

## Kazhdan Constants Associated with Laplacian on Connected Lie Groups.

M. E. B. Bekka, P-A. Cherix<sup>1</sup>, P. Jolissaint

Communicated by A. Valette

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a finite dimensional connected Lie group. Fix a basis  $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and form the associated Laplace operator  $\Delta = -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i^2$  in the enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $\pi$  be a strongly continuous unitary representation of  $G$ ; let  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  be the closure of the essentially self-adjoint operator  $d\pi(\Delta)$ . We show that  $\pi$  almost has invariant vectors if and only if 0 belongs to the spectrum of  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$ . From this, we deduce that  $G$  has Kazhdan's property (T) if and only if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for any unitary representation without non zero fixed vectors, one has  $\epsilon < \min\{\text{Sp}(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})\}$ . This answers positively a question of Y. Colin de Verdière. It also allows us to define new Kazhdan constants, that we compare to the classical ones.

### 1. Introduction

In 1967, Kazhdan introduced property (T), a fixed point property of unitary representations for locally compact groups. More precisely,

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group.

1. Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow U(H_\pi)$  be a strongly continuous unitary representation,  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $K \subset G$  be a compact subset of  $G$ ; a vector  $\xi \in H_\pi^1$ , the set of vectors of length 1 in  $H_\pi$ , is  $(\epsilon, K)$ -invariant if

$$\sup\{\|\pi(g)\xi - \xi\| \mid g \in K\} < \epsilon.$$

2.  $\pi$  has almost invariant vectors if for every  $\epsilon$  and  $K$  as above, there exists an  $(\epsilon, K)$ -invariant vector.
3.  $G$  has property (T), if for all representations with almost invariant vectors, there exists a nonzero fixed vector.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Grant 20-43215.95 of the Swiss National Fund for Scientific Research

This property has strong consequences both from practical and theoretical points of view (see [10]). In graph theory, the property (T) permits the construction of family of expanders via the knowledge of the Kazhdan constant (see [11]). Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a representation of  $G$  and let  $K$  be a compact generating set of  $G$ . We define  $\kappa(G, K, \pi)$  as follows :

$$\kappa(G, K, \pi) = \inf_{\xi \in H_\pi^1} \max_{s \in K} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| .$$

The Kazhdan constant of the group  $G$  relatively to  $K$  is defined by:

$$\kappa(G, K) = \inf \{ \kappa(G, K, \pi) \mid \pi \in \tilde{G}^* \}$$

where  $\tilde{G}^*$  is the set of equivalence classes of unitary representations of  $G$  on separable Hilbert spaces, without nonzero fixed vectors.

**Proposition 1.2.** *For  $G$  a locally compact group and  $K$  a compact generating set of  $G$ , the following assertions are equivalent :*

1.  $G$  has property (T)
2.  $\kappa(G, K) > 0$

A proof of this result is given in [4].

In general, it is difficult to see whether a group  $G$  has property (T). In this paper we give an equivalent definition of property (T) for connected Lie groups. This approach was suggested by Colin de Verdière [1].

## 2. Definitions and first properties

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . We use on  $G$  a right invariant Haar measure  $dg$ .

Any unitary representation  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  of  $G$  induces a representation  $d\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the subspace  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -vectors of  $\pi$ , i.e. the subspace of vectors  $\xi \in H_\pi$  for which the function  $x \mapsto \pi(x)\xi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  function.  $d\pi$  extends to a representation of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  on the same subspace  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ .

Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be two vectors in  $H_\pi$ , we denote by  $\varphi_{\xi, \eta}$  the function on  $G$  defined by  $\varphi_{\xi, \eta}(g) = \langle \pi(g)\xi \mid \eta \rangle$ . We call  $\varphi_{\xi, \eta}$  the coefficient of  $\pi$  associated to  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Using the same notations as before, if  $\xi$  is in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ ,  $\eta$  is in  $H_\pi$  and  $X$  is in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\varphi_{\xi, \eta}$  satisfies :*

1.  $X\varphi_{\xi, \eta} = \varphi_{d\pi(X)\xi, \eta}$  ,
2.  $\Delta\varphi_{\xi, \eta} = \varphi_{d\pi(\Delta)\xi, \eta}$  ,
3.  $\Delta^n\varphi_{\xi, \eta} = \varphi_{d\pi(\Delta)^n\xi, \eta}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** By definition, we get for every  $g$  in  $G$  :

