

## Large automorphism groups of 16-dimensional planes are Lie groups

Barbara Priwitzer, Helmut Salzmann

Communicated by Karl H. Hofmann

**Abstract.** It is a major problem in topological geometry to describe all compact projective planes  $\mathcal{P}$  with an automorphism group  $\Sigma$  of sufficiently large topological dimension. This is greatly facilitated if the group is known to be a Lie group. Slightly improving a result from the first author's dissertation, we show for a 16-dimensional plane  $\mathcal{P}$  that the connected component of  $\Sigma$  is a Lie group if its dimension is at least 27.

Compact connected projective planes  $\mathcal{P}$  of finite topological dimension exist only in dimensions  $d = 2\ell|16$ , see [1], 54.11. In the compact-open topology, the automorphism group  $\Sigma$  of such a plane  $\mathcal{P}$  is locally compact and has a countable basis [1], 44.3, its topological dimension  $\dim \Sigma$  is a suitable measure for the homogeneity of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The so-called critical dimension  $c_\ell$  is defined as the largest number  $k$  such that there exist  $2\ell$ -dimensional planes with  $\dim \Sigma = k$  other than the classical Moufang plane over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$ , or  $\mathbb{O}$  respectively, compare [1], § 65. Analogously, there is a critical dimension  $\tilde{c}_\ell$  for smooth planes, and  $\tilde{c}_\ell \leq c_\ell - 2$  by recent work of Bödi [3].

The classification program requires to determine all planes  $\mathcal{P}$  admitting a connected subgroup  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$  with  $\dim \Delta$  sufficiently close to  $c_\ell$ ; most results that have been obtained so far fall into the range  $5\ell - 3 \leq \dim \Delta \leq c_\ell$ . Additional assumptions on the structure of  $\Delta$  or on its geometric action must be made for smaller values of  $\dim \Delta$ . The cases  $\ell \leq 4$  are understood fairly well. For  $\ell = 8$ , however, results are still less complete, and we shall concentrate on 16-dimensional planes from now on. It is known that  $c_8 = 40$ , and all planes with  $\dim \Sigma = 40$  can be coordinatized by a so-called mutation of the octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$ , see [1], 87.7. All *translation planes* with  $\dim \Sigma \geq 38$  have been described explicitly by their quasi-fields [1], 82.28. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a proper translation plane, then  $\Sigma$  is an extension of the translation group  $T \cong \mathbb{R}^{16}$  by a linear group, in particular,  $\Sigma$  is then a Lie group.

In her dissertation, the first author proved the following result under the hypothesis  $\dim \Sigma \geq 28$ . With only minor modifications, her proof yields

**Theorem L.** *If  $\dim \Sigma \geq 27$ , then the connected component  $\Sigma^1$  of  $\Sigma$  is a Lie group.*

This covers all known examples and all cases in which a classification might be hoped for. A weaker version of Theorem L is given in [1], 87.1, for 8-dimensional planes see also Priwitzer [5]. Here we shall present a proof of Theorem L. The whole structure theory of real Lie groups then becomes available for the classification of sufficiently homogeneous 16-dimensional planes. How such a classification can be achieved has been explained in [1], § 87, second part. Two of the results mentioned there have been improved considerably in the meantime:

**Theorem S.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a semi-simple group of automorphisms of the 16-dimensional plane  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $\dim \Delta > 28$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is the classical Moufang plane, or  $\Delta \cong \text{Spin}_9(\mathbb{R}, r)$  and  $r \leq 1$ , or  $\Delta \cong \text{SL}_3\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Hughes plane as described in [1], § 86.*

The proof can be found in Priwitzer [6, 7].

**Theorem T.** *Assume that  $\Delta$  has a normal torus subgroup  $\Theta \cong \mathbb{T}$ . If  $\dim \Delta > 30$ , then  $\Theta$  fixes a Baer subplane,  $\Delta' \cong \text{SL}_3\mathbb{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Hughes plane.*

To prove Theorem L, we will use the approximation theorem as stated in [1], 93.8. The proof distinguishes between semi-simple groups and groups having a minimal connected, commutative normal subgroup  $\Xi$ , compare [1], 94.26. A result of Bödi [2] plays an essential role:

**Theorem Q.** *If the connected group  $\Lambda$  fixes a quadrangle, then  $\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the compact Lie group  $G_2$ , or  $\dim \Lambda \leq 11$ . Moreover,  $\dim \Lambda \leq 8$  if the fixed points of  $\Lambda$  form a 4-dimensional subplane.*

The last assertion follows from Salzmann [10], § 1, Corollary.

In translation planes, the stabilizer  $\Lambda$  of a quadrangle is compact. Presumably, the same is true for compact, connected planes in general, but for 25 years all efforts have failed to prove compactness of  $\Lambda$  without additional assumptions. This causes some of the difficulties in the following proofs.

Consider any connected subgroup  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ . If the center  $Z$  of  $\Delta$  is contained in a group of translations with common axis (or with common center), then  $\Delta$  is a Lie group by Löwen – Salzmann [4] without any further assumption. Assume now that  $\Delta$  is not a Lie group. By the approximation theorem, there is a compact, 0-dimensional central subgroup  $\Theta$  such that  $\Delta/\Theta$  is a Lie group. In particular,  $\Theta \leq Z$  is infinite. The elements of  $Z$  can act on the plane in different ways. This leads to several distinct cases. We say that the collineation  $\eta$  is *straight* if each orbit  $x^{(\eta)}$  is contained in a line, and  $\eta$  is called *planar* if the fixed elements of  $\eta$  form a proper subplane. By a theorem of Baer [1], 23.15 and 16, a straight collineation is either planar or axial. Hence Theorem L is an immediate consequence of propositions (a–d) which will be proved in this paper.

