

# Characterizations of Ruled Surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and of Hyperquadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via Relative Geometric Invariants

Stylianos Stamatakis, Ioannis Kaffas, Ioanna-Iris Papadopoulou

*Department of Mathematics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki  
GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece  
email: stamata@math.auth.gr*

Dedicated to Georg STAMOU on the occasion of his 70<sup>th</sup> birthday

**Abstract.** We consider hypersurfaces in the real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) which are relatively normalized. We give necessary and sufficient conditions a) for a surface of negative Gaussian curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to be ruled, b) for a hypersurface of positive Gaussian curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  to be a hyperquadric and c) for a relative normalization to be constantly proportional to the equiaffine normalization.

*Key Words:* Ruled surfaces, ovaloids, hyperquadrics, equiaffine normalization, Pick-invariant

*MSC 2010:* 53A05, 53A07, 53A15, 53A25, 53A40

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we fix our notation and state some of the most important notions and formulae concerning the relative differential geometry of hypersurfaces in the real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Our presentation is mainly based on the texts [3] and [5]. For a more detailed exposition of the subject the reader might read [4].

In the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let  $\Phi = (M, \bar{x})$  be a  $C^r$ -hypersurface defined by an  $n$ -dimensional oriented and connected  $C^r$ -manifold  $M$  ( $r \geq 3$ ) and by a  $C^r$ -immersion  $\bar{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , whose Gaussian curvature  $K_I$  never vanishes on  $M$ . A  $C^s$ -mapping  $\bar{y}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $r > s \geq 1$ ) is called a  $C^s$ -relative normalization, if

$$\text{rank}(\{\bar{x}_{/1}, \bar{x}_{/2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{/n}, \bar{y}\}) = n + 1, \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{rank}(\{\bar{x}_{/1}, \bar{x}_{/2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{/n}, \bar{y}_{/i}\}) = n, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1b)$$

for all  $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) \in M$ , where

$$f_{/i} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^i}, \quad f_{/ij} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u^i \partial u^j}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

denote partial derivatives of a function (or a vector-valued function)  $f$ . We will also say that the pair  $(\Phi, \bar{y})$  is a *relatively normalized hypersurface* of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

The *covector*  $\bar{X}$  of the tangent vector space is defined by

$$\langle \bar{X}, \bar{x}_{/i} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \bar{X}, \bar{y} \rangle = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \tag{2}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

The quadratic differential form

$$G = G_{ij} du^i du^j, \quad \text{where} \quad G_{ij} := \langle \bar{X}, \bar{x}_{/ij} \rangle,$$

is definite or indefinite, depending on whether the Gaussian curvature  $K_I$  of  $\Phi$  is positive or negative, and is called the *relative metric* of  $\Phi$ . From now on we use  $G_{ij}$  as the fundamental tensor for “raising and lowering the indices” in the sense of classical tensor notation.

Let  $\bar{\xi}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be the Euclidean normalization of  $\Phi$ . By virtue of (1) the *support function* of the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$ , which is defined by

$$q := \langle \bar{\xi}, \bar{y} \rangle: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad q \in C^s(M),$$

never vanishes on  $M$ . In the sequel we choose  $\bar{\xi}$  and  $\bar{X}$  to have the same orientation. Then  $q$  is positive everywhere on  $M$ .

Because of (2) we have

$$\bar{X} = q^{-1}\bar{\xi}, \quad G_{ij} = q^{-1}h_{ij}, \quad G^{(ij)} = q h^{(ij)}, \tag{3}$$

where  $h_{ij}$  are the components of the second fundamental form  $II$  of  $\Phi$  and  $h^{(ij)}$  resp.  $G^{(ij)}$  the inverses of the tensors  $h_{ij}$  and  $G_{ij}$ . Let  $\nabla_i^G$  denote the covariant derivative corresponding to  $G$ . By

$$A_{jkl} := \langle \bar{X}, \nabla_l^G \nabla_k^G \bar{x}_{/j} \rangle$$

the (symmetric) *Darboux tensor* is defined. It gives occasion to define the *Tchebychev-vector*  $\bar{T}$  of the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$

$$\bar{T} := T^m \bar{x}_{/m}, \quad \text{where} \quad T^m := \frac{1}{n} A_i^{im},$$

and the *Pick-invariant*

$$J := \frac{1}{n(n-1)} A_{jkl} A^{jkl}.$$

We mention, that when the second fundamental form  $II$  is positive definite, so does  $G$  and in this case  $J \geq 0$  holds on  $M$  (see, e.g., [2, p. 133]).