$$\begin{aligned} (X\varphi_{\xi,\eta})(g) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{\xi,\eta}(g \exp(tX)) - \varphi_{\xi,\eta}(g)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \langle \pi(\exp(tX))\xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle - \langle \xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle \right] \\ &= \langle d\pi(X)\xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle = \varphi_{d\pi(X)\xi,\eta}(g) \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1). The assertions (2) and (3) follow from the fact that  $d\pi(X)\xi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  vector if  $\xi$  is.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\psi$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  function on  $G$  such that  $\psi$  and  $X\psi$  lie in  $L^1(G)$ ; then  $\int_G (X\psi)(g)dg = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(G)$ , the space of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  with compact support on  $G$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G (X\psi)(g)dg &= \int_G \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(g \exp(tX)) - \psi(g)}{t} \right) dg \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_G \frac{\psi(g \exp(tX)) - \psi(g)}{t} dg = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The two last equalities hold because  $Supp(\psi)$  is compact and because the measure  $dg$  is invariant by right multiplication. Now, if  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$  is such that  $\psi$  and  $X\psi$  lie in  $L^1(G)$ , there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $\int_{G-K} |\psi(g)|dg < \epsilon/3$  and  $\int_{G-K} |(X\psi)(g)|dg < \epsilon/3$ . So we have :  $|\int_G (X\psi)(g)dg| < |\int_K (X\psi)(g)dg| + \epsilon/3$ .

For  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(G)$ ,  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $K$ ,  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ ,  $|(X\chi)(g)| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K (X\psi)(g)dg &= \int_K (X\psi)(g)\chi(g)dg \\ &= \int_K (X(\psi\chi))(g)dg \quad (\text{because } X\chi = 0 \text{ on } K) \\ &= - \int_{G-K} (X(\psi\chi))(g)dg \quad (\text{because } \int_G X(\psi\chi)(g)dg = 0) \\ &= - \int_{G-K} (X\psi)(g)\chi(g)dg - \int_{G-K} (X\chi)(g)\psi(g)dg \end{aligned}$$

But  $|\int_{G-K} (X\psi)(g)\chi(g)dg| \leq \int_{G-K} |(X\psi)(g)|dg \leq \epsilon/3$  and  $|\int_{G-K} (X\chi)(g)\psi(g)dg| \leq \int_{G-K} |\psi(g)|dg \leq \epsilon/3$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\psi$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  function on  $G$  such that  $\psi$  and  $X\psi$  lie in  $L^1(G)$ . If  $\xi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi)$  and  $\eta$  belongs to  $H_\pi$ , then :*

$$\int_G \langle \xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle (X\psi)(g)dg = - \int_G \langle d\pi(X)\xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle \psi(g)dg.$$

**Proof.** The corollary follows from 2.2, 2.1 (1) and from the equality :

$$\int_G \varphi_{\xi,\eta}(g)(X\psi)(g)dg + \int_G (X\varphi_{\xi,\eta})(g)\psi(g)dg = \int_G (X(\varphi_{\xi,\eta}\psi))(g)dg = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; set  $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ . The operator  $d\pi(\Delta)$  is essentially selfadjoint [8], and we denote by  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  its closure and by  $D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$  the domain of  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $\psi$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $G$  such that  $\psi$ ,  $X_i\psi$  and  $X_i^2\psi$  belong to  $L^1(G)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then for every  $\xi$  in  $D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$  and for every  $\eta$  in  $H_\pi$  :*

$$\int_G \varphi_{\xi, \eta}(g)(\Delta\psi)(g)dg = \int_G \varphi_{\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi, \eta}(g)\psi(g)dg.$$

**Proof.** By lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, the equality is true for  $\xi \in C^\infty(H_\pi)$ . If  $\xi \in D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$ , there exists a sequence  $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  in  $C^\infty(H_\pi)$  such that

$$\|\xi_k - \xi\| + \|\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi_k - \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi\| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then :} \quad & \left| \int \varphi_{\xi, \eta}(g)(\Delta\psi)(g)dg - \int \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle \psi(g)dg \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int \langle \xi - \xi_k | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle (\Delta\psi)(g)dg \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int \langle \xi_k | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle (\Delta\psi)(g)dg \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi_k | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle \psi(g)dg \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi_k - \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle \psi(g)dg \right| \\ & \leq (\|\xi - \xi_k\| \|\Delta\psi\|_1 + \|\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}(\xi_k - \xi)\| \|\psi\|_1) \|\eta\| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. ■

**Proposition 2.5.** *The following conditions are equivalent :*

1.  $\pi$  has a non zero fixed vector.
2. 0 is an eigenvalue for  $d\pi(\Delta)$ .
3. 0 is an eigenvalue for  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$ .

**Proof.** The implications 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) and 2)  $\Rightarrow$  3) are obvious.

We prove now 3)  $\Rightarrow$  1) : Let  $\xi$  be a non zero vector in  $\text{Ker}(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$ . Let  $\eta \in H_\pi$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ . Then, using Corollary 2.4, we have in the sense of weak derivatives :

$$(\Delta\varphi_{\xi, \eta}, \psi) = (\varphi_{\xi, \eta}, \Delta\psi) = (\varphi_{\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi, \eta}, \psi) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Delta\varphi_{\xi, \eta} = 0$  as a distribution, and since  $\Delta$  is hypo-elliptic (see [8]),  $\varphi_{\xi, \eta}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $G$ .

