classes of all weak*-measurable functions $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$. Following [2], [4] one can define the so-called *abstract norm* $\vartheta : L^0(X^*, X) \rightarrow L^0$ by $\vartheta(g) := \sup \{|g_x| : x \in B_X\}$. Then for $f \in L^0(X)$ and $g \in L^0(X^*, X)$ the function $\langle f, g \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\langle f, g \rangle(\omega) := \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle$ is measurable and $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \tilde{f} \vartheta(g)$. Moreover, $\vartheta(g) = \tilde{g}$ for $g \in L^0(X^*)$. Let

$$E'(X^*, X) = \{g \in L^0(X^*, X) : \vartheta(g) \in E'\}.$$

Due to A. V. Bukhvalov (see [2, Theorem 4.1]) $E(X)_n^\sim$ can be identified with $E'(X^*, X)$ through the mapping: $E'(X^*, X) \ni g \mapsto F_g \in E(X)_n^\sim$, where

$$F_g(f) = \int_\Omega \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in E(X).$$

Clearly $E'(X^*) \subset E'(X^*, X)$. Moreover, the identities:

$$E'(X^*) = E'(X^*, X) \quad \text{and} \quad E(X)_n^\sim = \{F_g : g \in E'(X^*)\}$$

hold whenever the Banach space X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [8, Chap. 3.1], [3, Theorem 3.5]). Recall that a Banach space X is called an *Asplund space* if every real-valued continuous convex function on an open convex domain in X is Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ subset of its domain (see [12], [18]). The following theorem provides a characterization of Banach spaces X whose duals have the Radon-Nikodym property (see [12], [18], [8, p. 213]).

Theorem 1.1. *For a Banach space X the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property.
- (ii) X is an Asplund space.

In the theory of function spaces the Mackey topology $\tau(E, E') (= \tau(E, E_n^\sim))$ on E is of importance (see [6]). It is well known that $\tau(E, E')$ is the finest Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on E with the Lebesgue property.

In this paper we consider the topology $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ on $E(X)$ associated with $\tau(E, E')$, i.e., $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ is defined by solid seminorms:

$$\overline{p}_M(f) := p_M(\tilde{f}) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}(\omega) |v(\omega)| d\mu : v \in M \right\} \text{ for } f \in E(X),$$

where M runs over the family of all absolutely convex and solid $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact sets in E' . The basic properties of $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ can be summarized in the following theorem (see [16, Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and X be a Banach space. Then*

- (i) $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ is the finest locally convex-solid topology on $E(X)$ with the Lebesgue property.
- (ii) $(E(X), \overline{\tau(E, E')})^* = E(X)_{\tilde{n}}$, and hence $\overline{\tau(E, E')} \subset \tau(E, (X), E(X)_{\tilde{n}})$.
- (iii) $(E(X), \overline{\tau(E, E')})$ is complete whenever E is perfect.

We can state the following *Mackey problem*: under which conditions on X is $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ a strongly Mackey topology on $E(X)$? Equivalently, when every relatively countably $\sigma(E(X)_{\tilde{n}}, E(X))$ -compact sets in $E(X)_{\tilde{n}}$ are $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous? We show that it holds whenever X is an Asplund space.

In Section 2 we obtain some results concerning duality of the space $E(X)$ that will be needed. In Section 3 we investigate the relationship between the $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subsets of $E(X)_{\tilde{n}}$ and relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact subsets of E' . Moreover, in case when X is an Asplund space, we obtain a characterization of relatively countably $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact subsets of $E'(X^*)$. As a consequence, in Section 4 in case X is an Asplund space, we obtain that $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ is a strongly Mackey topology; hence $\overline{\tau(E, E')} = \tau(E(X), E'(X^*))$. Moreover, it is shown that the space $E'(X^*)$ is $\overline{\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))}$ -sequentially complete. We characterize $\overline{\tau(L^\infty, L^1)}$ on $L^\infty(X)$ and $\overline{\tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})}$ on the Orlicz-Bochner spaces $L^\Phi(X)$. In Section 5 we examine the space $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ of all $(\overline{\tau(E(X), E(X)_{\tilde{n}})}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous linear operators from $E(X)$ to a Banach space $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, equipped with the weak operator topology (briefly WOT) and the strong operator topology (briefly SOT). It is shown that if E is perfect and X is an Asplund space, then the space $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is WOT-sequentially complete, and every SOT-compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is $(\overline{\tau(E(X), E'(X^*))}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous. As an application, a Vitali-Hahn-Saks type theorem for $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is obtained.