(a) If  $\Delta$  leaves some proper closed subplane  $\mathcal{F}$  invariant (in particular, if  $Z$  contains a planar element), or if  $\Delta$  is semi-simple, then  $\dim \Delta < 26$ .

(b) If  $\zeta \in Z$  is not straight, or if  $Z$  contains axial collineations with different centers, then  $\dim \Delta \leq 26$ .

(c) If  $\dim \Delta > 26$ , then  $Z$  is contained in a group  $\Delta_{[a,W]}$  of homologies. Moreover, a minimal connected, commutative normal subgroup  $\Xi$  of  $\Delta$  is also contained in  $\Delta_{[a,W]}$ .

(d) If  $\Xi Z \leq \Delta_{[a,W]}$  as in (c), then  $\dim \Delta \leq 26$ , i.e. case (c) does not occur.

The following criteria will be used repeatedly:

**Theorem O.** *If  $\Sigma$  has an open orbit in the point space, or if the stabilizer  $\Sigma_L$  of some line  $L$  acts transitively on  $L$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a Lie group. (An orbit having the same dimension as the point space  $P$  is open in  $P$ .)*

For proofs see [1], 53.2 and 62.11. The addendum is a consequence of [1], 51.12 and 96.11(a).

From Szenthe's Theorem [1], 96.14 and again [1], 51.12 and 96.11(a) we infer

**Lemma O.** *If the stabilizer  $\Delta_L$  of a line  $L$  has an orbit  $X \subseteq L$  with  $\dim X = \dim L$ , then  $X$  is open in  $L$ , and the induced group  $\Delta_L|_X \cong \Delta_L/\Delta_{[X]}$  is a Lie group.*

The next result holds without restriction on the dimension of the group:

**Theorem P.** *The full automorphism group of any 2- or 4-dimensional compact plane is a Lie group of dimension at most 8 or 16 respectively.*

Proofs are given in [1], 32.21 and 71.2.

In conjunction with Theorem Q we need

**Proposition G.** *If  $\Sigma$  contains a subgroup  $\Gamma \cong G_2$ , and if  $\Gamma$  fixes some element of the plane, then  $\Sigma$  is a Lie group.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\Sigma$  is not a Lie group and that  $\Gamma$  fixes the line  $W$ . Being simple,  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully on  $W$  by [1], 61.26. There are commuting involutions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma$ , and all involutions in  $\Gamma$  are conjugate, see [1], 11.31. Each involution is either a reflection or a Baer involution [1], 55.29, and conjugate involutions are of the same kind. In the case of reflections, one of the involutions  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\alpha\beta$  would have axis  $W$  by [1], 55.35, and  $\Gamma$  would not be effective on  $W$ . Hence all involutions are planar [1], 55.29. Because of [1], 55.39, the fixed subplanes  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  intersect in a 4-dimensional plane  $\mathcal{F}$ . By [1], 55.6, Note, the lines are 8-spheres, and repeated application of [1], 96.35 shows that the fixed elements of  $\Gamma$  form a 2-dimensional subplane  $\mathcal{E} < \mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, each point  $z \in W \setminus \mathcal{E}$  has an orbit  $z^\Gamma \approx \mathbb{S}_6$ . By the approximation theorem [1], 93.8, some open subgroup of  $\Sigma$  contains a compact central subgroup  $\Theta$  which is not a Lie group. According

to Theorem P, the group  $\Theta$  induces a Lie group on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and the kernel  $K = \Theta_{[\mathcal{F}]}$  is infinite. Now choose  $z \in W$  such that  $z$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  but not to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $z^K = z$ , and  $K$  fixes each point of  $z^\Gamma \approx \mathbb{S}_6$  (note that  $\Gamma \circ \Theta = \mathbb{1}$ ). Since  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $z^\Gamma$  together generate the whole plane, we get  $K = \mathbb{1}$ . This contradiction proves the proposition.  $\blacksquare$

Finally, we mention a result of M. Lüneburg [1], 55.40 which excludes many semi-simple groups as possible subgroups of  $\Delta$ :

**Lemma R.** *The group  $SO_5\mathbb{R}$  is never contained in  $\Sigma$ .*

A group  $\Lambda$  of automorphisms is called *straight* if each point orbit  $x^\Lambda$  is contained in some line. Baer's theorem mentioned above is true in general for groups which are straight and dually straight. In compact planes of finite positive dimension  $2\ell$  it holds in the following form:

**Theorem B.** *If  $\Lambda$  is straight, then  $\Lambda$  is contained in a group  $\Sigma_{[z]}$  of central collineations with common center  $z$ , or the fixed elements of  $\Lambda$  form a Baer subplane  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ .*

**Proof.** If all fixed points of  $\Lambda$  with at most one exception lie on one line, then the unique fixed line through any other point must pass through the same point  $z$ . If, on the other hand, there is a quadrangle of fixed points and  $\Lambda \neq \mathbb{1}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = (F, \mathfrak{F})$  is a closed proper subplane. Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is not a Baer subplane. By definition, this means that some line  $H$  does not meet the ( $\Lambda$ -invariant) fixed point set  $F$ . For each  $x \in H$  the line  $L_x$  containing  $x^\Lambda$  is the unique fixed line through  $x$ . Choose  $p \in H$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $p^\lambda \neq p$ . Then  $pp^\lambda = L_p \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $L_p \neq H \neq H^\lambda$  (since  $H \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $H \notin \mathfrak{F}$ ). There is a compact neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $H$  such that  $V \cap V^\lambda = \emptyset$ . The map  $(x \mapsto xx^\lambda) : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  is continuous and injective. Hence  $\dim \mathfrak{F} = \ell$ . This condition, however, characterizes Baer subplanes, see [1], 55.5.  $\blacksquare$

In the following,  $\Delta$  will always denote a connected locally compact group of automorphisms of a 16-dimensional compact projective plane  $\mathcal{P} = (P, \mathfrak{L})$ . We assume again that  $\Theta$  is a compact, 0-dimensional subgroup in the center  $Z$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta/\Theta$  is a Lie group but  $\Theta$  is not. Groups of dimension  $\geq 35$  are known to be Lie groups [1], 87.1. Hence only the cases  $25 < h = \dim \Delta < 35$  have to be considered.

