

## A Paley-Wiener Theorem for the Bessel-Laplace Transform, I: the case $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$

Salem Ben Saïd

Communicated by J. Faraut

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be the tangent space to the noncompact causal symmetric space  $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$  at the origin. In this paper we give an explicit formula for the Bessel functions on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . We use this result to prove a Paley-Wiener theorem for the Bessel Laplace transform on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Further, a flat analogue of the Abel transform is defined and inverted.

*Mathematics Subject Index 2000:* 43A85, 43A32, 33C80.

*Keywords and phrases:* Non-compactly causal symmetric spaces, multivariable Bessel function, Paley-Wiener theorem, Abel transform.

### 1. Introduction

One of the central theorems in harmonic analysis on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the Paley-Wiener theorem which characterizes the space  $L^2[-R, R]$  in terms of its image under the Euclidean Fourier transform by showing that: a function is in  $L^2[-R, R]$  if and only if its Fourier transform can be continued analytically to the whole complex plane as an entire function of exponential type  $R$  [29].

In the last thirty years, analogues of Paley-Wiener theorems for various integral transformations have received a good deal of attention. Among these analogues one may mention the following settings: A Paley-Wiener theorem for the spherical Fourier transform on noncompact Riemannian symmetric spaces has been proved independently by Helgason [14] and Gangolli [13]. Recently, the case of Riemannian symmetric spaces of the compact type with even multiplicities was done by Branson, Ólafsson, and Pasquale [6]. Helgason-Gangolli's Paley-Wiener theorem was generalized later by Opdam for the so-called Cherednik transform [26].

A second direction has been attempted to extend the theory of Paley-Wiener type theorems to the setting of noncompact causal symmetric spaces. In this setting, a Paley-Wiener theorem for the Laplace transform has been proved by Andersen and Ólafsson [2] for the rank-one case. The extension to noncompact causal symmetric spaces of Cayley type was given by Andersen and Unterberger [4]. The proof for arbitrary noncompact causal symmetric space with even multiplicities is

due independently to Andersen, Ólafsson, and Schlichtkrull [1] and Ólafsson and Pasquale [28].

Another important setting is that of integral transformations on flat symmetric spaces. A Paley-Wiener theorem for the Bessel Fourier transform on the tangent space to a noncompact Riemannian symmetric space at the origin has been proved by Helgason [15]. This result was generalized by de Jeu [21] for the so-called Dunkl transform.

In the present paper we consider the Bessel Laplace transform on the tangent space, say  $\mathfrak{q}$ , to the noncompact causal symmetric space

$$SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

at the origin. The precise statement of the Paley-Wiener theorem is given in Theorem A. The main tools in the proof are the explicit formula of the Bessel function on  $\mathfrak{q}$ , and a Bessel Laplace inversion formula. To establish the first tool, our approach uses the explicit formula of the spherical functions on  $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$  proved in [3], by taking an appropriate zero-curvature limit. We mention that the contraction procedure has been carried out by several authors in different settings. See e.g. [22, 9, 30, 11]. In Remark 5.1 we show how a certain shift operator can be used to recover the explicit formula of the Bessel function on  $\mathfrak{q}$  via the rank one case. Thus one can use this shift operator to give an alternative proof for Theorem A. In a forthcoming paper we shall develop this approach further for a larger class of noncompact causal symmetric spaces.

In the last section of this paper we define a flat analogue of the Abel transform on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . In Theorem B we give an inversion formula for the Abel transform by means of a differential operator.

## 2. Notation and background

Let  $\underline{G} = SU(n, n)$  be the group of complex matrices with determinant 1 which preserve the Hermitian form

$$z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n - z_{n+1}\bar{w}_{n+1} - \cdots - z_{2n}\bar{w}_{2n},$$

for  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . The group  $\underline{G}$  is a connected noncompact semi-simple Lie group with finite center. Its Lie algebra  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{su}(n, n)$  is given by

$$\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a = -a^*, c = -c^*, \operatorname{tr}(a + c) = 0 \right\},$$

where  $a, b, c \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ . It is well known that  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha^* \end{bmatrix} \mid \beta = \beta^*, \gamma = \gamma^*, \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{tr}(\alpha)) = 0 \right\}.$$

Denote by  $G$  the analytic subgroup of  $GL(2n, \mathbb{C})$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspaces of the Cartan involution  $\theta(X) := -X^*$ , with  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . More precisely

$$\mathfrak{k} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \mid \alpha + \alpha^* = 0, \beta = \beta^*, \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{tr}(\alpha)) = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & -\alpha \end{bmatrix} \mid \alpha = \alpha^*, \beta = \beta^* \right\}.$$

The analytic subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  is isomorphic to  $S(U(n) \times U(n))$ . The quotient  $\mathcal{M}^d := G/K$  is a Riemannian symmetric space of the non-compact type.