Since  $\eta$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $g \mapsto \pi(g)\xi$  is weakly  $C^\infty$  and so, by a lemma due to Poulsen (see [15]),  $g \mapsto \pi(g)\xi$  is strongly  $C^\infty$ . Therefore,  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi = d\pi(\Delta)\xi = 0$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\|^2 = \langle d\pi(\Delta)\xi | \xi \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , this implies that  $d\pi(X)\xi = 0$  for every element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence,  $\pi(g)\xi = \xi$  for every  $g$  in  $V$ , a suitable neighbourhood of  $e$  in  $G$ . As  $G$  is connected,  $V$  generates  $G$  and so  $\xi$  is fixed under the action of  $G$ . ■

### 3. Spectral characterisation of almost invariant vectors

The goal of this section is to prove :

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a unitary representation  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  of  $G$ , the following conditions are equivalent :*

1.  $\pi$  almost has invariant vectors.
2. 0 is an approximate eigenvalue of  $d\pi(\Delta)$ .
3. 0 is a spectral value of  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$ .

**Proof.** The equivalence between 2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  3) is clear, since  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  is the closure of  $d\pi(\Delta)$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1)

If 0 is an approximate eigenvalue of  $d\pi(\Delta)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\xi_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  of unit vectors in  $C^\infty(H_\pi)$  such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|d\pi(\Delta)\xi_m\| = 0$ . So,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|d\pi(X_i)\xi_m\| = 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $V$  defined by

$$V = \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \exp(t_i X_i) \mid -1 \leq t_i \leq 1 \right\}.$$

$V$  is a compact neighbourhood of  $e$ , see [5].

Moreover, for every  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and every  $t \geq 0$ , we have :

$$\pi(\exp(tX))\xi_m - \xi_m = \int_0^t \pi(\exp(sX))d\pi(X)\xi_m ds.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we have :

$$\|\pi(\exp(tX_i))\xi_m - \xi_m\| \leq t \|d\pi(X_i)\xi_m\| \leq \epsilon/n$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $m$  sufficiently large.

This implies that  $\|\pi(g)\xi_m - \xi_m\| \leq \epsilon$  for every  $g$  in  $V$  as soon as  $m$  is large enough.

So we proved that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $(\epsilon, V)$ -invariant vectors. As  $G$  is connected,  $V$  generates  $G$  and one easily deduces that  $\pi$  has  $(\epsilon, K)$ -invariant vectors for any compact subset  $K$  of  $G$ .

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of the implication 1)  $\Rightarrow$  3) which is much more involved. For this, we need to recall some facts about the heat kernel associated with  $\Delta$ .

Let  $h$  denote the closure of  $\Delta$  acting on  $L^2(G)$ . As  $-h$  is a selfadjoint and negative definite operator, by the Hille-Yosida theorem (see [16]),  $-h$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group of contractions  $T(t)$ .

It is known (see [13]) that, for every  $t > 0$ ,  $T(t)$  is given by the convolution from the right with a function  $p_t$ , the heat kernel. The function  $p_t$  is a

smooth function on  $G$  with positive values and integral 1. We briefly recall its construction.

Let  $\delta$  denote the modular function associated with our right Haar measure, thus :

$$\int_G \delta(x)f(xy)dy = \int_G f(y)dy,$$

for every integrable function  $f$  over  $G$ . The right regular representation over  $L^2(G)$  is given by :

$$(\rho(x)\xi)(y) = \xi(yx).$$

The left regular representation is then given by :

$$(\lambda(x)\xi)(y) = \delta(x^{-1})^{1/2}\xi(x^{-1}y).$$

If  $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  and if  $h$  is the closure of  $\Delta$  on  $L^2(G)$ , then  $h$  and  $\lambda(x)$  commute for every  $x$  in  $G$ .

For every  $t \geq 0$ , let  $T(t) = \exp(-th)$ .

We claim that for every  $t > 0$ ,  $T(t)$  is a regularising operator, that is, for every  $\xi \in L^2(G)$ , for every integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $h^m T(t)\xi \in D(h)$ . Indeed, let  $h = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$  be the spectral decomposition of  $h$ . As the function  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{2m} \exp(-2t\lambda)$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we have :

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{2m} \exp(-2t\lambda) dE_\xi(\lambda) = \|h^m T(t)\xi\|^2 < \infty, \forall \xi \in L^2(G).$$

By Sobolev's lemma,  $T(t)\xi \in C^\infty(G)$ ,  $\forall \xi \in L^2(G)$ .

By Schwartz Kernel theorem, there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $p'_t : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(T(t)\xi)(x) = \int_G p'_t(x, y)\xi(y)dy, \forall \xi \in L^2(G).$$

Hence ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(x)T(t)\xi)(x') &= \delta(x^{-1})^{1/2}(T(t)\xi)(x^{-1}x') \\ &= \delta(x^{-1})^{1/2} \int_G p'_t(x^{-1}x', y)\xi(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (T(t)\lambda(x)\xi)(x') &= \int_G p'_t(x', y)(\lambda(x)\xi)(y)dy \\ &= \delta(x^{-1})^{1/2} \int_G p'_t(x', y)\xi(x^{-1}y)dy \\ &= \delta(x^{-1})^{1/2} \int_G \delta(x)p'_t(x', xy)\xi(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

As  $T(t)$  and  $\lambda(x)$  commute, we deduce that

$$(*) p'_t(x^{-1}x', y) = \delta(x)p'_t(x', xy) \forall x, x', y \in G.$$

Set  $p_t(x) = p'_t(e, x)$ .