**Proof of (a)** (1) Assume that  $\dim \Delta \geq 26$  and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is any  $\Delta$ -invariant closed proper subplane.  $\Delta$  induces on  $\mathcal{F}$  a group  $\Delta^* = \Delta/\Phi$  with kernel  $\Phi$ . If  $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 4$ , then Theorems P and Q imply  $\dim \Delta \leq 24$ . Hence  $\dim \mathcal{F} = 8$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Baer subplane. Moreover, the kernel  $\Phi$  is compact and satisfies  $\dim \Phi < 8$ , see [1], 83.6. Consequently,  $\dim \Delta^* \geq 19$ , and then  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to the classical quaternion plane  $\mathcal{P}_2\mathbb{H}$ , cf. Salzmann [11] or [1], 84.28. In particular,  $\Delta^*$  is a Lie group, and we may assume  $\Theta \leq \Phi$ . A semi-simple group  $\Delta^*$  in the given dimension range is, in fact, one of the simple motion groups  $PU_3(\mathbb{H}, r)$ . This is proved in Salzmann [9], for almost simple groups cp. also [1], 84.19.

In all other cases, it has been shown in Salzmann [8] (4.8) that  $\Delta$  fixes some element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , say a line  $W$ . The lines of  $\mathcal{P}$  are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}_8$  because the point set of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a manifold [1], 41.11(b) and 52.3. Any  $k$ -dimensional orbit in a  $k$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is open in  $M$ , see [1], 92.14 or 96.11. Since  $\Delta$  is not a Lie group, Theorem O implies  $\dim p^\Delta < 16$  for each point  $p$ . Moreover, we conclude from Lemma O that the stabilizer of a line of  $\mathcal{F}$  has only orbits of dimension at most 7 on this line. The points and lines of  $\mathcal{F}$  will be called “inner” elements, the others “outer” ones. There are outer points  $p$  and  $q$  not on the same inner line such that  $\dim \Delta/\Delta_{p,q} \leq \dim p^\Delta + \dim q^\Delta \leq 15 + 7$ . (If  $\Delta$  fixes the inner line  $W$ , choose  $q \in W$ ; if  $\Delta^*$  is a motion group corresponding to the polarity  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{P}_2\mathbb{H}$ , and if  $p$  is on the inner line  $L = a^\pi$ , choose  $q$  on the line  $ap$ .) Hence the connected component  $\Lambda$  of  $\Delta_{p,q}$  satisfies  $\dim \Lambda > 3$ . Because the infinite group  $\Theta$  acts freely on the set of outer points,  $\Lambda \cap \Theta = \Theta_p = \mathbb{1}$ , and  $\Lambda$  is a Lie group. The orbits  $p^\Theta$  and  $q^\Theta$  consist of fixed points of  $\Lambda$ , and all fixed elements of  $\Lambda$  form a proper subplane  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since each outer line meets  $\mathcal{F}$  in a unique inner point,  $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}$  is infinite. Any collineation group of  $\mathcal{P}_2\mathbb{H}$  with 3 distinct fixed points on a line fixes even a point set homeomorphic to a circle on that line [1], 13.6 and 11.29. Therefore,  $\dim \mathcal{E} \in \{2, 4, 8\}$ . In the first two cases,  $\Theta$  would be a Lie group by Theorem P. In the last case it follows from [1], 83.6 and 55.32(ii) that  $\Lambda$  is a compact Lie group of torus rank 1, and  $\dim \Lambda \leq 3$ . Thus,  $\dim \Delta > 25$  has led to a contradiction.

(2) If  $\Delta$  is even almost simple, i.e. if  $\Delta^* = \Delta/Z$  is simple, then  $\Delta$  is a projective limit of covering groups of  $\Delta^*$ , see Stroppel [12] Th. 8.3. In particular, the fundamental group  $\pi_1 \Delta^*$  must be infinite. In the range  $25 < h < 35$  the last condition is satisfied only by  $\Delta^* \cong \text{PSO}_8(\mathbb{R}, 2)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $\Delta$ . The commutator subgroup  $\Phi'$  covers  $\text{PSO}_6\mathbb{R}$ . Lemma R implies  $\Phi' \cong \text{Spin}_6\mathbb{R} \cong \text{SU}_4\mathbb{C}$ . In  $\text{SU}_4\mathbb{C}$  there are 6 pairwise commuting diagonal involutions conjugate to  $\alpha = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$ . Let  $\beta$  be one of these conjugates. From [1], 55. 34b and 39 together with [1], 55.29 it follows that the common fixed elements of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  form a 4-dimensional subplane  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Theorem P, the kernel  $K$  of the action of  $\Theta$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is infinite. The subplane  $\mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P}$  consisting of all fixed elements of  $K$  is  $\Delta$ -invariant (because  $\Theta \leq Z$ ). On the other hand, it has been proved in [1], 84.9 that  $\Phi'$  cannot act on any proper subplane of  $\mathcal{P}$ . This contradiction shows that a semi-simple group  $\Delta$  has at least two almost simple factors, cp. [1], 94.25.