Set  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Im}(\text{tr}(\alpha)) = 0\}$ . We may embed  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  as following

$$\mathfrak{h} \ni \alpha \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular, the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  corresponds to the (+1)-eigenspace of the involution  $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$\sigma \left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha^* \end{bmatrix} \right) := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ -\gamma & -\alpha^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

The (-1)-eigenspace  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{q} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid \beta = \beta^*, \gamma = \gamma^* \right\}.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$  is the  $\sigma$ -eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Denote by  $H$  the analytic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} := G/H \cong SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is a noncompact causal symmetric space of Cayley type. We refer to [18, Chap. 3] for more details on the theory of causal symmetric spaces of Cayley type. The symmetric space  $\mathcal{M}^d$  is (isomorphic to) the so-called Riemannian dual of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$  be the Cartan subspace given by

$$\mathfrak{a} := \left\{ a_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid t := \text{diag}(t_1/2, \dots, t_n/2), t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is also a Cartan subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . From now on we will identify  $\mathfrak{a}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the map

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni t \mapsto a_t \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  be defined by  $\alpha_i(t) = -t_i$ . Thus, the roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  are given by the long ones  $\pm\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and the short ones  $\pm(\alpha_j \pm \alpha_i)/2$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ), with multiplicities 1 and 2, respectively. The root system  $\Sigma := \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  is of type  $C_n$ . Choose an ordering on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  such that the set  $\Sigma^+$  of positive roots is given by

$$\Sigma^+ = \left\{ \alpha_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j \pm \alpha_i) \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \right\}.$$

Then the negative open Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{a}$  on which all elements of  $\Sigma^+$  are strictly negative is

$$\mathfrak{a}_- = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}.$$

Denote by

$$\Sigma_o := \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \right\},$$

and let

$$\Sigma_\circ^+ := \Sigma^+ \cap \Sigma_\circ = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \mid (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \right\}.$$

The Weyl groups for  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_\circ$  are respectively  $\mathcal{W} \cong \mathbb{S}_n \times \{\pm 1\}^n$  and  $\mathcal{W}_\circ \cong \mathbb{S}_n$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_n$  is the permutation group of  $n$  elements. The group  $\mathcal{W}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{a}$  by  $t \mapsto (\tau_1 t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \tau_n t_{\sigma(n)})$  with  $\tau_i = \pm 1$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ .

For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , denote by  $\varphi_\lambda$  the Harish-Chandra spherical functions on  $\mathcal{M}^d$  with spectral parameter  $\lambda$  (cf. [17, Chap. IV]). In particular, if we use the identification of functions on  $\mathcal{M}^d$  with right  $K$ -invariant functions on  $G$ , then  $\varphi_\lambda(kgk') = \varphi_\lambda(g)$  for all  $k, k' \in K$  and  $g \in G$ . Thus, the spherical functions are completely determined by their restriction to  $A_- = \exp(\mathfrak{a}_-)$ . Furthermore, they are  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant on the spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . In [5] Berezin and Karpelevič gave an explicit formula for the Harish-Chandra spherical functions on

$$SU(n, n)/S(U(n) \times U(n)).$$

A complete proof can be found in [19].

**Theorem 2.1.** (cf. [5, 19]) *There exists a constant that depends only on  $n$  such that the spherical functions  $\varphi_\lambda$  on  $SU(n, n)/S(U(n) \times U(n))$  are given by*

$$\varphi_\lambda(\exp(t)) = \text{const.} \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( P_{\lambda_i - \frac{1}{2}}(\text{ch } t_j) \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\text{ch } t_j - \text{ch } t_i)},$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$ , and for all  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ . Here  $P_\mu$  denotes the Legendre function of the first kind.