The above relation (\*) shows that, for all  $y \in G$ ,

$$p_t(x^{-1}y)\delta(x^{-1}) = p'_t(e, x^{-1}y)\delta(x^{-1}) = p'_t(x, y).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (T(t)\xi)(x) &= \int p'_t(x, y)\xi(y)dy = \int p_t(x^{-1}y)\delta(x^{-1})\xi(y)dy \\ &= \int p_t(y)\xi(xy)dy \text{ for } \xi \in L^2(G). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that if  $\pi$  is a representation of  $G$ , then  $\pi(f)$  is the operator defined for  $f \in L^1(G)$  by

$$\pi(f)\eta = \int f(y)\pi(y)\eta dy \text{ for every } \eta \in H_\pi.$$

Taking  $\pi = \rho$  and  $f = p_t$ , we see that :

$$(\rho(p_t)\xi)(x) = \int p_t(y)(\rho(y)\xi)(x)dy = \int p_t(y)\xi(xy)dy = (T(t)\xi)(x).$$

As  $T(t) = T(t)^*$ , we have  $p_t = p_t^*$ , and  $p_t$  is a solution of the heat equation :

$$\frac{\partial p_t}{\partial t} = -\Delta p_t.$$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\Delta p_t$  belongs to  $L^1(G)$  and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{p_{t+s} - p_t}{s} + \Delta p_t \right\|_1 = 0, \text{ for every } t > 0.$$

**Proof.** We denote by  $\rho_1$  the right regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^1(G)$ . Following ([13], theorem 4, p.599), we set for  $t > 0$  and for  $f \in L^1(G)$  :

$$P^t f = \int_G p_t(y)\rho_1(y)f dy.$$

By lemma 7.1 and theorem 4 of [13],  $P^t f$  is an analytic vector in the following sense : there exists  $s > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} \|d\rho_1(X_{i_1}) \dots d\rho_1(X_{i_m})P^t f\|_1 s^m < \infty.$$

In particular,  $p_t = P^{t/2}p_{t/2}$  is analytic in the preceding sense, and  $hp_t \in L^1(G)$ . The last assertion is a consequence of mean value theorem and of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. ■

Let now  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $G$ . Set

$$S(t) = \pi(p_t), \text{ if } t > 0 \text{ and } S(0) = \mathbb{I}_{H_\pi}.$$

$(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous semi-group on  $H_\pi$  with infinitesimal generator  $A$ . Moreover  $S(t)^* = S(t)$  for every  $t$  because  $p_t = p_t^*$ .

By Corollary 10.6, p.41 of [14],  $A$  is selfadjoint. More precisely the following is true.

**Lemma 3.3.** *With the same notations, one has  $A = -\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$ , where  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  denotes the closure of  $d\pi(\Delta)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\xi$  be in  $D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$ . We claim that, for every  $t > 0$ ,  $S(t)\xi$  belongs to  $D(A)$  and

$$AS(t)\xi = -S(t)\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi.$$

Indeed, let  $\eta$  in  $H_\pi$ . Then, for  $0 < s \leq 1$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{S(s)S(t)\xi - S(t)\xi}{s} | \eta \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{s} \langle \pi(p_{t+s})\xi - \pi(p_t)\xi | \eta \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \int (p_{t+s}(g) - p_t(g)) \langle \xi | \pi(g^{-1})\eta \rangle dg \\ &= \int \frac{p_{t+s}(g) - p_t(g)}{s} \varphi_{\xi, \eta}(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 3.2 and corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \left\langle \frac{S(s)S(t)\xi - S(t)\xi}{s} | \eta \right\rangle &= \int (-\Delta p_t)(g) \varphi_{\xi, \eta}(g) dg \\ &= -\langle S(t)\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 1.3, p.43 of [14],  $S(t)\xi$  belongs to  $D(A)$  and  $AS(t)\xi = -S(t)\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi$ . This proves the claim. But  $\|S(t)\xi - \xi\| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$  and  $\|AS(t)\xi - (-\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi)\| = \|-S(t)\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi + \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi\| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$ .

Since  $A$  is a closed operator,  $\xi$  belongs to  $D(A)$  and  $A\xi = -\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi$ .