(3) Consider an almost simple factor  $A$  of  $\Delta$  of minimal dimension such that  $A$  is not a Lie group, and denote the product of all other factors by  $B$ . We will find successively smaller bounds for  $\dim B$ . Write  $A^*$  for the simple image of  $A$  in  $\Delta^* = \Delta/Z$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $A$ . The Mal'cev-Iwasawa theorem [1], 93.10 shows that  $A$  is homeomorphic to  $\Phi \times \mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $\Phi$  is not a Lie group. By Weyl's theorem [1], 94.29, a compact semi-simple Lie group has only finitely many coverings. Hence  $\Phi^*$  cannot be semi-simple and has a central torus [1], 94.31(c). In fact, this central torus is one-dimensional as can be seen by inspection of the list of simple Lie groups [1], 94.33. Consequently, the connected component  $\Upsilon$  of  $Z(\Phi)$  is a 1-dimensional solenoid. In particular,  $A \neq \Phi$  and  $A$  is not compact. In the next steps we will apply Theorem B to  $\Upsilon$  and to  $Z$ .

(4) Assume first that  $\Upsilon$  is straight, and let  $\mathbb{1} \neq \zeta \in \Upsilon \cap Z$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_\Upsilon$  is a Baer subplane, then  $\mathcal{F}_\zeta = \mathcal{F}_\Upsilon$  would be a  $\Delta$ -invariant proper subplane in contradiction to (1). If  $\Upsilon \leq \Delta_{[z]}$ , then the center  $z$  of  $\zeta$  is  $\Delta$ -invariant. In particular,  $z^A = z$ . Because  $A$  is almost simple and  $\Upsilon$  is contained in the normal subgroup  $A_{[z]}$ , we get  $A \leq \Delta_{[z]}$ . Homologies and elations with center  $z$  or homologies with different axes and the same center do not commute. Hence  $\Upsilon$  consists of elations only or of homologies with the same axis. If  $\Upsilon$  is an elation group, so is  $A$ , and all elements in  $A$  have the same axis, because  $A$  is not commutative, cp. [1], 23.13. If  $\Upsilon \leq \Delta_{[z,L]}$ , then  $L$  is the axis of  $\zeta$ , and  $L^A = L$ . Consequently,  $A_{[z,L]}$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$ , and  $A \leq \Delta_{[z,L]}$ . For  $z \in L$  the connected group  $A$  would be a Lie group [1], 61.5, and in the case  $z \notin L$  it would follow from [1], 61.2 that  $A$  is compact. This contradicts the last statement in (3).

(5) Therefore,  $\Upsilon$  is not straight, and there is some point  $c$  such that  $c^\Upsilon$  generates a connected subplane. We shall write  $\langle c^\Upsilon \rangle = \mathcal{F}$  for the smallest closed subplane containing  $c^\Upsilon$ . If  $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq 4$ , then  $\Upsilon$  induces a Lie group on  $\mathcal{F}$  by Theorem P, and there is an element  $\zeta \in Z$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{F}_\zeta < \mathcal{P}$ , but this contradicts (1). Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Baer subplane or  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $B\Phi$  and  $\Upsilon$  commute elementwise,  $(B\Phi)_c$  induces the identity on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\dim(B\Phi)_c \leq 7$  by [1], 83.6. From Theorem O it follows that  $\dim c^\Delta \leq 15$ . If  $\dim c^\Delta > 8$ , then  $\langle c^\Delta \rangle = \mathcal{P}$  and  $Z_c = \mathbb{1}$ . Hence,  $(B\Phi)_c$  is a Lie group and we have even  $\dim(B\Phi)_c \leq 3$  as at the end of (1). In any case, the dimension formula [1], 96.10 gives  $\dim B + \dim \Phi \leq 18$  and  $\dim A \geq 8$ . Now the classification of simple Lie groups [1], 94.33 shows that  $\dim \Phi \geq 4$ , and  $\dim B \leq 14$ . Consequently,  $\dim A \geq 12$ . The remarks in (3) and again the classification [1], 94.33 imply  $\dim A \in \{15, 21, 24\}$ , and then  $\dim \Phi \geq 7$ . We conclude that  $\dim B \leq 11$ , and  $B$  is a Lie group by the minimality assumption on  $\dim A$ .

(6) Suppose that  $Z$  is straight.  $\mathcal{F}_Z$  cannot be a Baer subplane by (1). Hence  $Z \leq \Delta_{[a]}$  for some center  $a$ . If each element of  $Z$  is an elation,  $\Delta$  would be a Lie group by the dual of (2.7) in Löwen – Salzmann [4]. Therefore, the center  $Z$  is contained in a group  $\Delta_{[a,W]}$  of homologies (note that homologies in  $\Delta_{[a]}$  with different axes do not commute). We can now show that  $\Delta$  has torus rank  $\text{rk } \Delta < 4$ . Else, it would follow from [1], 55.35 and 39 (a) that there are Baer involutions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a 4-dimensional subplane. As a group of homologies,  $Z$  would act faithfully on  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ , but this contradicts Theorem P. At the end of step (5) we have seen that  $B$  is a Lie group of dimension at most 11. This implies  $\dim A \geq 15$  and then  $\text{rk } A \geq 2$ , see [1], 94. 32(e) or 33. If  $\dim B = 11$ , then  $B$  is a product  $\Psi\Omega$  of two almost simple Lie groups such that  $\dim \Psi = 8$  and  $\dim \Omega = 3$ . It follows that  $\text{rk } \Psi = 1$  and  $\text{rk } \Omega = 0$ . Hence  $\Omega$  is the universal covering group of  $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Omega$  is not compact [1], 94.37. Since any almost simple subgroup of  $\Delta_{[a,W]}$  is compact by [1], 61.2, the group  $\Omega$  acts non-trivially on  $W$ , and there is a point  $x$  such that  $\langle x^{\Omega Z} \rangle = \mathcal{B}$  is a connected subplane of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Because  $Z$  consists of homologies,  $Z$  acts faithfully on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and Theorem P shows that  $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 8$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Baer subplane, or  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}$ . The stabilizer  $\Lambda = (A\Psi)_x$  fixes  $\mathcal{B}$  pointwise, moreover,  $\Lambda \cap Z = \mathbb{1}$ , and  $\Lambda$  is a Lie group. From [1], 83.6 and 55.32(ii) we conclude again that  $\Lambda$  is compact,