Denoting by  $H_I$  the Euclidean mean curvature of  $\Phi$ , by  $\nabla^{II}$  resp.  $\Delta^{II}$  the first resp. the second Beltrami differential operator with respect to the fundamental form  $II$  of  $\Phi$  and by  $S_{II}$  the scalar curvature of  $II$ , the Pick-invariant is computed by (see [3])

$$J = \frac{3(n+2)}{4n(n-1)} q \nabla^{II} \left( \ln q, \ln q - \ln |K_I|^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \right) + q \frac{1}{n(n-1)} P, \tag{4}$$

where  $P$  is the function [6, p. 231]

$$P = n(n-1) (S_{II} - H_I) + (2K_I)^{-2} \nabla^{II} K_I. \tag{5}$$

The *relative shape operator* has the coefficients  $B_i^j$  such that

$$\bar{y}_{/i} =: -B_i^j \bar{x}_{/j}.$$

The *mean relative curvature*, which is defined by

$$H := \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} (B_i^j),$$

is computed by (see [3])

$$H = q H_I + \frac{q}{n} \left[ \Delta^H (\ln q) + \nabla^H \left( \ln q, \ln \left( q |K_I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \right]. \tag{6}$$

The scalar curvature  $S$  of the relative metric  $G$ , which is defined formally and is the curvature of the Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $(\Phi, G)$ , the mean relative curvature  $H$  and the Pick-invariant  $J$  satisfy the *Theorema Egregium of relative differential geometry*, which states that

$$H + J - S = \frac{n}{n-1} \|\bar{T}\|_G, \tag{7}$$

where  $\|\bar{T}\|_G := G_{ij} T^i T^j$  is the *relative norm* of the Tchebychev-vector  $\bar{T}$ .

## 2. The Tchebychev-function and some related formulae

We consider the function

$$\varphi := \left( \frac{q}{q_{\text{AFF}}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$q_{\text{AFF}} := |K_I|^{\frac{1}{n+2}}$$

is the support function of the *equiaffine normalization*  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$  and we call it the *Tchebychev-function* of the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$ . It is known, that for the components of the Tchebychev-vector holds [3, p. 199]

$$T^i = G^{(ij)} (\ln \varphi)_{/j}.$$

Hence, by (3c), we obtain

$$\bar{T} = \nabla^G (\ln \varphi, \bar{x}) = q \nabla^H (\ln \varphi, \bar{x})$$

and

$$\|\bar{T}\|_G = \nabla^G (\ln \varphi) = q \nabla^H (\ln \varphi). \tag{9}$$

We notice that the Tchebychev-vector vanishes identically iff the Tchebychev-function  $\varphi$  is constant, i.e., by (8), iff  $q = c q_{\text{AFF}}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , which means that the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$  and the equiaffine normalization  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$  are constantly proportional.

From the relation (4) we obtain the Pick-invariant of the Euclidean normalization ( $q = 1$ )

$$J_{\text{EUK}} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} P.$$

Hence by using (5) we find

$$J_{\text{EUK}} = S_{II} - H_I + \frac{(n+2)^2}{4n(n-1)} \nabla^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \quad (10)$$

From (8) and (10) we conclude that the relation (4) can be written as

$$\frac{J}{q} = \frac{3(n+2)}{4n(n-1)} \left[ \frac{4n^2}{(n+2)^2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \nabla^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}) \right] + J_{\text{EUK}}. \quad (11)$$

For the equiaffine ( $\varphi = 1$ ) Pick-invariant  $J_{\text{AFF}}$  we deduce

$$\frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{-3(n+2)}{4n(n-1)} \nabla^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}) + J_{\text{EUK}}. \quad (12)$$

By subtracting (12) from (11) we obtain

$$\frac{J}{q} - \frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{3n}{(n-1)(n+2)} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi). \quad (13)$$

Similarly, taking (6) and (8) into account, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H}{q} - H_I &= \frac{2}{n+2} \Delta^{II} (\ln \varphi) + \frac{4n}{(n+2)^2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{n-2}{n+2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi, \ln q_{\text{AFF}}) + \frac{1}{n} \Delta^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For the mean equiaffine curvature  $H_{\text{AFF}}$  we infer

$$\frac{H_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} - H_I = \frac{1}{n} \Delta^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{II} (\ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \quad (15)$$