**Remark 2.2.** For fixed  $t$ , the function  $\lambda \mapsto \varphi_\lambda(\exp(t))$  has a holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

From now on we will identify  $K$ -bi-invariant functions on  $\mathcal{M}^d$  with  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant functions on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the spherical Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}^d(f)$  of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})^\mathcal{W}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}^d(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t) \varphi_{-\lambda}(\exp(t)) \Delta(t) dt,$$

where

$$\Delta(t) = 2^{n(n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \text{sh } t_j \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\text{ch } t_j - \text{ch } t_i)^2. \tag{1}$$

The inversion formula for  $\mathcal{F}^d$  is given by

$$f(t) = \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}^d(f)(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(\exp(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{|c^d(\lambda)|^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2}$$

where

$$c^d(\lambda) = c(d) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(-\lambda_i + 1/2)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

The constant “const” is positive and depends only on the normalization of the measures, and  $c(d)$  is a positive constant which can be determined from  $c^d(\rho) = 1$ , where  $\rho = (1/2, 3/2, \dots, 1/2 + n - 1)$ . For more details on the theory of spherical Fourier transforms, we refer to [17, Chap. IV].

Let  $c_{\max}$  be the maximal  $\mathcal{W}_0$ -invariant regular cone in  $\mathfrak{a} (\cong \mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$c_{\max} := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid t_i \geq 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$

The subset  $C_{\max} := \text{Ad}(H)c_{\max} \subset \mathfrak{q}$  is a maximal  $H$ -invariant regular cone in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Denote by  $\Gamma(C_{\max}) := \exp(C_{\max})H$  the semi-group in  $SU(n, n)$  with interior  $\Gamma(C_{\max}^\circ) = \exp(C_{\max}^\circ)H = H \exp(c_{\max}^\circ)H$ .

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , set  $\psi_\lambda$  to be the spherical function on  $\mathcal{M}$  with spectral parameter  $\lambda$  (cf. [12]). Note that  $\psi_\lambda$  are only defined on  $\Gamma(C_{\max}^\circ)$ , and  $H$ -bi-invariant functions. We mention that for an arbitrary noncompact causal symmetric space, the spherical functions are defined in [12] by an integral formula over  $H$ . In [23], the authors determine the exact set  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  for which the integral is finite. Further, a Harish-Chandra expansion type formula for  $\psi_\lambda$  can be found in [27]. We also note that  $\psi_{w\lambda} = \psi_\lambda$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{W}_\circ$ .

In view of the Berezin-Karpelevič formula for  $\varphi_\lambda$ , and the Harish-Chandra expansion type formula for  $\psi_\lambda$ , we have:

**Theorem 2.3.** (cf. [3]) *There exists a constant that depends only on  $n$  such that the spherical functions  $\psi_\lambda$  on  $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$  are given by*

$$\psi_\lambda(\exp(t)) = \text{const.} \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( Q_{\lambda_i - 1/2}(\text{ch } t_j) \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\text{ch } t_j - \text{ch } t_i)},$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\text{Re}(\lambda_i) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and for all  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ . Here  $Q_\mu$  denotes the Legendre function of the second kind.

**Remark 2.4.** Recall the set  $\mathcal{E}$  from [23]. In the  $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$ -case, we have

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{Re}(\lambda_i) > -1/2 \ (1 \leq i \leq n), \ \text{Re}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) > 0 \ (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n) \}.$$

Thus, the statement of Theorem 2.3 remains valid for every  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Using [20, Theorem 1.2.4] and the fact that  $\nu \mapsto Q_\nu(z)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles at the points  $\nu \in -\mathbb{N}$ , one can see that for fixed  $t$ , the function  $\lambda \mapsto \psi_\lambda(\exp(t))$  has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with simple poles at  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\lambda_i \in -\mathbb{N} + 1/2$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$ , ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ).

We may identify the space  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H \setminus \Gamma(C_{\max}^\circ)/H)$  with  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ . Thus, the spherical Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}(f)$  of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t)\psi_\lambda(\exp(t))\Delta(t)dt,$$

where  $\Delta(t)$  is given by (1). The inverse spherical Laplace transform is given by

$$f(t) = \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(\exp(t)) \frac{d\lambda}{c(\lambda)c^d(-\lambda)}, \quad t \in c_{\max}^\circ \tag{4}$$

where  $c^d$  is given by (3), and

$$c(\lambda) = c(\Omega) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_i + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda_i + 1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Here  $c(\Omega)$  is a positive constant, see [23, Theorem III.5]. We refer to [12] and [18, Chap. 8] for more details on the theory of spherical Laplace transforms.