2. Duality of vector-valued function spaces

In this section we establish terminology and prove a general result concerning duality of vector-valued function spaces $E(X)$ (see [2], [3], [4], [14] for more details). For a linear functional F on $E(X)$ let us put

$$|F|(f) = \sup\{|F(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq \tilde{f}\} \text{ for } f \in E(X).$$

The set

$$E(X)^\sim = \{F \in E(X)^\# : |F|(f) < \infty \text{ for all } f \in E(X)\}$$

will be called the *order dual* of $E(X)$ (here $E(X)^\#$ denotes the algebraic dual of $E(X)$). For $F_1, F_2 \in E(X)^\sim$ we will write $|F_1| \leq |F_2|$ whenever $|F_1|(f) \leq |F_2|(f)$ for all

$f \in E(X)$. A subset A of $E(X)^\sim$ is said to be *solid* whenever $|F_1| \leq |F_2|$ with $F_1 \in E(X)^\sim$ and $F_2 \in A$ imply $F_1 \in A$. A linear subspace I of $E(X)^\sim$ will be called an *ideal* of $E(X)^\sim$ whenever I is solid. It is known that if τ is a locally solid topology on $E(X)$, then $(E(X), \tau)^*$ is an ideal of $E(X)^\sim$ (see [14, Theorem 3.2]). Every subset A of $E(X)^\sim$ is contained in the smallest (with respect to inclusion) solid set called the solid hull of A and denoted by $S(A)$. One can note that $S(A) = \{F \in E(X)^\sim : |F| \leq |G| \text{ for some } G \in A\}$. Let $F \in E(X)^\sim$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ be fixed. For $u \in E^+$ let us set:

$$\varphi_F(u) := |F|(u \otimes x_0) = \sup\{|F(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq u\}.$$

Then $\varphi_F : E^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is an additive mapping and φ_F has a unique positive extension to a linear mapping from E to \mathbb{R} (denoted by φ_F again) and given by

$$\varphi_F(u) := \varphi_F(u^+) - \varphi_F(u^-) \text{ for all } u \in E.$$

(see [4, Lemma 7]). Observe that $\varphi_F \in E^\sim$.

Assume now that τ is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on $E(X)$. Then $E(X)_\tau^*$ is an ideal of $E(X)^\sim$ (see [13, Theorem 3.2]), and the pair $\langle E(X), E(X)_\tau^* \rangle$, under its natural duality $\langle f, F \rangle = F(f)$ is a solid dual system (see [14, p. 206]). For a subset A of $E(X)$ and a subset B of $E(X)_\tau^*$ let us put

$$A^0 = \{F \in E(X)_\tau^* : |\langle f, F \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } f \in A\},$$

$${}^0B = \{f \in E(X) : |\langle f, F \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } F \in B\}.$$

Now given a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space (E, ξ) we characterize $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous subsets of $E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let ξ be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on E . Then for a subset Z of $E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Z is $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous.
- (ii) $\text{conv}(S(Z))$ is $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous.
- (iii) $S(Z)$ is $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous.
- (iv) $\{\varphi_F : F \in Z\}$ in E_ξ^* is ξ -equicontinuous.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Let Z be $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous. Then there exists a convex-solid $\bar{\xi}$ -neighborhood V of 0 such that $Z \subset V^0$. Hence $\text{conv}(S(Z)) \subset \text{conv}(S(V^0)) = \text{conv}(V^0) = V^0$ (see [14, Theorem 3.3]), and this means that $\text{conv}(S(Z))$ is still $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous.

(ii) \implies (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \implies (iv) Assume that the subset $S(Z)$ of $E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*$ is $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous. Let $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of Riesz seminorms on E that generates ξ . Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\sup\{|F(f)| : F \in S(Z)\} \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\bar{p}_{\alpha_i}(f) = p_{\alpha_i}(\tilde{f}) \leq \varepsilon$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. To show that $\{\varphi_F : F \in Z\}$ is ξ -equicontinuous, it is enough to show that $\sup\{|\varphi_F(u)| : F \in Z\} \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $p_{\alpha_i}(u) \leq \eta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Indeed, let $u \in E$, $x_0 \in S_X$ and $p_{\alpha_i}(u) = p_{\alpha_i}(|u|) = \bar{p}_{\alpha_i}(u \otimes x_0) \leq \eta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so $\sup\{|F(u \otimes x_0)| : F \in S(Z)\} \leq \varepsilon$. Hence in view of [14, Lemma 2.1] we obtain that $\sup\{|F(u \otimes x_0)| : F \in S(Z)\} = \sup\{\varphi_F(|u|) : F \in Z\}$, and since $|\varphi_F(u)| \leq \varphi_F(|u|)$, the proof is complete.