So  $-\overline{d\pi(\Delta)} \subset A$ , and as they are selfadjoint, we have  $-\overline{d\pi(\Delta)} = A$ . ■

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  is a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$  with almost invariant vectors and if  $\mu$  is a probability measure over  $G$ , the spectrum of the operator  $\pi(\mu) = \int \pi(g)d\mu(g)$  contains 1.*

**Proof.** Let  $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  a sequence of unit vectors in  $H_\pi$  such that  $\varphi_{\xi_k, \xi_k}$  tends to 1 uniformly over every compact set of  $G$ . We show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(\mu)\xi_k - \xi_k\| = 0.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; there exists a compact set  $K$  in  $G$  such that  $\mu(G - K) \leq \epsilon$ . So we have

$$\|\pi(\mu)\xi_k - \xi_k\| \leq \int_K \|\pi(g)\xi_k - \xi_k\| d\mu(g) + 2\epsilon < 3\epsilon$$

for  $k$  sufficiently large. ■

As we now show, the converse is true under some restrictions on  $\mu$ . Although we shall not use this result, we give the proof as we think it is of interest.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mu$  be a absolutely continuous probability measure with respect to the Haar measure and such that the support generates topologically  $G$ . Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation on a Hilbert space  $H_\pi$ . Then  $\pi$  has almost invariant vectors, if the spectrum of the operator  $\pi(\mu) = \int \pi(g)d\mu(g)$  contains 1.*

**Proof.** By replacing  $\mu$  by  $\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \check{\mu})$ , we can assume that  $\mu$  is symmetric. Then  $\pi(\mu)$  is selfadjoint and there exist unit vectors  $\xi_n$  such that  $\|\pi(\mu)\xi_n - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

So we have :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

As  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle \geq 0$ , there exist a subsequence of  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  (that we will also denote by  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ), such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle \geq 0$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in G$ .

On an other hand, by compactness of the unit ball of  $L^\infty$  gifted with the weak\* topology, there exists a subsequence of  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  (still denoted by  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ) and a positive type function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) - \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle \geq 0$$

almost everywhere with respect to the Haar measure (this is true first for the weak\* topology  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$  and the claim follows by the same arguments as before).

As  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous, we have  $\varphi = 1$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. As  $\varphi$  is a measurable, positive definite function,  $\varphi$  is continuous. Hence  $\varphi = 1$  on the support of  $\mu$ .

Therefore,  $\varphi = 1$  on the closed subgroup generated by  $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$  which is  $G$ , by assumption.

So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle = 1$  almost everywhere on  $G$  and by Lebesgue's dominated convergence, this is also true in the  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$  topology. By [2], Theorem 13.5.2, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle \pi(x)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle = 1$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $G$  and, hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(x)\xi_n - \xi_n\| = 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$ . This shows that  $\pi$  almost has invariant vectors. ■

Now we are able to finish the proof of Theorem 3.1.

If  $\pi$  almost has invariant vectors, by lemma 3.4, the spectrum of  $\pi(p_t)$  contains 1, for every  $t > 0$ .

Now  $-\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  is the infinitesimal generator of the semi-group  $(\pi(p_t))_{t \geq 0}$  so that 0 is in the spectrum of  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  by functional calculus and lemma 3.3. ■

One may wonder whether it is necessary to use, as we did, arguments involving the heat kernel to prove 1)  $\Rightarrow$  3). For instance, one might think that if, for a compact neighbourhood  $V$  of  $e$  in  $G$ ,  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a family of  $(1/n, V)$ -invariant  $C^\infty$  vectors, then  $\|d\pi(\Delta)\xi_n\|$  should tend to 0.

The following example shows that this is not always true.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $G = \mathbb{R}$  be the real line. We define first, the following family of unitary representations of degree 2 :

$$s \mapsto \pi_n(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(is/n) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(isn) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the unitary representation  $\pi$  defined by  $s \mapsto \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_n(s)$ .

We also define  $\xi_n = (0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, 0, \dots)$  where the nonzero components of  $\xi_n$  are in  $2n^{\text{th}}$  and  $(2n+1)^{\text{th}}$  places.

By construction the  $\xi_n$  have norm 1. Let  $I$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, for every  $s$  in  $I$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(s)\xi_n - \xi_n\|^2 &= |(\exp(is/n) - 1)\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}|^2 + |(\exp(isn) - 1)\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}|^2 \\ &= |(\exp(is/n) - 1)|^2 \frac{n-1}{n} + |\exp(isn) - 1|^2 \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\exp(is/n) - 1|^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$  uniformly for  $s$  in  $I$  and as

$|\exp(isn) - 1|^2 \leq 4$ , the  $\xi_n$  are  $(\epsilon_n, I)$ -invariant vectors for a sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\epsilon_n > 0$  and  $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$ .

However this family  $\xi_n$  does not satisfy  $\|d\pi(\Delta)\xi_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ .

In fact,

$$d\pi(\Delta)\xi_n = (0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{n^2}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}, n^2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, 0, \dots).$$

Thus,  $\|d\pi(\Delta)\xi_n\| \approx n^{3/2}$ ; so it does not tend to 0.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $h$  be a selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , with domain  $D(h)$ , and such that its spectrum is bounded from below. Then*

$$\min(\text{Sp } h) = \inf_{\xi \in D(h)^1} \langle h\xi | \xi \rangle, \text{ where } D(h)^1 = \{\xi \in D(h) \mid \|\xi\| = 1\}.$$

**Proof.** As  $h$  is selfadjoint, its residual spectrum is empty. So every spectral value is an approximate eigenvalue. For every spectral value  $\lambda$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $D(h)^1$  such that  $\langle h\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$ .