### 3. The Bessel Laplace transform

Recall the symmetric spaces

$$\mathcal{M}^d = SU(n, n)/S(U(n) \times U(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} = SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*.$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , write  $g_\epsilon = k \exp(\epsilon X)$  with  $k \in K$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Denote by  $\Phi(\lambda, X) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\lambda/\epsilon}(g_\epsilon)$ . In [24] the author proved that the limit  $\Phi(\lambda, X)$  exists and it is a smooth function. The limiting functions are the so-called Bessel functions on the flat symmetric space  $\mathfrak{p}$ . In [11, 7] this result was generalized to arbitrary noncompact Riemannian symmetric space. In [8] a similar result (for arbitrary noncompact causal symmetric space) was proved when  $\varphi_\lambda$  is replaced by  $\psi_\lambda$ . More precisely, if  $\gamma_\epsilon = \exp(\epsilon X)h$ , with  $X \in C_{\max}^0$  and  $h \in H$ , then for a certain range of  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , the limit  $\Psi(\lambda, X) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_{\lambda/\epsilon}(\exp(\epsilon X))$  and its derivatives exist. See [8] for the proof.

**Theorem 3.1.** (i) (cf. [24]) *For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$ , and for all  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ , there exists a constant which depends only on  $n$  such that*

$$\Phi(\lambda, t) = \text{const.} \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (I_0(\lambda_i t_j))}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)},$$

where  $I_\nu(z) := e^{-i\nu\pi/2} J_\nu(iz)$  and  $J_\nu$  is the Bessel function of the first kind. The Bessel function  $\Phi$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ .

(ii) *For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\text{Re}(\lambda_i) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and for all  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ , there exists a constant which depends only on  $n$  such that*

$$\Psi(\lambda, t) = \text{const.} \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (K_0(\lambda_i t_j))}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)},$$

where

$$K_0(z) := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{2 \sin \nu\pi}$$

denotes the Bessel function of the third kind. For fixed  $t$ , the function  $\lambda \mapsto \Psi(\lambda, t)$  has a meromorphic extension to

$$D = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ]-\infty, 0] \},$$

with simple poles at  $\lambda \in D$  such that  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ .

**Proof.** (ii) For  $\epsilon > 0$ , write  $\psi_{\lambda/\epsilon}(\exp(\epsilon t))$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda/\epsilon}(\exp(\epsilon t)) &= \text{const.} \frac{\epsilon^{n(n-1)}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\text{sh}^2(\epsilon t_j/2) - \text{sh}^2(\epsilon t_i/2))} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} Q_{\lambda_{\sigma(i)/\epsilon - 1/2}(\text{ch } \epsilon t_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

By [31, p.259], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{sh } t)^{-\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - \mu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu + 1/2)} Q_{\lambda - 1/2}^\mu(\text{ch } t) \\ &= \frac{e^{i\pi\mu}}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(-\mu)}{2^\mu} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(-\lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}); 1 + \mu; -\text{sh}^2 t\right) + \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{2^{-\mu}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\text{sh } t)^{-2\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - \mu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu + 1/2)} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \mu + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(-\lambda - \mu + \frac{1}{2}); 1 - \mu; -\text{sh}^2 t\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well known formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(z + a)}{\Gamma(z + b)} = z^{a-b}(1 + O(z^{-1})) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \tag{6}$$

together with the hypergeometric series of  ${}_2F_1$ , we obtain:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} \pm \mu + \frac{1}{2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} \pm \mu + \frac{1}{2}\right); 1 \pm \mu; -\text{sh}^2 \epsilon t\right) = \Gamma(\pm \mu + 1) \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right)^{\mp \mu} I_{\pm \mu}(\lambda t),$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} - \mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} + \mu + \frac{1}{2})} (\text{sh } \epsilon t)^{-2\mu} = (\lambda t)^{-2\mu}.$$

Here  $I_\mu$  denotes the modified Bessel function given in the statement (i) above. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\text{sh } \epsilon t)^{-\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda/\epsilon - \mu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda/\epsilon + \mu + 1/2)} Q_{\lambda/\epsilon - 1/2}^\mu(\text{ch } \epsilon t) \\ &= \frac{e^{i\pi\mu}}{2} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(-\mu)\Gamma(1 + \mu)}{2^\mu} \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right)^{-\mu} I_\mu(\lambda t) + \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(1 - \mu)}{2^{-\mu}} \frac{(\lambda t)^{-\mu}}{2^\mu} I_{-\mu}(\lambda t) \right\} \\ &= e^{i\pi\mu} (\lambda t)^{-\mu} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\mu}(\lambda t) - I_\mu(\lambda t)}{\sin(\pi\mu)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Q_{\lambda/\epsilon - 1/2}(\text{ch } \epsilon t) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\mu}(\lambda t) - I_\mu(\lambda t)}{\sin(\pi\mu)} = K_0(\lambda t).$$