(iv) \implies (i) Assume that the set $\{\varphi_F : F \in Z\}$ in E_ξ^* is ξ -equicontinuous. Let $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of Riesz seminorms on E that generates ξ . Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\{|\varphi_F(u)| : F \in Z\} \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $u \in E$ and $p_{\alpha_i}(u) \leq \eta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, for $f \in E(X)$ with $\bar{p}_{\alpha_i}(f) = p_{\alpha_i}(\tilde{f}) \leq \eta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we get $\sup\{|\varphi_F(\tilde{f})| : F \in Z\} \leq \varepsilon$. But $|F(f)| \leq |F|(\tilde{f}) = \varphi_F(\tilde{f})$, so $\sup\{|F(f)| : F \in Z\} \leq \varepsilon$, and this means that Z in $\bar{\xi}$ -equicontinuous. \square

3. Weak compactness in the order continuous dual of vector-valued function spaces

In this section we examine the relationship between the $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subsets of $E(X)^\sim_n$, $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous subsets of E_n^\sim and relatively $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact subsets of E' . As an application, in case X is an Asplund space, we obtain a characterization of $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact subset of $E'(X^*)$.

We start by recalling a characterization of $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact subsets of E' . Assume that M is a $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subset of E' . Then M is also $|\sigma|(E', E)$ -bounded, so one can define a Riesz seminorm p_M on E by

$$p_M(u) = \sup_{v \in M} \int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)v(\omega)| d\mu.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 . Then for a $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subset M of E' the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) For every $u \in E$ the set $\{uv : v \in M\}$ in L^1 is uniformly integrable.
- (ii) p_M is absolutely continuous, i.e., $p_M(\chi_{A_n} u) \rightarrow 0$ for every $u \in E$, as $A_n \searrow_\mu \emptyset$ (i.e., $A_n \downarrow$ and $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n) = 0$).
- (iii) p_M is σ -order continuous.
- (iv) $S(M)$ is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact.
- (v) M is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact.
- (vi) $\text{conv}(S(M))$ is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) It follows from the definition of uniform integrability in L^1 .

(ii) \iff (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \iff (iv) See [1, Theorem 20.3].

(iv) \iff (v) It is obvious.

(v) \iff (vi) See [1, Corollary 20.10]. \square

Now we recall some terminology and prove some technical results concerning the space $E'(X^*, X)$. In view of [2, Theorem 4.1] for $g \in E'(X^*, X)$ we have:

$$|F_g|(f) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}(\omega)\vartheta(g)(\omega) d\mu = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}(\tilde{f}) \quad \text{for all } f \in E(X),$$

and

$$\varphi_{F_g}(u) = |F_g|(u \otimes x_0) = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}(u) \quad \text{for } u \in E^+.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For $g_1, g_2 \in E'(X^*, X)$ the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\vartheta(g_1) \leq \vartheta(g_2)$.
- (ii) $|F_{g_1}|(f) \leq |F_{g_2}|(f)$ for all $f \in E(X)$.
- (iii) $\varphi_{\vartheta(g_1)}(u) \leq \varphi_{\vartheta(g_2)}(u)$ for all $u \in E^+$.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) See [14, Corollary 2.5].

(ii) \implies (iii) For $u \in E^+$ we have

$$\varphi_{\vartheta(g_1)}(u) = \varphi_{F_{g_1}}(u) = |F_{g_1}|(u \otimes x_0) \leq |F_{g_2}|(u \otimes x_0) = \varphi_{F_{g_2}}(u) = \varphi_{\vartheta(g_2)}(u).$$

(iii) \implies (ii) For $f \in E(X)$ we have

$$|F_{g_1}|(f) = \varphi_{\vartheta(g_1)}(\tilde{f}) \leq \varphi_{\vartheta(g_2)}(\tilde{f}) = |F_{g_2}|(f).$$

□

A subset C of $E'(X^*, X)$ is said to be *solid* if $\vartheta(g_1) \leq \vartheta(g_2)$ with $g_1 \in E'(X^*, X)$ and $g_2 \in C$ imply $g_1 \in C$. Every subset A of $E'(X^*, X)$ is contained in the smallest (with respect to inclusion) solid set in $E'(X^*, X)$ called the *solid hull* of A and denoted by $S(A)$. One can note that

$$S(A) = \{g \in E'(X^*, X) : \vartheta(g) \leq \vartheta(h) \text{ for some } h \in A\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be a subset of $E'(X^*, X)$ and $F_C = \{F_g : g \in C\}$. Then*

$$\text{conv}(S(F_C)) = F_{\text{conv}(S(C))}.$$

Proof. Assume that $F \in \text{conv}(S(F_C))$. Then $F = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i F_{g_i} = F_{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i}$, where $g_i \in E'(X^*, X)$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, and $|F_{g_i}| \leq |F_{g'_i}|$ for some $g'_i \in C$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In view of Lemma 3.2 $\vartheta(g_i) \leq \vartheta(g'_i)$, i.e., $g_i \in S(C)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i \in \text{conv}(S(C))$. This means that $F \in F_{\text{conv}(S(C))}$.