Hence,

$$\inf_{\xi \in D(h)^1} \langle h\xi | \xi \rangle \leq \lambda.$$

Let  $\lambda_0 = \min(\text{Sp}(h))$  (the minimum exists since the spectrum of  $h$  is real, closed and bounded below). If we apply the last inequality to  $\lambda_0$ , we get :

$$\inf_{\xi \in D(h)^1} \langle h\xi | \xi \rangle \leq \lambda_0.$$

As for the other inequality, let  $h = \int \lambda dE(\lambda)$  be the spectral decomposition of  $h$ ; we have  $\langle h\xi | \xi \rangle = \int \lambda \langle dE(\lambda)\xi | \xi \rangle$  and  $\langle \xi | \xi \rangle = \int \langle dE(\lambda)\xi | \xi \rangle$  for every fixed  $\xi$  in  $D(h)^1$ ,

$$\langle h\xi | \xi \rangle = \int_{\text{spec}(h)} \lambda \langle dE(\lambda)\xi | \xi \rangle \geq \lambda_0 \int_{\text{spec}(h)} \langle dE(\lambda)\xi | \xi \rangle = \lambda_0.$$

This finishes the proof. ■

**Definition 3.8.** For a unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , we define the constant  $k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)$  by

$$k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) = \inf_{\xi \in D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})^1} \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \xi \rangle.$$

**Corollary 3.9.** *The following holds :*

$$k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) = \min \operatorname{Sp}(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}) = \inf_{\xi \in [\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi)]^1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\|^2$$

**Proof.** The first equality comes from the preceding lemma. On the other hand, it is clear that

$$k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) \leq \inf_{\xi \in [\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi)]^1} \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \xi \rangle = \inf_{\xi \in [\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi)]^1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\|^2.$$

To obtain the reverse inequality, it suffices to show that, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  vector  $\eta$  of norm 1 such that

$$|k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) - \langle d\pi(\Delta)\eta | \eta \rangle| < \epsilon.$$

By definition, there exists  $\xi$  in  $D(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)})$  of norm 1 such that

$$0 \leq \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \xi \rangle - k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) < \epsilon/3.$$

As  $\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}$  is the closure of  $d\pi(\Delta)$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  vector  $\eta$  of norm 1 which is arbitrarily close to  $\xi$  with respect to the graph norm. As,

$$\begin{aligned} |k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) - \langle d\pi(\Delta)\eta | \eta \rangle| &\leq |k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) - \langle d\pi(\Delta)\xi | \xi \rangle| + \\ &|\langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \xi \rangle - \langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \eta \rangle| + \\ &|\langle \overline{d\pi(\Delta)}\xi | \eta \rangle - \langle d\pi(\Delta)\eta | \eta \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

this proves the claim.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.10.**  *$G$  has property (T) if and only if there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) \geq \epsilon$  for every unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  without non zero fixed vectors.*

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $\pi$  has almost invariant vectors, by thm 3.1,  $k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) = \min \operatorname{Sp}(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}) = 0$ . The assumption then implies that  $\pi$  has non zero fixed vectors, i.e.  $G$  has property (T).

$\Rightarrow$ ) Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence of unitary representations  $\{\pi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  without non zero fixed vector such that  $k(\overline{d\pi_n(\Delta)}, G) \rightarrow 0$ . We claim that the representation  $\sigma = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_n$  satisfies  $k(\overline{d\sigma(\Delta)}, G) = 0$ . By the assumption, there exists, for every  $n$ , a vector  $\xi_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(H_{\pi_n})^1$  such that  $\langle d\pi_n(h)\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle < 1/n + k(\overline{d\pi_n(\Delta)}, G)$ .

The vector  $\eta_n$  defined by  $\eta_n = (0, \dots, 0, \xi_n, 0 \dots)$ , with  $\xi_n$  at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  place, is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  vector in  $H_\sigma^1$  and

$$\langle \overline{d\sigma(\Delta)}\eta_n | \eta_n \rangle = \langle \overline{d\pi_n(\Delta)}\xi_n | \xi_n \rangle < 1/n + k(\overline{d\pi_n(\Delta)}, G).$$

Hence,  $k(\overline{d\sigma(\Delta)}, G) = 0$  and 0 is in the spectrum of  $\overline{d\sigma(\Delta)}$ . By Theorem 3.1, this implies that  $\sigma$  has almost invariant vectors. Since  $G$  has property T,  $\sigma$  has a nonzero fixed vector  $\{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Choose  $n$  so that  $\beta_n \neq 0$ . Then  $\beta_n$  is a non zero fixed vector for  $\pi_n$ , contradicting the assumption.  $\blacksquare$

We can define  $K(\Delta, G) = \inf_{\pi \in \tilde{G}^*} k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)$ , the Laplacian Kazhdan constant.

**Corollary 3.11.**  *$G$  has property (T) if and only if  $K(\Delta, G) > 0$ .*

This corollary is a direct consequence of the preceding proposition.