Now one may use [20, Theorem 1.2.4] to prove that the only singularities of  $\Psi(\lambda, t)$  in  $\lambda \in D$  are those for which  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$ , with  $i \neq j$ . ■

**Remark 3.2.** (i) The Bessel function  $\Phi$  is symmetric in its arguments. Further, since  $I_0(z)$  is an even function, clearly we have  $\Phi(w\lambda, t) = \Phi(\lambda, wt) = \Phi(\lambda, t)$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{W} = \mathbb{S}_n \times \{\pm 1\}^n$ . For general results in the theory of Bessel functions associated with Cartan motion groups, we refer to [17, 25].

(ii) The Bessel function  $\Psi$  is symmetric in  $\lambda$  and  $t$ , with  $\Psi(w_0\lambda, t) = \Psi(\lambda, w_0t) = \Psi(\lambda, t)$  for all  $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}_o = \mathbb{S}_n$ .

Following [16], the Bessel Fourier transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)$  of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})^{\mathcal{W}}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t)\Phi(\lambda, t)\omega(t)dt,$$

where

$$\omega(t) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)^2, \quad t \in \mathfrak{a}_-. \tag{7}$$

Further, there exists a positive constant depending only on the normalization of the measures such that

$$f(t) = \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda, t)\omega(\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\omega(\lambda) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2|^2. \tag{9}$$

Observe that one may recover the definition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and its inversion formula via  $\mathcal{F}$ , by applying a limit transition approach. Indeed, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , set  $f_\epsilon(t) := f(\epsilon^{-1}t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^d(f_\epsilon)(\lambda/\epsilon) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f_\epsilon(t)\varphi_{-\lambda/\epsilon}(\exp t) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{sh } t_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (2\text{ch } t_j - 2\text{ch } t_i)^2 dt \\ &\sim \epsilon^{n(2n-1)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t)\varphi_{-\lambda/\epsilon}(\exp \epsilon t) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-n(2n-1)} \mathcal{F}^d(f_\epsilon)(\lambda/\epsilon) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(\lambda). \tag{10}$$

By virtue of (6), one can also use the inversion formula (2) for  $\mathcal{F}$  to recover(8). We should mention that the Bessel Fourier transform has been carried out by several authors in different settings (see e.g. [15, 16, 24, 33]).

**Remark 3.3.** In [10] Dunkl introduced an integral transformation on the space  $L^2(\mathfrak{a}, d\mu)$  (where  $\mu$  is some suitable measure) in terms of the eigenfunctions of the so-called Dunkl operators. This class of Dunkl transforms encloses the Bessel Fourier transforms on flat symmetric spaces.

Define the Bessel Laplace transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_o}$  by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t)\Psi(\lambda, t)\omega(t)dt, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_o},$$

whenever this integral converges. Once again one may obtain the above natural definition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  via the spherical Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}$ .



By [27, Lemma 4.16], we know that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ , then there exists a unique function  $f^d \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathfrak{a})^{\mathcal{W}}$  such that  $f|_{\mathfrak{a}_-}^d \equiv f|_{\mathfrak{a}_-}$ . Thus, we may obtain the following relation between  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ : for  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ , we know that

$$\varphi_\lambda(\exp(-t)) = \sum_{\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \frac{c^d(\tau\lambda)}{c(\tau\lambda)} \psi_{\tau\lambda}(\exp(t)), \tag{11}$$

for almost every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  (cf. [18, Theorem 8.4.4]). Further, in the light of (6), we have

$$c^d(\lambda/\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{n(n-1/2)} c(d) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-\lambda_i)^{-1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^{-1} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$c(\lambda/\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{n(n-1/2)} c(\Omega) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i^{-1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^{-1} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\Phi(\lambda, t) = \frac{c(d)}{c(\Omega)} \sum_{\tau = (\tau_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(-\tau_i \lambda_i)^{-1/2} (\tau_i \lambda_i)^{1/2}\} \Psi(\tau\lambda, t) \quad \forall t \in \mathfrak{a}_-, \tag{12}$$

for almost every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . When  $n = 1$ , we have  $c(d)c(\Omega)^{-1} = \pi^{-1}$ , and the equality (12) coincides with the well known formula  $K_0(z) - K_0(-z) = i\pi I_0(z)$  (cf. [31, p. 428]). Now the following is clear.