Assume that $F \in F_{\text{conv}(S(C))}$. Then $F = F_{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i F_{g_i}$, where $g_i \in E'(X^*, X)$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, and $\vartheta(g_i) \leq \vartheta(g'_i)$ for some $g'_i \in C$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Lemma 3.2, $|F_{g_i}|(f) \leq |F_{g'_i}|(f)$ for all $f \in E(X)$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so $F \in \text{conv}(S(F_C))$. □

Now we ready to characterize $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subsets of $E(X)_n^\sim$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and let X be a Banach space. Then for a subset C of $E'(X^*, X)$ and a subset $\vartheta(C) (= \{\vartheta(g) : g \in C\})$ of E' the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{F_g : g \in C\}$ in a $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$.
- (ii) $\{F_g : g \in \text{conv } S(C)\}$ is a $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$.
- (iii) $\{F_g : g \in S(C)\}$ is a $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$.
- (iv) $\{\varphi_{\vartheta(g)} : g \in C\}$ is a $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous subset of E_n^\sim .
- (v) $\{\varphi_{\vartheta(g)} : g \in C\}$ is a relatively $\sigma(E_n^\sim, E)$ -compact subset of E_n^\sim .
- (vi) $\vartheta(C)$ is a relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact subset of E' .

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) \iff (iii) \iff (iv) It follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.3.

(iv) \iff (v) \iff (vi) It is obvious (see [1, p. 142]).

(vi) \iff (iv) Assume that $\vartheta(C)$ is a relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact subset of E' . Hence by Proposition 3.1, $\overline{\text{abs conv } \vartheta(C)}$ (the closure taken for $\sigma(E', E)$) is absolutely convex $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact. It follows that the set $\{\varphi_v : v \in \overline{\text{abs conv } \vartheta(C)}\}$ is $\tau(E, E'_n)$ -equicontinuous, so $\{\varphi_{\vartheta(g)} : g \in C\}$ is also $\tau(E, E')$ -equicontinuous. \square

As an application of Proposition 3.4 we get:

Corollary 3.5. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and let X be a Banach space. Let C be a subset of $E'(X^*, X)$ such that the set $\vartheta(C)$ in E' is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact. Then the set $\text{conv}(S(C))$ in $E'(X^*, X)$ is relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*, X), E(X))$ -compact.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.4, $\{F_g : g \in \text{conv}(S(C))\}$ is a $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subset of $E(X)_n$, so it is relatively $\sigma(E(X)_n, E(X))$ -compact. This means that $\text{conv}(S(C))$ is a relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*, X), E(X))$ -compact subset of $E'(X^*, X)$. \square

Now we are in position to state a characterization of $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact subsets of $E'(X^*)$ whenever X is an Asplund space.

Proposition 3.6. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and X be an Asplund space. Then for a subset C of $E'(X^*)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) C is relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact.
- (ii) C is relatively countably $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact.
- (iii) \tilde{C} is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact.
- (iv) $\text{conv}(S(C))$ is relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact.
- (v) $\text{abs conv}(C)$ is relatively $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) It is obvious.

(ii) \implies (iii) Assume that C is relatively countably $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact. In view of Proposition 3.1 it is enough to show that the set \tilde{C} is $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded and for every $u \in E$ the set $\{u\tilde{g} : g \in C\}$ in L^1 is uniformly integrable. Clearly C is $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -bounded (see [23, Problem 6-4-106, p. 86]). Hence $\{F_g : g \in C\}$ is a $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -bounded subset of $E(X)_n$. We shall show that \tilde{C} is a $|\sigma|(E', E)$ -bounded subset of E' . Indeed, let $u_0 \in E$ and $f_0 = u_0 \otimes x_0$ for some fixed $x_0 \in S_X$. Hence by [15, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |u_0(\omega)| \tilde{g}(\omega) d\mu : g \in C \right\} &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}_0(\omega) \tilde{g}(\omega) d\mu : g \in C \right\} \\ &= \sup \{|F_g|(f_0) : g \in C\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show that for every $u \in E$ the set $\{u\tilde{g} : g \in C\}$ in $L^1(\mu)$ is uniformly integrable. Assume on the contrary that there exists $u_0 \in E^+$ such that the set $\{u_0\tilde{g} : g \in C\}$ is not uniformly integrable. For each $g \in C$ let us put

$$\nu_g(A) = \int_A u_0(\omega) \tilde{g}(\omega) d\mu \text{ for all } A \in \Sigma.$$