that  $\text{rk } \Lambda \leq 1$ , and hence  $\dim \Lambda \leq 3$ . With  $\dim \Psi = 8$  we get  $\dim \mathbf{A} < 11$ , a contradiction. The only remaining possibility  $\dim \mathbf{B} < 11$  and  $\dim \mathbf{A} \geq 21$  can be excluded by similar arguments: If  $\mathbf{B}$  acts non-trivially on  $W$ , then  $\mathcal{B} = \langle x^{\mathbf{B}Z} \rangle$  is a subplane of dimension at least 8, and  $\dim \mathbf{A}_x \leq 3$ ,  $\dim \mathbf{A} < 20$ . If  $\mathbf{B} \leq \Delta_{[a,W]}$ , however, then  $\mathbf{B}$  is compact by [1], 61.2. At the end of (5) it has been stated that  $\mathbf{B}$  is a Lie group, and we know also that  $\text{rk } \mathbf{B} \leq 1$ . Consequently,  $\dim \mathbf{B} = 3$ ,  $\dim \mathbf{A} = 24$ , and  $\text{rk } \mathbf{A} = 3$ , but we have proved above that  $\text{rk } \Delta < 4$ .

(7) Finally, we consider the case that  $Z$  is not straight. There is a point  $c$  such that the orbit  $c^Z$  is not contained in a line. In particular,  $\langle c^\Delta \rangle$  is a  $\Delta$ -invariant subplane, and  $\langle c^\Delta \rangle = \mathcal{P}$  by step (1). Hence  $Z_c = \mathbb{1}$ , and  $\langle c^Z \rangle$  is a non-degenerate subplane. By Theorems Q and G, we have  $\dim \Delta_c \leq 11$ , and we conclude from Theorem O that  $\dim c^\Delta < 16$ . The dimension formula gives  $\dim \Delta = 26$ . If  $\dim \mathbf{A} > 15$ , then  $\dim \mathbf{A} \in \{21, 24\}$  and  $\dim \mathbf{B} \in \{5, 2\}$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$  would not be semi-simple. Consequently,  $\dim \mathbf{B} = 11$ , and we have again that  $\mathbf{B}$  is a product of two almost simple factors  $\Psi$  and  $\Omega$  with  $\dim \Omega = 3$ . Let  $C$  be the set of all points  $x$  such that  $x^Z$  is not contained in any line. Then  $C$  is an open neighborhood of  $c$ , and  $\Omega|_C \neq \mathbb{1}$ . We may assume that  $c^\Omega \neq c$ . Consider the subplane  $\mathcal{B} = \langle c^{\Omega Z} \rangle$ . Because  $Z_c = \mathbb{1}$ , it follows as in step (6) that  $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 8$ , and then  $\dim(\mathbf{A}\Psi) < 20$ . This contradiction completes the proof of (a). ■

**Proof of (b)** By Theorem B and (a), each assumption implies that  $Z$  is not straight. As in step (7) above, some orbit  $c^Z$  contains a quadrangle, and from Theorems Q and G we get  $\dim \Delta_c \leq 11$ . Theorem O shows that  $\dim c^\Delta < 16$ , and the dimension formula gives  $\dim \Delta \leq 26$ . ■

**Proof of (c)** (1) Let  $\dim \Delta \geq 27$ . Then  $\Delta$  cannot be semi-simple by (a). This means that  $\Delta$  has a minimal commutative connected normal subgroup  $\Xi$ , and  $\Xi$  is either compact, (and then  $\Xi$  is contained in the center  $Z$ , see [1], 93.19), or  $\Xi$  is a vector group  $\mathbb{R}^t$ , (and  $\Delta$  induces an irreducible representation on  $\Xi$ ). The proof of (b) shows that  $Z$  is straight. The dual statement is also true.  $Z$  is not planar by (a), and Theorem B implies that  $Z$  is contained in a group  $\Delta_{[a,W]}$ . As mentioned in the introduction,  $Z$  does not consist of elations, and  $a \notin W$ . This proves the first assertion of (c). In particular,  $\Xi \leq \Delta_{[a,W]}$  if  $\Xi$  is compact.

(2) Assume now that  $\Xi$  is a vector group and that  $\Xi|_W \neq \mathbb{1}$ . Choose  $z \in W$  such that  $z^\Xi \neq z$ , and let  $c \in az \setminus \{a, z\}$ . The group  $\Xi$  induces on the orbit  $z^\Xi$  a sharply transitive Lie group  $\Omega \cong \Xi/\Xi_z$  of dimension at most 8. Consider an element  $\omega \in \Omega$  which belongs to a unique one-parameter subgroup  $\Pi$  of  $\Omega$ . Denote the connected component of  $\Delta_c \cap C_s \omega$  by  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda$  centralizes each element of  $\Pi$  and fixes  $z^\Pi$  pointwise. Hence the fixed elements of  $\Lambda$  form a connected subplane  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . Moreover,  $\Lambda$  is a Lie group since  $\Lambda \cap Z \leq Z_c = \mathbb{1}$ , and  $\dim \Lambda \geq 27 - \dim c^\Delta - \dim \Omega > 3$  by Theorem O. The center  $Z$  acts effectively on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  because  $Z$  consists of homologies. If  $\dim \mathcal{F}_\Lambda \leq 4$ , then  $Z$  would be a Lie group by Theorem P. Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is a Baer subplane, and we conclude from [1], 83.6 and 55.32(ii) that  $\Lambda$  is a compact Lie group of torus rank at most 1. Hence  $\Lambda \leq \text{SU}_2$  and  $\dim \Lambda \leq 3$ . This contradiction proves that  $\Xi \leq \Delta_{[a,W]}$  as