**Corollary 3.4.** *For almost every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f^d)(\lambda) = \frac{c(d)}{c(\Omega)} \sum_{\tau = (\tau_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(-\tau_i \lambda_i)^{-1/2} (\tau_i \lambda_i)^{1/2}\} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\tau\lambda).$$

*In particular, the right hand side extends to an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

The Bessel Laplace inversion formula is now immediate.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ , then there exists a positive constant such that*

$$f(t) = \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) \omega(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|} d\lambda$$

*for all  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ . Here  $\omega(\lambda)$  is as in (9).*

**Proof.** For  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^d(f^d)(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) \omega(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \sum_{\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_i \right) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}f(\tau\lambda) \right\} \Phi(\lambda, t) \omega(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The statement is now due to the  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariance of  $\Phi$  and  $\omega(\lambda)d\lambda$ . ■

### 4. The Paley-Wiener theorem

For  $R > 0$ , let  $B_R := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|t\| \leq R\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_R^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$  the space of smooth functions on  $\mathfrak{a}$  with support contained in the closed ball  $B_R$ . Define the Paley-Wiener space  $\mathcal{H}_W^R(\mathbb{C}^n)$  as the space of  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the property that for each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a constant  $c_M > 0$  such that

$$|g(\lambda)| \leq c_M(1 + \|\lambda\|)^{-M} e^{R\|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\|}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We will denote by  $\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the union of the spaces  $\mathcal{H}_W^R(\mathbb{C}^n)$  over all  $R > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** (cf. [15]) *The Bessel Fourier transform  $f \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)$  is a bijection of  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})^W$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . The function  $f$  has support in the ball  $B_R$  if and only if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f) \in \mathcal{H}_W^R(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .*

Next we will discuss a Paley-Wiener theorem for  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . For  $0 < r < R < \infty$ , let  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the space of  $\mathcal{W}_\circ$ -invariant meromorphic functions  $g$  on  $D$  with at most simple poles at  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ) such that:

( $\mathbb{P}_1$ ) the map

$$\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{av}(g)(\lambda) := \sum_{\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(-\tau_i \lambda_i)^{-1/2} (\tau_i \lambda_i)^{1/2}\} g(\tau \lambda)$$

extends to a function in  $\mathcal{H}_W^R(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

( $\mathbb{P}_2$ ) for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a constant  $c_M$  such that for  $\lambda \in D$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2| |g(\lambda)| \leq c_M(1 + \|\lambda\|)^{-M} e^{-r\langle \operatorname{Re}(\lambda), t_0 \rangle},$$

where  $t_0 := (1, \dots, 1)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the union of the spaces  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  over all  $0 < r < R < \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For all  $\lambda \in D$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $t_i \geq r > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we have*

$$|\Psi(\lambda, t)| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |t_j^2 - t_i^2| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2| \leq c e^{-r\langle \operatorname{Re}(\lambda), t_0 \rangle},$$

where  $t_0 = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $c$  is a constant which depends only on  $r$  and  $n$ .

**Proof.** For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(\lambda, t)| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |t_i^2 - t_j^2| |\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2| &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \left| \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (K_0(\lambda_i t_j)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} |K_0(\lambda_i t_{\sigma(i)})|. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ]\infty, 0]$  we have  $K_0(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} W_{0,0}(2z)$ , where  $W_{0,0}$  denotes the Whittaker function. Using the expression (4), p. 317 of [31], and the asymptotic expression (1), p. 202 of [32], we get

$$|z|^{1/2} |K_0(z)| \leq \operatorname{const.} e^{-\operatorname{Re}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ]\infty, 0].$$

Thus, if  $t_{\sigma(i)} \geq r > 0$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ]\infty, 0]$ , then

$$|\lambda_i|^{1/2} |K_0(\lambda_i t_{\sigma(i)})| \leq c_r e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) t_{\sigma(i)}}.$$

If in addition  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda_i|^{1/2} |K_0(\lambda_i t_{\sigma(i)})| \leq c_r e^{-r \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i)}.$$

Now the desired lemma is clear. ■

For  $0 < r < \infty$ , we set  $C_r := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid t_i \geq r \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  the space of functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  with support contained in  $C_r \cap B_R$ . Note that  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ} = \{0\}$  if  $R \leq r$ . The union of the spaces  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  over all  $0 < r < R < \infty$  coincides with  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *For all  $0 < r < R < \infty$ , the transformation  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  maps  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  injectively into  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .*