Then for every $g \in C$, $\nu_g : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is μ -continuous countably additive measure but the family $\{\nu_g : g \in C\}$ is not uniformly μ -continuous. In view of [17, Proposition 2.2] there exist a pairwise disjoint sequence (B_n) in Σ , a sequence (g_n) in C and number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\nu_{g_n}(B_n) = \int_{B_n} u_0(\omega)\tilde{g}_n(\omega)d\mu > \varepsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

Since $\chi_{B_n}u_0g_n \in L^1(X^*) \subset L^1(X^*, X)$, in view of [2, Theorem 1.1(3), p. 24] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{g_n}(B_n) &= \|\chi_{B_n}u_0g_n\|_{L^1(X^*, X)} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{B_n} \langle f(\omega), u_0(\omega)g_n(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| : f \in L^\infty(X), \|f\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (1) one can choose a sequence (f_n) in $L^\infty(X)$ with $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq 1$, $\chi_{\Omega \setminus B_n}f_n = 0$ and such that

$$\left| \int_{B_n} \langle f_n(\omega), u_0(\omega)g_n(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| > \varepsilon_0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2}$$

Let

$$f_0(\omega) = \begin{cases} f_n(\omega) & \text{for } \omega \in B_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{for } \omega \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_0 \in L^\infty(X)$, $\chi_{B_n}f_0 = f_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0f_0 \in E(X)$. Note that for every $g \in E'(X^*)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle u_0(\omega)f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{B_n} |\langle u_0(\omega)f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle| d\mu \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{B_n} u_0(\omega)\tilde{f}_n(\omega)\tilde{g}(\omega)d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{B_n} u_0(\omega)\tilde{f}_0(\omega)\tilde{g}(\omega)d\mu = \int_{\Omega} u_0(\omega)\tilde{f}_0(\omega)\tilde{g}(\omega)d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can define a linear operator

$$T_{f_0} : E'(X^*) \ni g \mapsto \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle u_0(\omega)f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right)_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1.$$

Given $(s_n) \in l^\infty$ we define

$$h(\omega) = \begin{cases} s_n u_0(\omega)f_n(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in B_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $h \in E(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(s_n \int_{\Omega} \langle u_0(\omega)f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{B_n} \langle u_0(\omega)s_n f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle h(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the operator T_{f_0} is $(\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X)), \sigma(l^1, l^\infty))$ -continuous. Hence the set $\{T_{f_0}(g) : g \in C\}$ is relatively countably $\sigma(l^1, l^\infty)$ -compact in l^1 , and by the Eberlein theorem it is also relatively sequentially $\sigma(l^1, l^\infty)$ -compact. On the other hand, in view of Schur's theorem the set $\{T_{f_0}(g) : g \in C\}$ is relatively compact in the Banach space l^1 , and it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{n=n_\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle u_0(\omega) f_n(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| : g \in C \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

In particular, it follows that for $n \geq n_{\varepsilon_0}$ we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \langle u_0(\omega) f_n(\omega), g_n(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon_0$$

which contradicts (2).

(iii) \implies (iv) It follows from Corollary 3.3.

(iv) \implies (v) It is obvious because $\text{abs conv}(C) \subset \text{conv}(S(C))$.

(v) \implies (i) It is obvious. □

Recall that a locally convex space (L, ξ) is said to have the *convex compactness property* if the closed absolutely convex hull of every ξ -compact subset of L is still ξ -compact (see [22, p. 156], [23, Definition 9-2-8]).

As a consequence of Proposition 3.6 we have:

Corollary 3.7. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and X be an Asplund space. Then the space $(E'(X^*), \sigma(E'(X^*), E(X)))$ has the convex compactness property.*

4. Strongly Mackey topologies on vector-valued function spaces

Now we are in position to state our main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be an ideal of L^0 and let X be an Asplund space. Then $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ is a strongly Mackey topology on $E(X)$; hence we have*

$$\overline{\tau(E, E')} = \tau(E(X), E'(X^*)).$$

Proof. We have $E(X)_n^\sim = \{F_g : g \in E'(X^*)\}$ because X is an Asplund space. Assume that for $C \subset E'(X^*)$ the set $\{F_g : g \in C\}$ is a relatively countably $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -compact subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$, i.e., C is a relatively countably $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact subset of $E'(X^*)$. Then by Proposition 3.6 $\{\tilde{g} : g \in C\}$ is a relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact subset of E' . Hence, in view of Proposition 3.4, $\{F_g : g \in C\}$ is a $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ -equicontinuous subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$. This means that $\overline{\tau(E, E')}$ is a strongly Mackey topology on $E(X)$. □

As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 we get:

Corollary 4.2. *Let E be a perfect ideal of L^0 and X be an Asplund space. Then the space $E'(X^*)$ is $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -sequentially complete.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.1, $\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim) = \tau(E(X), E'(X^*)) = \overline{\tau(E, E')}$, and it follows that $\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ is a locally solid topology on $E(X)$. Hence by [17,

Theorem 3.6] the space $E(X)_n^\sim$ is $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -sequentially complete. This means that $E'(X^*)$ is $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -sequentially complete. \square

Now we consider the topology $\overline{\tau(L^\infty, L^1)}$ on $L^\infty(X)$ associated with the Mackey topology $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$ on L^∞ . It is known that $\overline{\tau(L^\infty, L^1)}$ coincides with the natural *mixed topology* $\gamma[\mathcal{T}_\infty(X), \mathcal{T}_0(X)_{|L^\infty(X)}]$ (briefly $\gamma_{L^\infty(X)}$) (see [16, Theorem 4.2]). Here $\mathcal{T}_\infty(X)$ stands for the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm topology on $L^\infty(X)$, and $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$ denotes the topology of the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ on $L^0(X)$ that generates convergence in measure on sets of finite measure. Then $\gamma_{L^\infty(X)}$ is the finest locally convex-topology on $L^\infty(X)$ which agrees with $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$ on $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -bounded sets in $L^\infty(X)$ (see [24, 2.2.2]). This means that $(L^\infty(X), \gamma_{L^\infty(X)})$ is a *generalized DF-space* (see [20]). In particular, by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 we get:

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that X is an Asplund space. Then the mixed topology $\gamma_{L^\infty(X)}$ is a strongly Mackey topology; hence we have:*

$$\gamma_{L^\infty(X)} = \overline{\tau(L^\infty, L^1)} = \tau(L^\infty(X), L^1(X^*)).$$

Moreover, the space $L^1(X^*)$ is $\sigma(L^1(X^*), L^\infty(X))$ -sequentially complete.

Now we consider the topology $\overline{\tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})}$ on the Orlicz-Bochner space $L^\Phi(X)$ associated with the Mackey topology $\tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})$ on the Orlicz space L^Φ . For this purpose we first recall some terminology (see [19]). By a Young function we mean here a continuous convex mapping $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that vanishes only at 0 and $\Phi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\Phi(t)/t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The Orlicz space $L^\Phi = \{u \in L^0 : \int_\Omega \Phi(\lambda|u(\omega)|)d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}$ can be equipped with a complete topology \mathcal{T}_Φ of the Riesz norm $\|u\|_\Phi := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi(|u(\omega)|/\lambda)d\mu \leq 1\}$. It is known that $(L^\Phi)' = L^{\Phi^*}$, where Φ^* stands for the Young function complementary to Φ in the sense of Young. The Orlicz-Bochner space $L^\Phi(X) = \{f \in L^0(X) : \tilde{f} \in L^\Phi\}$ can be equipped with the complete topology $\mathcal{T}_\Phi(X)$ of the norm $\|f\|_{L^\Phi(X)} := \|\tilde{f}\|_\Phi$ for $f \in L^\Phi(X)$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_\Phi(X) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_\Phi}$. Note that $L^\Phi(X)_n^\sim = \{F_g : g \in L^{\Phi^*}(X^*, X)\}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $U_\Phi(\varepsilon) = \{f \in L^\Phi(X) : \int_\Omega \Phi(\tilde{f}(\omega))d\mu \leq \varepsilon\}$. Then the family of all sets of the form: $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (\sum_{i=1}^n U_\Phi(\varepsilon_i))$, where (ε_n) is a sequence of positive numbers, forms a local base at 0 (consisting of solid and convex subsets of $L^\Phi(X)$) for a locally convex topology $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X)$, and called the *modular topology* (see [9]). In particular, we will write \mathcal{T}_Φ^\wedge instead of $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge = \tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})$ (see [13, Theorem 1.3]). The basic properties of the modular topology $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X)$ are included in the following theorem (see [9, Theorem 6.3, Theorem 6.5]).

Corollary 4.4. *Let Φ be a Young function and let X be a Banach space. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X)$ is the finest locally convex-solid topology on $L^\Phi(X)$ with the Lebesgue property.
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X) = \overline{\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge} = \overline{\tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X)$ is generated by the family of norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Psi(X)}$, where Ψ runs over the family of all Young functions such that $\Psi \triangleleft \Phi$ (i.e., for every $c > 1$ there exists $d > 1$ such that $\Psi(ct) \leq d\Phi(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$).