asserted. If  $\Xi$  is not compact, then  $\Xi \cong \mathbb{R}$  by [1], 61.2. Together with the first part of (1) this implies that  $\dim \Delta / \text{Cs } \Xi \leq 1$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of (d)** (1) Whenever  $a \neq c \notin W$ , then  $\Delta_c$  is a Lie group because  $\Delta_c \cap Z = \mathbb{1}$ . If  $\Lambda$  denotes the stabilizer of a quadrangle and  $\Phi = \Lambda \cap \text{Cs } \Xi$ , then  $\dim \Lambda / \Phi \leq 1$  by the last remark in (c). Moreover,  $\Phi$  is a Lie group, and the fixed elements of  $\Phi$  form a  $\Xi Z$ -invariant connected subplane  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $Z$  acts effectively on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $Z$  is not a Lie group, it follows from Theorem P that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Baer subplane or  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}$ . Consequently,  $\Phi$  is a compact Lie group of torus rank at most 1, and  $\dim \Phi \leq 3$ . Thus, the existence of  $\Xi$  implies  $\dim \Lambda \leq 4$ . Letting  $ac \cap W = z$ , we conclude from Lemma O that  $\dim c^{\Delta z} < 8$ .

(2) Assuming again that  $\dim \Delta \geq 27$ , we now study the action of  $\Delta$  on  $W$ . For  $v^\Delta \subseteq W$  and  $\dim v^\Delta = k > 0$ , the dimension formula [1], 96.10 and the last remarks in (1) imply  $27 \leq \dim \Delta \leq 3k+7+4$  and  $k > 5$ . Similarly, if  $\Delta$  fixes a point  $z \in W$ , then  $\Delta$  has only 8-dimensional orbits on  $W \setminus z$ , and  $\Delta$  is even doubly transitive on  $W \setminus z$ . In this case, the action of  $\Delta_v$  on  $v^\Delta \approx \mathbb{R}^8$  is linear [1], 96.16(b), and the stabilizer  $\Lambda$  of a quadrangle has a connected subplane of fixed elements. With the arguments of (c) step (2), we would obtain  $\dim \Lambda \leq 3$ , but  $\dim \Lambda \geq 27 - 2 \cdot 8 - 7 = 4$ . If, on the other hand,  $\dim v^\Delta = 8$  for each  $v \in W$ , then  $\Delta$  would be transitive on  $W \approx \mathbb{S}_8$ . Consequently,  $\dim \Delta \geq 36$  by [1], 96.19 and 23, and  $\Delta$  would be a Lie group, either by [1], 87.1 or by the dual of [1], 62.11. Hence there is some  $v \in W$  with  $\dim v^\Delta = k \in \{6, 7\}$ .

Suppose that  $\Delta$  is doubly transitive on  $V = v^\Delta$ . By results of Tits [1], 96.16 and 17, either  $V$  is a sphere, or  $V$  is an affine or projective space and the stabilizer of two points fixes a real or complex line. In the latter case, the stabilizer  $\Omega$  of three ‘‘collinear’’ points of  $V$  would have dimension at least  $27 - 15$ , but the remarks at the end of (1) show that  $\dim \Omega \leq 11$ . If  $V \approx \mathbb{S}_6$ , then  $\Delta$  has a subgroup  $\Gamma \cong G_2$ , see [1], 96.19 and 23, and  $\Delta$  would be a Lie group by Theorem G. Therefore,  $V \approx \mathbb{S}_7$ , and the Tits list [1], 96.17(b) shows that  $\Delta$  induces on  $V$  a group  $\text{PSU}_5(\mathbb{C}, 1)$  or  $\text{PU}_3(\mathbb{H}, 1)$ . In the first case,  $\Delta$  contains a subgroup  $\text{SU}_4\mathbb{C}$ . As in the proof of (a) step (2), the element  $\text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$  and its conjugates are Baer involutions. Two of these involutions fix a 4-dimensional subplane  $\mathcal{F}$ . The center  $Z$  acts effectively on  $\mathcal{F}$  and hence would be a Lie group by Theorem P. In the only remaining case,  $\Delta$  has a subgroup  $\Psi$  which is locally isomorphic to  $\text{U}_3(\mathbb{H}, 1)$ , compare [1], 94.27. Consider a maximal compact subgroup  $\Phi$  of  $\Psi$  and its 10-dimensional factor  $\Upsilon$ . From Lemma R we conclude that  $\Upsilon \cong \text{U}_2\mathbb{H} \cong \text{Spin}_5\mathbb{R}$  and that the central involution  $\sigma$  of  $\Upsilon$  is not planar. Thus,  $\sigma$  is a reflection [1], 55.29, and  $\sigma$  fixes only the points on the axis and the center. Moreover, the map  $\Upsilon \rightarrow \text{PU}_3(\mathbb{H}, 1)$  is injective and  $\sigma$  acts freely on  $V$ . Therefore,  $W$  is not the axis of  $\sigma$ , and  $\sigma$  fixes exactly two points on  $W$ . Hence  $\text{Cs } \sigma = \nabla$  is the stabilizer of a triangle. Let  $K$  be the connected component of the kernel  $\Delta_{[V]}$ . Then  $\dim \Delta / K = \dim \text{U}_3(\mathbb{H}, 1) = 21$ , and  $\dim K \geq 6$ . On the other hand,  $K$  acts effectively on the line  $av$ , and  $\dim K \leq 7$  by Lemma O. Any representation of  $\Psi$  in dimension  $< 12$  is trivial, see [1], 95.10. Therefore,  $\Psi$  induces the identity on the Lie algebra of  $K$ , and  $\Psi \circ K = \mathbb{1}$ . Consequently,  $K\Phi \leq \nabla$ , and  $\dim \nabla \geq 6 + 13$ , but step (1) implies

$\dim \nabla \leq 2 \cdot 7 + 4 = 18$ . This contradiction shows that  $\Delta$  is not doubly transitive on  $V$ .