**Remark 3.12.** The above results (Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.11) remain true if the vectors  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are only assumed to generate  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a Lie algebra. The relevant facts about the heat kernel associated with the sub-Laplacian  $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  hold in this more general situation.

#### 4. Comparison with the classical constants

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group and let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  be a basis of its Lie algebra. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and set  $S = \{\exp(tX_i) \mid t \in [0, \epsilon], i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . Then, for any unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , one has :*

$$\kappa(G, S, \pi) \leq \epsilon \sqrt{k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)}.$$

In particular  $\kappa(G, S) \leq \epsilon \sqrt{K(\Delta, G)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $G$  and let  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sup_{s \in S} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|\pi(e^{tX_i})\xi - \xi\| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\| \int_0^t \pi(e^{sX_i}) d\pi(X_i)\xi ds \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \int_0^t \|\pi(e^{sX_i}) d\pi(X_i)\xi\| ds \\ &\leq \epsilon \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(G, S, \pi) &= \inf_{\xi \in H_\pi^1} \sup_{s \in S} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| \\ &\leq \inf_{\xi \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi))^1} \sup_{s \in S} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \inf_{\xi \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(H_\pi))^1} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|d\pi(X_i)\xi\|^2} \\ &= \epsilon \sqrt{k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)} \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. ■

**Remark 4.2.** (i) 4.1 gives an alternative proof of implication ( $\Rightarrow$ ) in 3.10.  
(ii) Proposition 4.1 states that :

$$\kappa(G, S, \pi) \leq \epsilon \sqrt{k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)},$$

for every unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . However the converse fails very strongly : there exists no continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) \leq f(\kappa(G, S, \pi)),$$

for every connected Lie group  $G$  and for every unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . Indeed,  $\kappa(G, S, \pi) \leq 2$  for any representation  $\pi$ , and we shall exhibit a sequence  $(\pi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  of unitary representations of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $k(\overline{d\pi_k(\Delta)}, G) = k^2$  for every  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $H_k = L^2([k, +\infty))$  et  $T_k$  be the selfadjoint operator on  $H_k$  defined by :

$$D(T_k) = \{\xi \in H_k \mid \int_k^\infty \lambda^2 |\xi(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty\} \text{ and } (T_k \xi)(\lambda) = \lambda \xi(\lambda).$$

If  $\pi_k(t) = \exp(itT_k)$ , we have :

$$k(\overline{d\pi_k(\Delta)}, \mathbb{R}) = \inf_{\xi \in D(T_k)^1} \langle T_k^2 \xi \mid \xi \rangle = k^2.$$

**Example 4.3.** 1. Let  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Take, as basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , the matrices

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, V = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Delta = -(H^2 + V^2 + W^2)$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{4}(\omega + 2W^2)$  with  $\omega$  the Casimir operator in  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Let us use the coordinates  $(x, y, \theta)$  on  $G$ , where a group element is expressed as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & x \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, (x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0).$$

Then, in these coordinates, we find, viewing  $H, V, W$  as left invariant vector fields on  $G$  :

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}$$

(see S. Lang, [9], Chap. X, §1 and §2).

Let  $\mathbb{H} \cong G/K$ ,  $K = SO(2)$ , be the Poincaré upper half space

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\},$$

with invariant measure  $y^{-2} dx dy$ .

Let  $\pi$  be the left regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{H})$ . As the functions on  $\mathbb{H}$  are independent of  $\theta$  on the right, we have

$$d\pi(\Delta) = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

which is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

It is well known that  $\text{Sp}(d\pi(\Delta)) \subseteq [1/4; +\infty[$ . Here is a very elementary argument for this due to Mac Kean [12]: Let  $f$  be a real smooth function on  $\mathbb{H}$  with compact support. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_0^\infty f(x, y)^2 y^{-2} dy \right)^2 &= \left( \int_0^\infty f(x, y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) y^{-1} dy \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty f(x, y)^2 y^{-2} dy \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dy \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty f(x, y)^2 y^{-2} dy \leq \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dy.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})}^2 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 dy \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_0^\infty \left[ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)^2 \right] dy \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_0^\infty f(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x, y) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) \right) dy \\ &= \langle d\pi(\Delta)f | f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\lambda \geq 1/4$  for any  $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\pi(\Delta))$ .

It is actually known that  $\inf \text{Sp}(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}) = 1/4$ , that is,  $k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G) = 1/4$  (see [9]).