Making use of Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.4 we get:

Theorem 4.5. *Let Φ be a Young function and X be an Asplund space. Then the modular topology $\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X)$ on $L^\Phi(X)$ is a strongly Mackey topology; hence we have:*

$$\mathcal{T}_\Phi^\wedge(X) = \overline{\tau(L^\Phi, L^{\Phi^*})} = \tau(L^\Phi(X), L^{\Phi^*}(X^*)).$$

Moreover, the space $L^{\Phi^*}(X^*)$ is $\sigma(L^{\Phi^*}(X^*), L^\Phi(X))$ -sequentially complete.

5. Linear operators on vector-valued function spaces with Mackey topologies

From now on we assume that E is an ideal of L^0 and $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ are real Banach spaces. For a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ let $T^* : Y^\# \rightarrow E(X)^\#$ stand for the conjugate of T defined via the duality identity $\langle f, T^*(y^*) \rangle = \langle T(f), y^* \rangle$ for $f \in E(X)$, $y^* \in Y^\#$ (here $Y^\#$ denotes the algebraic dual of Y).

We start with the following well-known characterization.

Proposition 5.1. *For a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is $(\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (ii) T is $(\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \sigma(Y, Y^*))$ -continuous.
- (iii) $T^*(Y^*) \subset E(X)_n^\sim$.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) See [23, Corollary 11-1-3, Corollary 11-2-6]; (ii) \iff (iii) See [23, Lemma 11-1-1]. □

Let $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ stand for the space of all $(\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous linear operators from $E(X)$ to Y . The *strong operator topology* (briefly SOT) is a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ defined by the family of seminorms $\{p_f : f \in E(X)\}$, where $p_f(T) = \|T(f)\|_Y$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$. The *weak operator topology* (briefly WOT) is a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ defined by the family of seminorms $\{p_{f,y^*} : f \in E(X), y^* \in Y^*\}$, where $p_{f,y^*}(T) = |\langle T(f), y^* \rangle|$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$. Note that for $Y = \mathbb{R}$, both SOT and WOT coincide on $E(X)_n^\sim$ with $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that $E(X)_n^\sim$ is $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -sequentially complete. Then the space $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is WOT-sequentially complete.*

Proof. Let (T_n) be a WOT-Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$. Then one can define a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $f \in E(X)$, $y^* \in Y^*$,

$$\langle T(f), y^* \rangle := \lim_n \langle T_n(f), y^* \rangle. \tag{3}$$

It is enough to show that T is $(\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous. In fact, in view of Proposition 5.1, $T_n^*(Y^*) \subset E(X)_n^\sim$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, one can easily observe that $T_n^* : Y^* \rightarrow E(X)_n^\sim$ is $(\sigma(Y^*, Y), \sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X)))$ -continuous for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y_0^* \in Y^*$ be given. Then for every $f \in E(X)$ by (3) we have:

$$T^*(y_0^*)(f) = \langle T(f), y_0^* \rangle = \lim_n \langle T_n(f), y_0^* \rangle = \lim_n T_n^*(y_0^*)(f). \tag{4}$$

It follows that $(T_n^*(y_0^*))$ is a $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -Cauchy sequence in $E(X)_n^\sim$, so there exists $F_0 \in E(X)_n^\sim$ such that $F_0(f) = \lim_n T_n^*(y_0^*)(f)$ for every $f \in E(X)$. By (4) $T^*(y_0^*) = F_0 \in E(X)_n^\sim$; hence $T^*(Y^*) \subset E(X)_n^\sim$, and this means that $T \in \mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ (see Proposition 5.1). □

As an application of Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 4.2 we get:

Corollary 5.3. *Assume that E is a perfect ideal of L^0 and X is an Asplund space. Then the space $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is WOT-sequentially complete.*

The following general result will be useful (see [21, Theorem 2]).

Proposition 5.4. *Let \mathcal{K} be a SOT-compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$, and let A be a $\sigma(Y^*, Y)$ -closed, equicontinuous subset of Y^* . Then the set $\bigcup\{T^*(A) : T \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -compact subset of $E(X)_n^\sim$.*

Now we are in position to state our main result.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that X is an Asplund space and Y is a Banach space. Let \mathcal{K} be a SOT-compact subset of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$. Then \mathcal{K} is $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous.*