**Proof.** Since the function  $\lambda \mapsto \Psi(\lambda, t)$  is meromorphic on  $D$  with simple poles at  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , it follows that  $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda)$  extends to a meromorphic function on  $D$  with simple poles at  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Further, the  $\mathcal{W}_\circ$ -invariance of the Bessel functions  $\Psi$  implies that  $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda)$  is a  $\mathcal{W}_\circ$ -invariant map for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ . Moreover, by means of Corollary 3.4, the Bessel Laplace transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  satisfies the property  $(\mathbb{P}_1)$ . One can also check that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  obeys the property  $(\mathbb{P}_2)$ . Indeed, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2| \left| \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathfrak{a}_- \cap \operatorname{supp}(f)} |f(t)| |\Psi(\lambda, t)| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2| \omega(t) dt \\ & \leq c_{r,R} e^{-r \operatorname{Re}(\lambda), t_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Above we used Lemma 4.2. To reach the conclusion, it is enough to recall that  $\Psi(\lambda, t)$  satisfies a Bessel system of differential equations (cf. [8, (4.8)]).

The injectivity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  follows from the inversion formula in Theorem 3.5. ■

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $\operatorname{av}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)) \equiv 0$  with  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ , then  $f \equiv 0$ .*

**Proof.** The statement of Corollary 3.4 can also be written as  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(f^d)(\lambda) = \frac{c(d)}{c(\Omega)} \operatorname{av}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f))(\lambda)$ , where  $f_{|\mathfrak{a}_-}^d \equiv f_{|\mathfrak{a}_-}$ . Now the claim is an easy consequence of the injectivity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . ■

The following statement can be proved in a similar way as Lemma 9.1 in [1]. The function  $g$  bellow plays the same role as  $g_1$  in the proof of [1, Lemma 9.1].

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $g$  be a meromorphic function on  $D$  which satisfies the condition  $(\mathbb{P}_2)$  for some  $r > 0$ . If  $\text{av}(g) \equiv 0$ , then  $g \equiv 0$ .*

We have now all ingredients to state and prove the first main result of the paper. Our approach is similar to the one used in [1] for the spherical Laplace transform.

**Theorem A.** *The Bessel Laplace transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  onto  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  for every  $0 < r < R < \infty$ , and from  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  onto  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .*

**Proof.** By virtue of Lemma 4.3 we only need to prove the surjectivity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  from  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$  to  $\mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . By Theorem 3.1 part (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\lambda, t) &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} I_0(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} t_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} I_0(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} t_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_{\sigma(j)}^2 - \lambda_{\sigma(i)}^2)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \Xi(\sigma(\lambda), t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Xi(\lambda, t) := \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} I_0(\lambda_i t_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)},$$

with  $t_i \neq \pm t_j$  and  $\lambda_i \neq \pm \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , let

$$\vartheta(\lambda) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2).$$

Fix  $r$  and  $R$ , and define the wave packet of  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{I}g(t) = \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) \Phi(\lambda, t) \vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i d\lambda$$

when  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ . The function  $\mathcal{I}g$  is well defined and it belongs to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ . This follows from the growth behavior of  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , and the fact that

$$|\partial_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{t_n}^{\alpha_n} \Phi(\lambda, t)| \leq \text{const.} \|\lambda\|^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n},$$

with  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here the constant ‘‘const’’ depends only on  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Notice that for  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = \omega(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|}$ . Bellow we will prove that the support of  $\mathcal{I}g$  is contained in  $C_r \cap B_R$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I}g \in \mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ .

By the  $\mathcal{W}_\circ$ -invariance of  $g$  and  $\vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}g(t) = n! \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) \Xi(\lambda, t) \vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i d\lambda, \quad t \in \mathfrak{a}_-.$$

On the other hand, using the expression of  $I_0$  in [32, p. 77] and the asymptotic expression (2), p. 203 of [32], it follows that there exist two positive constants such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_0(z)| &\leq \text{const.}, & 0 \leq |z| \leq 1, \\ |I_0(z)| &\leq \text{const.} |z|^{-1/2} e^{\text{Re}(z)}, & 1 \leq |z|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for fixed  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ ,

$$|\vartheta(\lambda)| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} |\Xi(\lambda, t)| \leq \text{const.} \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} \tag{13}$$

if  $|\lambda_i| \leq t_i^{-1}$  for all  $i$ , and

$$|\vartheta(\lambda)| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|^{1/2} |\Xi(\lambda, t)| \leq \text{const.} \frac{e^{\langle \text{Re}(\lambda), t \rangle}}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} \tag{14}$$

if  $|\lambda_i| \geq t_i^{-1}$  for all  $i$ .