By subtracting (15) from (14) we obtain

$$\frac{H}{q} - \frac{H_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{2}{n+2} \Delta^{II} (\ln \varphi) + \frac{4n}{(n+2)^2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \frac{n-2}{n+2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi, \ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \quad (16)$$

The relations (7), (9), (13) and (16) may be combined into

$$\frac{S}{q} - \frac{J_{\text{AFF}} + H_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{2}{n+2} \Delta^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \frac{n-2}{n+2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi, \ln q_{\text{AFF}}),$$

and with reference to

$$S_{\text{AFF}} = J_{\text{AFF}} + H_{\text{AFF}}, \quad (17)$$

where  $S_{\text{AFF}}$  denotes the inner equiaffine curvature, we conclude that

$$\frac{S}{q} - \frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{2}{n+2} \Delta^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi) - \frac{n-2}{n+2} \nabla^{II} (\ln \varphi, \ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \quad (18)$$

### 3. Characterizations of ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and of hyperquadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

Let now  $\alpha$  be any real number. By using the relations (13) and (16)–(18) we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha(S - H) + J}{q} = (\alpha + 1) \frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} - \frac{n[\alpha(n - 1) - 3]}{(n - 1)(n + 2)} \nabla^H \ln \varphi.$$

For  $\alpha = \frac{3}{n - 1}$  we get

$$\frac{3(S - H) + (n - 1)J}{q} = (n + 2) \frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}}. \tag{19}$$

This result implies the following

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(\Phi, \bar{y})$  be a relatively normalized hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then the function*

$$\frac{3(S - H) + (n - 1)J}{q}$$

*is independent of the relative normalization and vanishes iff  $J_{\text{AFF}} = 0$ .*

On account of the relations (7) and (19) we infer that

$$\|\bar{T}\|_G = \frac{(n - 1)(n + 2)}{3n} \left( J - \frac{q}{q_{\text{AFF}}} J_{\text{AFF}} \right) = \frac{n + 2}{n} \left( H - S + \frac{q}{q_{\text{AFF}}} J_{\text{AFF}} \right). \tag{20}$$

From (20) follows immediately

$$J_{\text{AFF}} = 0 \iff 3n \|\bar{T}\|_G = (n - 1)(n + 2)J \iff n \|\bar{T}\|_G = (n + 2)(H - S). \tag{21}$$

We suppose that  $n = 2$  and  $K_I < 0$ . It is well known (see [1, p. 125]), that the vanishing of  $J_{\text{AFF}}$  characterizes the ruled surfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  among the surfaces of negative Gaussian curvature. So, from the relations (19) and (21) we obtain the following characterizations for ruled surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface of negative Gaussian curvature. Then  $\Phi$  is a ruled surface iff there exists a relative normalization of  $\Phi$ , for which one of the following equivalent properties holds true:*

- (a)  $3(S - H) + J = 0$ ,
- (b)  $3\|\bar{T}\|_G = 2J$ ,
- (c)  $\|\bar{T}\|_G = 2(H - S)$ .

Let now be  $n \geq 2$  and  $K_I > 0$ . Moreover, without loss of generality, we assume that the second fundamental form  $II$  is positive definite. It is also well-known (see [5, p. 380]) that in this case the equiaffine Pick-invariant is non-negative and that it vanishes iff  $\Phi$  is a hyperquadric. So, by using the relations (19) and (21), we can characterize the hyperquadrics of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  among all hypersurfaces of positive Gaussian curvature as the following proposition states:

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of positive Gaussian curvature. Then  $\Phi$  is a hyperquadric iff there exists a relative normalization of  $\Phi$ , for which one of the following equivalent properties holds true:*

- (a)  $3(S - H) + (n - 1)J = 0$ ,
- (b)  $3n \|\bar{T}\|_G = (n - 1)(n + 2)J$ ,
- (c)  $n \|\bar{T}\|_G = (n + 2)(H - S)$ .