Proof. In view of the Alaoglu theorem the unit ball in Y^* is $\sigma(Y^*, Y)$ -closed and equicontinuous. Hence by Proposition 5.4 $Z = \bigcup\{T^*(B_{Y^*}) : T \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ -compact subset of $E(X)_n^\sim = \{F_g : g \in E'(X^*)\}$. Let $C_Z = \{g \in E'(X^*) : F_g \in Z\}$. Then by Corollary 3.7 the set $\text{abs conv}(C_Z)$ (the closure in $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$) is still a $\sigma(E'(X^*), E(X))$ -compact subset of $E'(X^*)$. Putting

$$p_0(f) = \sup\{|F_g(f)| : g \in \overline{\text{abs conv}(C_Z)}\} \text{ for } f \in E(X)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(f) &\geq \sup\{|F(f)| : F \in Z\} = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{K}}\{|T^*(y^*)| : y^* \in B_{Y^*}\} \\ &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{K}}\{|\langle T(f), y^* \rangle| : y^* \in B_{Y^*}\} = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} \|T(f)\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then $W_\varepsilon = \{f \in E(X) : p_0(f) \leq \varepsilon\}$ is a neighborhood of 0 for $\tau(E(X), E'(X^*))$. It follows that $\|T(f)\|_Y \leq \varepsilon$ for every $T \in \mathcal{K}$ and all $f \in W_\varepsilon$, and this means that \mathcal{K} is $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous. \square

As an application of Theorem 5.5 we get the following Vitali-Hahn-Saks type theorem.

Corollary 5.6. *Let E be a perfect ideal of L^0 . Assume that X is an Asplund space, and Y a Banach space. Let (T_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ and assume that $T(f) := \lim_n T_n(f)$ exists in $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ for every $f \in E(X)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ and the set $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ is $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous.*

Proof. Note that $\langle T(f), y^* \rangle = \lim_n \langle T_n(f), y^* \rangle$ for every $f \in E(X)$, $y^* \in Y^*$. Since $E(X)_n^\sim$ is $\sigma(E(X)_n^\sim, E(X))$ is sequentially complete (see Corollary 4.2), in view of the proof of Proposition 5.2, $T \in \mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ and $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{L}_\tau(E(X), Y)$ for SOT, so the set $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact for SOT. Hence by Theorem 5.5 it is $(\tau(E(X), E'(X^*)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -equicontinuous. \square

References

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw: Locally Solid Riesz Spaces, Academic Press, New York (1978).

- [2] A. V. Bukhvalov: On an analytic representation of operators with abstract norm, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat* 11 (1975) 21–32.
- [3] A. V. Bukhvalov: On an analytic representation of linear operators by means of measurable vector-valued functions, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat* 7 (1977) 21–32.
- [4] A. V. Bukhvalov, G. Ya. Lozanowskii: On sets closed in measure in spaces of measurable function, *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* 2 (1978) 127–148.
- [5] P. Cembranos, J. Mendoza: *Banach Spaces of Vector-Valued Functions*, Lect. Notes in Math. 1676, Springer, Berlin (1997).
- [6] M. Duhoux: Mackey topologies on Riesz spaces and weak compactness, *Math. Z.* 158 (1978) 199–209.
- [7] N. Dunford, J. Schwartz: *Linear Operators, Part. I*, Interscience, New York (1958).
- [8] J. Diestel, J. J. Uhl: *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1977).
- [9] K. Feledziak, M. Nowak: Locally solid topologies on vector-valued function spaces, *Collect. Math.* 48(4–6) (1997) 487–511.
- [10] L. V. Kantorovitch, A. V. Akilov: *Functional Analysis*, Third Edition, Nauka, Moscow (1984) (in Russian).
- [11] P.-K. Lin: *Köthe-Bochner Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2003).
- [12] I. Namioka, R. R. Phelps: Banach spaces which are Asplund spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 42 (1975) 735–750.
- [13] M. Nowak: A characterization of the Mackey topology $\tau(L^\varphi, L^{\varphi*})$ on Orlicz spaces, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Math.* 34 (1986) 577–583.
- [14] M. Nowak: Duality of vector-valued function spaces I, *Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Commentat. Math.* 37 (1997) 195–215.
- [15] M. Nowak: Strong topologies on vector-valued function spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 50 (125) (2000) 401–414.
- [16] M. Nowak: Lebesgue topologies on vector-valued function spaces, *Math. Jap.* 52(2) (2000) 171–182.
- [17] M. Nowak: Conditional weak compactness in vector-valued function spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129(10) (2001) 2947–2953.
- [18] R. R. Phelps: *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, Lect. Notes in Math. 1364, Springer, Berlin (1989).
- [19] M. M. Rao, Z. D. Ren: *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1991).
- [20] W. Ruess: (Weakly) compact operators and DF spaces, *Pac. J. Math.* 98(2) (1982) 419–441.
- [21] H. Schaefer, Xiao-Dong Zhang: On the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 75 (1995) 289–297.
- [22] R. Wheeler: A survey of Baire measures and strict topologies, *Expo. Math.* 2 (1983) 97–190.
- [23] A. Wilansky: *Modern Methods in Topological Vector-Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, Düsseldorf (1978).
- [24] A. Wiweger: Linear spaces with mixed topology, *Stud. Math.* 20 (1961) 47–68.
- [25] A. C. Zaanen: *Riesz Spaces II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1983).