(3) Choose  $v \in W$  such that  $v^\Delta = V$  has dimension  $< 8$ , and let  $c \in av \setminus \{a, v\}$ . The connected component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Delta_c$  is not transitive on  $V \setminus \{v\}$  and hence has an orbit  $u^\Gamma = U \subset V$  of dimension  $\leq 6$ . By the last remarks in (1), we have  $\dim \Gamma \geq 13$  and  $\dim U \geq 5$ . Consequently,  $\Gamma$  acts effectively on  $U$ . Assume that  $\dim U = 6$  and that  $\Gamma$  is doubly transitive on  $U$ . Step (1) implies that  $\dim \Gamma \leq 2 \cdot 6 + 4 = 16$ , and  $\Gamma$  cannot be simple by [1], 96.17. From [1], 96.16 we conclude that  $U \approx \mathbb{R}^6$  and that  $\Gamma_u$  has a subgroup  $\Phi \cong \text{SU}_3\mathbb{C}$ . The representation of  $\Phi$  on  $U \approx \mathbb{C}^3$  shows that each involution in  $\Phi$  fixes a 2-dimensional subspace of  $U$  and so is planar. Two commuting involutions fix a 4-dimensional subplane, and  $Z$  would be a Lie group by Theorem P. Therefore, the connected component  $\Omega$  of  $\Gamma_u$  has an orbit in  $U$  of dimension  $< 6$ . By step (1), we obtain  $\dim \Omega \leq 9$  and  $\dim \Gamma \leq 15$ . If  $\zeta$  is in the center of  $\Gamma$ , and  $z^\zeta \neq z \in U$ , then  $\Gamma_z = \Gamma_{z^\zeta}$  fixes a quadrangle, and  $\dim \Gamma_z \leq 4$  by step (1), but  $\dim \Gamma_z \geq 13 - 6$ . Because  $\Gamma$  acts effectively on  $U$ , this shows that the center of  $\Gamma$  is trivial. Either  $\Gamma$  has a minimal normal subgroup  $X \cong \mathbb{R}^s$ , or  $\Gamma$  is a direct product of simple Lie groups, cp. [1], 94. 26 and 23. We will discuss the two possibilities separately in the next steps.

(4) Let  $\Gamma$  be semi-simple. Any reflection  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  has axis  $av$ , and  $\alpha^\Gamma \neq \alpha$  since  $\Gamma$  has trivial center. The set  $\alpha^\Gamma \alpha$  is contained in the connected component  $E$  of the elation group  $\Gamma_{[v, av]}$ , and  $E$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $E$  is itself a product of simple Lie groups, and  $E$  contains a non-trivial torus, but an involution is never an elation [1], 55.29. This contradiction shows that each involution in  $\Gamma$  is planar. Because  $\dim \Gamma > 8$ , there exists a pair of commuting involutions. Their common fixed elements form a 4-dimensional subplane [1], 55.39, and  $Z$  would be a Lie group by Theorem P. Therefore,  $\Gamma$  cannot be semi-simple.

(5) We use the notation of (3) and determine the action of  $\Omega$  on  $X$ . Note that  $u^X \neq u$  because  $\Gamma$  acts effectively on  $U$ . If  $u \neq z \in u^X$ , then  $z^\Omega \subset u^X$ . By step (1), we have  $\dim \Omega_z \leq 4$ . From  $\dim \Gamma \geq 13$  it follows that  $\dim \Omega \geq 7$  and hence  $3 \leq \dim z^\Omega \leq \dim u^X$ . The stabilizer  $X_u$  fixes each point of the connected subplane  $\langle a, c, u^X \rangle$ , and this subplane has dimension at least 8, since  $u^X$  is contained in a line and  $\dim u^X > 2$ . From [1], 83.6 we infer that  $X_u$  is compact, and then  $X_u = \mathbb{1}$  since  $X$  is a vector group. Because  $\Omega$  acts linearly on  $X$ , the fixed elements of the connected component  $\Lambda$  of  $\Omega_z$  form a connected subplane  $\mathcal{F}$ . As a group of homologies, the non-Lie group  $Z$  acts effectively on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and Theorem P implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Baer subplane. As at the end of (c) step (2) it follows that  $\Lambda$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{SU}_2$ . We know that  $\dim \Omega \geq 7$ , and we conclude from (3) that there is a point  $z$  with  $\dim z^\Omega < 6$ . This gives  $\dim \Lambda \geq 2$  and then  $\Lambda \cong \text{SU}_2 \cong \text{Spin}_3$ . In particular,  $\dim \Lambda = 3$  and  $\dim z^\Omega \geq 4$ . Therefore, any minimal  $\Omega$ -invariant subgroup of  $X$  has dimension at least 4. Calling such a subgroup  $X$  from now on, we may assume that  $\Omega$  acts irreducibly on  $X \cong \mathbb{R}^s$ , where  $4 \leq s \leq 6$ . These three possibilities will be discussed in the last steps. Each case will lead to a contradiction.