### 4. The vanishing of the Pick-invariant and some integral formulae

Another consequence of relation (13) are the following two propositions:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of positive Gaussian curvature. For the Pick-invariant of every relative normalization  $\bar{y}$  the following relation is valid*

$$\frac{J}{q} - \frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} \geq 0. \tag{22}$$

*The equality holds iff the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$  and the equiaffine normalization  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$  are constantly proportional.*

*Proof.* Because of the assumption  $K_I > 0$  we have  $\nabla^H(\ln \varphi) \geq 0$ . So the inequality follows from (13). Furthermore,

$$\frac{J}{q} - \frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = 0 \iff \nabla^H(\ln \varphi) = 0 \iff \varphi = \text{const.} \iff q = c q_{\text{AFF}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^*,$$

which proves the assertion. □

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of positive Gaussian curvature. If there is a relative normalization  $\bar{y}$ , whose Pick-invariant vanishes identically, then  $\Phi$  is a hyperquadric. Furthermore  $\bar{y}$  is constantly proportional to the equiaffine normalization  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{y}$  be a relative normalization of  $\Phi$  with vanishing Pick-invariant. Then, from the relation (13) we obtain

$$-\frac{J_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{3n}{(n-1)(n+2)} \nabla^H(\ln \varphi). \tag{23}$$

Because of  $J_{\text{AFF}} \geq 0$  and  $\nabla^H \ln \varphi \geq 0$ , both members of (23) vanish. But  $J_{\text{AFF}} \geq 0$  implies that  $\Phi$  is a hyperquadric and  $\nabla^H \ln \varphi = 0$  implies that the function  $\varphi$  is constant, which means that  $q = c q_{\text{AFF}}, c \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , and the proof is completed. □

We conclude the paper by considering closed surfaces of positive Gaussian curvature (ovaloids) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For  $n = 2$  relation (16) becomes

$$\frac{H}{q} - \frac{H_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^H(\ln \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^H(\ln \varphi),$$

from which we have

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $(\Phi, \bar{y})$  be a relatively normalized ovaloid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then*

$$\iint_M \left( \frac{H}{q} - \frac{H_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} \right) dO_H \geq 0,$$

*where  $dO_H$  is the element of area of  $\Phi$  with respect to the second fundamental form  $H$  of  $\Phi$ . The equality is valid iff the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$  is constantly proportional to the equiaffine normalization  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$ .*

Furthermore, for  $n = 2$ , relation (18) becomes

$$\frac{S}{q} - \frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^H(\ln \varphi). \tag{24}$$

From this equation we easily deduce:

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $(\Phi, \bar{y})$  be a relatively normalized ovaloid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If the function*

$$\frac{S}{q} - \frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}}$$

*does not change its sign on  $M$ , then the relative normalization  $\bar{y}$  and the equiaffine normalization  $\bar{y}_{\text{AFF}}$  are constantly proportional.*

Finally, from the relations (10), (12), (15) and (17) for  $n = 2$  we obtain

$$\frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} - S_{II} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^H(\ln q_{\text{AFF}}). \quad (25)$$

If we now integrate (24) and (25) over  $M$  we get

$$\iint_M \frac{S}{q} dO_{II} = \iint_M \frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} dO_{II} = \iint_M S_{II} dO_{II} = 2\pi\chi,$$

where  $\chi = 2$  is the Euler characteristic of  $\Phi$ . Hence we arrive at

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $(\Phi, \bar{y})$  be a relatively normalized ovaloid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the following integral formula is valid*

$$\iint_M \frac{S}{q} dO_{II} = 4\pi.$$

**Corollary 9.** *For an ovaloid  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  the following integral formula is valid*

$$\iint_M \frac{S_{\text{AFF}}}{q_{\text{AFF}}} dO_{II} = 4\pi.$$

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his useful remarks.

## References

- [1] W. BLASCHKE: *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II, Affine Differentialgeometrie*. Verlag Julius Springer, Berlin 1923.
- [2] H. HUCK et al.: *Beweismethoden der Differentialgeometrie im Großen*. Lecture Notes in Math. **335**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1973.
- [3] F. MANHART: *Relativgeometrische Kennzeichnungen Euklidischer Hypersphären*. *Geom. Dedicata* **29**, 193–207 (1989).
- [4] P.A. SCHIROKOW, A.P. SCHIROKOW: *Affine Differentialgeometrie*. B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig 1962.
- [5] R. SCHNEIDER: *Zur affinen Differentialgeometrie im Großen I*. *Math. Z.* **101**, 375–406 (1967).
- [6] R. SCHNEIDER: *Closed convex hypersurfaces with second fundamental form of constant curvature*. *Proc. Amer. Soc.* **35**, 230–233 (1972).