2. Let  $G$  be the (three-dimensional) Heisenberg group. Thus  $G = \mathbb{R}^3$  with group law

$$(p, q, t)(p', q', t') = (p + p', q + q', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}(pq' - qp')).$$

The left invariant vectors fields on  $G$  corresponding to the coordinates  $(p, q, t)$  are :

$$P = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - \frac{1}{2}q \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \frac{1}{2}p \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

As is well known,  $G$  has for each  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \neq 0$ , an infinite dimensional unitary representation  $\rho_h$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  so that

$$d\rho_h(P) = hD, \quad d\rho_h(Q) = M, \quad d\rho_h(T) = \frac{h}{2\pi i}\mathbb{I},$$

where  $D = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $M$  is the multiplication operator by  $x$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

A version of the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle states that :

$$\|Mu\|_2^2 + \|Du\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|u\|_2^2, \forall u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

with equality if and only if  $u$  is a multiple of the Gaussian  $x \mapsto \exp(-\pi x^2)$  (see [3], Corollary (1.37)). Replacing in this inequality  $u$  by the function  $x \mapsto |h|^{1/4}u(h^{1/2}x)$  yields

$$\|Mu\|_2^2 + \|hDu\|_2^2 \geq \frac{|h|}{2\pi} \|u\|_2^2$$

with equality if  $u(x) = |h|^{1/4} \exp(-\pi|h|x^2)$ .

Thus, with  $\Delta = -(P^2 + Q^2 + T^2)$ , we see that

$$\langle d\rho_h(\Delta)u|u \rangle = \|Mu\|_2^2 + \|hDu\|_2^2 + \frac{|h|^2}{4\pi^2} \|u\|_2^2 \geq \left( \frac{|h|}{2\pi} + \frac{|h|^2}{4\pi^2} \right) \|u\|_2^2$$

with equality for the above Gaussian function.

Hence, we obtain the exact value for the Kazhdan constant

$$\begin{aligned} k(\overline{d\rho_h(\Delta)}, G) &= \inf\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{Sp}(d\rho_h(\Delta))\} \\ &= \left( \frac{|h|}{2\pi} + \frac{|h|^2}{4\pi^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

3. The following well-known example was pointed out to us by A. Valette. Let  $G$  be a connected compact semi-simple Lie group. Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  be a basis of its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is orthogonal relatively to the Killing form. Then  $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is the Casimir operator of  $G$ . As  $\Delta \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ , the Schur lemma insures that, for  $\pi \in \hat{G}$ ,  $d\pi(\Delta) = c\mathbb{I}$ . This constant  $c$  can be determined in the following way : if  $\lambda$  is the highest weight of  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  is the half sum of the positive roots, as we can see in [7], p. 247, we have

$$k(d\pi(\Delta), G) = \langle \lambda + 2\rho \mid \lambda \rangle = \|\lambda + \rho\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2.$$

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $G$  be a simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie group, and let  $\pi$  be a unitary irreducible representation of  $G$ . In [6], a bound is given for  $k(\overline{d\pi(\Delta)}, G)$  in terms of the distance from 0 of the Kirillov orbit associated to  $\pi$ .

## References

- [1] Colin de Verdière, Y., *Le trou spectral des graphes et leur propriété d'expansion*, Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie, Grenoble, (1993–1994), 51–63.
- [2] Dixmier, J., “Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations,” Cahiers Scientifiques **29**, Gauthier-Villars, 1964.
- [3] Folland, G., “Harmonic Analysis on Phase Space,” Princeton University Press, 1989.

- [4] de la Harpe, P., and A. Valette, “La propriété (T) pour les groupes localement compacts,” *Astérisque* **175**, Société Mathématique de France, 1989.
- [5] Hochschild G., “La Structure des Groupes de Lie,” *Monographies Universitaires de Mathématiques* **27**, Dunod, 1968.
- [6] Hulanicki, A., J. Jenkins, and J. Ludwig, *Minimum eigenvalues for positive Rockland operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 718–720.
- [7] Jacobson, N., “Lie Algebras,” Interscience, 1962.
- [8] Jorgensen, P., “Operators and Representation Theory,” *Mathematics Studies* **147**, North-Holland, 1988.
- [9] Lang, S., “ $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,” Addison Wesley, 1975.
- [10] Lubotzky, A., “Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs and Invariant Measures,” *Progress in Mathematics* **125**, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [11] Margulis, G., *Explicit constructions of concentrators*, Problems Inform. Transmission **9-4** (1973), 325–332.
- [12] Mc Kean, h., *Selberg trace formula as applied to a compact Riemann surface*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (1972), 225–246.
- [13] Nelson, E., *Analytic vectors*, Annals of Mathematics **70** (1959), 572–615.
- [14] Pazy, A., “Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations,” *Applied Mathematical Sciences* **44**, Springer, 1983.
- [15] Poulsen, N., *On  $C^\infty$ -vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups*, J. of Functional Analysis **9** (1972), 87–120.
- [16] Yosida K., “Functional Analysis,” *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaft* **123**, Springer, 1965.

URA CNRS 399  
 Département de  
 mathématiques  
 Université de Metz  
 Ile de Saulcy  
 F-57045 Metz, France  
 bekka@poncelet.univ-  
 metz.fr

School of mathematics,  
 University of New South  
 Wales  
 Sydney, 2052, Australia  
 pacherix@maths.unsw.edu.au

Institut de mathématiques,  
 Université de Neuchâtel  
 Rue Emile-Argand 11  
 CH-2007 Neuchâtel  
 Switzerland  
 Jolissaint@maths.unine.ch

Received April 16, 1997

and in final form June 11, 1997