(6) The connected component  $\Lambda$  of  $\Omega_z$  acts reducibly on  $X$  by its very

definition. If  $s = 4$ , then  $\Lambda$  induces on  $X$  either the identity or a group  $SO_3$ . Each non-trivial orbit of  $\Omega$  on  $X$  is 4-dimensional, and  $\Omega$  is transitive on  $X \setminus \{1\}$ . In particular,  $\Omega$  is not compact, and a maximal compact (connected) subgroup  $\Phi$  of  $\Omega$  has dimension at most 6. A theorem of Montgomery [1], 96.19 shows that  $\Phi$  is transitive on the 3-sphere consisting of the rays in  $X \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ . Let  $r$  denote the ray determined by  $z$ . Then  $\Lambda \leq \Phi_r$  and  $\Phi/\Phi_r \approx \mathbb{S}_3$ . This implies  $\dim \Phi = 6$  and  $\dim \Phi_r = 3$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_r$  is connected by [1], 94.4(a), and hence  $\Phi_r = \Lambda$  is simply connected. The exact homotopy sequence [1], 96.12 shows that  $\Phi$  is also simply connected. Consequently,  $\Phi \cong Spin_4 \cong (Spin_3)^2$ , compare [1], 94.31(c), and  $\Phi$  contains exactly 3 involutions. If  $\dim w^\Phi = 6$  for some  $w \in U$ , then  $w^\Phi$  is open in  $U$  by [1], 96.11. Since  $w^\Phi$  is also compact and  $U$  is connected,  $\Phi$  would be transitive on  $U$ , but  $u^\Omega = u$ . Hence, each stabilizer  $\Phi_w$  has positive dimension and contains a (planar) involution  $\gamma$ . Let  $F_\gamma = \{x \in W \mid x^\gamma = x\}$ . Then  $U$  is covered by the 3 sets  $F_\gamma$ , and these are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}_4$ . The sum theorem [1], 92.9 implies  $\dim U \leq 4$ , but we have seen at the beginning of (3) that  $\dim U \geq 5$ . This contradiction excludes the case  $s = 4$ .

(7) If  $s = 5$ , then  $\Omega$  acts effectively on  $X$ , and  $\Omega'$  is irreducible and simple, see [1], 95. 5 and 6(b). A table of irreducible representations [1], 95.10 shows that  $\dim \Omega' \in \{3, 10\}$ , but we know from step (5) that  $6 \leq \dim \Omega' \leq 8$ .

(8) Finally, let  $s = 6$ . Then  $\Omega'$  is semi-simple by [1], 95.6(b), and  $\dim \Omega' \in \{6, 8\}$ . Note that  $SU_2 \cong \Lambda < \Omega'$ . Either  $\Omega'$  is even almost simple, or  $\Omega'$  has a factor  $\Phi \cong SU_2$ . By [1], 95.5, any  $\Phi$ -invariant subspace of  $X$  has a dimension  $d$  dividing 6, but effective irreducible representations of  $SU_2$  exist only in dimensions  $4k$ , compare [1], 95.10. Therefore,  $\Omega'$  is almost simple. The table [1], 95.10 shows that  $\Omega'$  must be one of the groups  $SO_3\mathbb{C}$ ,  $SL_3\mathbb{R}$ , or  $SU_3(\mathbb{C}, r)$ . The first two have no subgroup  $SU_2$  and can be discarded. The two unitary groups contain 3 diagonal involutions. Each one of these has an eigenvalue 1 and thus is planar. By [1], 55.39 their common fixed elements form a 4-dimensional subplane  $\mathcal{F}$ . The center  $Z$  acts effectively on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $Z$  would be a Lie group by Theorem P. This completes the proof of (d) and hence of Theorem L. ■

## References

- [1] Salzmann, H., D. Betten, T. Grundhöfer, H. Hähl, R. Löwen, M. Stroppel, "Compact projective planes," W. de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1995.
- [2] Bödi, R., *On the dimensions of automorphism groups of eight-dimensional ternary fields I*, J. Geom. **52** (1995), 30–40.
- [3] —, "Smooth stable and projective planes," Habilitationsschrift Tübingen, 1996.
- [4] Löwen, R., and Salzmann, H., *Collineation groups of compact connected projective planes*, Arch. Math. **38** (1982), 368–373.

- [5] Priwitzer, B., *Large automorphism groups of 8-dimensional projective planes are Lie groups*, *Geom. Dedicata* **52** (1994), 33–40.
- [6] —, *Large semisimple groups on 16-dimensional compact projective planes are almost simple*, *Arch. Math.* **68** (1997), 430–440.
- [7] —, *Large almost simple groups acting on 16-dimensional compact projective planes*, *Monatsh. Math.*, to appear.
- [8] Salzmann, H., *Compact 8-dimensional projective planes with large collineation groups*, *Geom. Dedicata* **8** (1979), 139–161.
- [9] —, *Kompakte, 8-dimensionale projektive Ebenen mit großer Kollineationsgruppe*, *Math. Z.* **176** (1981), 345–357.
- [10] —, *Compact 16-dimensional projective planes with large collineation groups, II*, *Monatsh. Math.* **95** (1983), 311–319.
- [11] —, *Compact 8-dimensional projective planes*, *Forum Math.* **2** (1990), 15–34.
- [12] Stroppel, M., *Lie theory for non-Lie groups*, *J. Lie Theory* **4** (1994), 257–284.

Mathematisches Institut  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10  
D – 72076 Tübingen, Germany  
barbara@moebius.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de  
helmut.salzmann@uni-tuebingen.de

Received April 3, 1997  
and in final form July 1, 1997