Now let  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_- \setminus C_r$ . By [1, p. 721], there exists an element  $\lambda^\circ \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $\zeta := \langle \lambda^\circ, t - r t_\circ \rangle < 0$ , where  $t_\circ = (1, \dots, 1)$ . Hence, for arbitrary  $\alpha \gg 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\vartheta(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ)| \prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i + \alpha \lambda_i^\circ|^{1/2} |\Xi(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ, t)| &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i + \alpha \lambda_i^\circ|^{1/2} |I_0((\lambda_i + \alpha \lambda_i^\circ) t_i)|}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} \\ &\sim \frac{e^{\langle \text{Re}(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ), t \rangle}}{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{1/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . Here we used the fact that  $I_0(z) \sim z^{-1/2} e^z$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, if  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_- \setminus C_r$ , there exists a constant not depending on  $\lambda$  such that the left hand side of (15) is bounded by  $ce^{\alpha \langle \lambda^\circ, t \rangle}$  as  $\alpha$  goes to infinity. That is

$$|\vartheta(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ)| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i + \alpha \lambda_i^\circ|^{1/2} |\Xi(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ, t)| \leq ce^{\alpha \zeta} e^{r\alpha \langle \lambda^\circ, t_\circ \rangle} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \tag{16}$$

By virtue of (13), (14), (16), and the growth behavior of  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , Cauchy's theorem and a contour shift imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}g(t) &= n! \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) \Xi(\lambda, t) \vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i d\lambda \\ &= n! \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ) \Xi(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ, t) \vartheta(\lambda + \alpha \lambda^\circ)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\lambda_i + \alpha \lambda_i^\circ) d\lambda \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{I}g$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{a}_- \setminus C_r$ , and, by the continuity and the  $\mathcal{W}_\circ$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{I}g$ , this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}g \equiv 0$  on  $c_{\max}^\circ \setminus C_r$ . Furthermore, the wave packet vanishes also on  $c_{\max}^\circ \setminus B_R$ . One can see this as following: If one recalls that for  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vartheta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = \omega(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|}$ , then by the  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariance of  $\Phi$  and  $\omega(\lambda)$ , one has (for  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}g(t) &= \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda, t)\omega(\lambda) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{(-\tau_i \lambda_i)^{-1/2} (\tau_i \lambda_i)^{1/2}\} g(\tau \lambda)\Phi(\lambda, t)\omega(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{c(\Omega)}{c(d)} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{av}(g)(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda, t)\omega(\lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this formula with (8), we get (up to a positive constant which does not depend on  $\lambda$ )

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{I}g)(\lambda) = \text{const. } \mathbf{av}(g)(\lambda). \tag{17}$$

Since  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , the property  $(\mathbb{P}_1)$  implies that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{I}g)$  belongs to the Paley-Wiener space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{W}}^R(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.1,  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}g) \subset B_R$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I}g(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in c_{\max}^\circ \setminus B_R$ . Thus we draw the conclusion that  $\mathcal{I}g \in \mathcal{C}_{r,R}^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ . Moreover, in view of Corollary 3.4, equation (17) yields

$$\frac{c(d)}{c(\Omega)} \mathbf{av}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{I}g))(\lambda) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{I}g)(\lambda) = \text{const. } \mathbf{av}(g)(\lambda),$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Now, Lemma 4.5 implies that (up to a constant)  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{I}(g)) = g$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{PW}_\circ^{r,R}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . This finishes the proof. ■

### 5. A flat analogue of the Abel transform

Replacing the Cartan involution by the involution  $\sigma$  in the proof of [17, Theorem I.5.17], one can prove that for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(C_{\max}^\circ)$

$$\int_{C_{\max}^\circ} f(Y)dY = \text{const.} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} \int_H f(\text{Ad}(h)X) \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} |\langle \alpha, X \rangle|^{m_\alpha} dh dX,$$

where ‘‘const’’ is some positive constant depending only on the normalization of the measures. Thus, for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $\text{Re}(\lambda_i) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), the Bessel Laplace transform of  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ} \cong \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(C_{\max}^\circ)^{\text{Ad}(H)}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(X)\Psi(\lambda, X)\omega(X)dX \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(X) \left( \int_H e^{-\lambda(\text{Ad}(h)X)} dh \right) \omega(X)dX \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{C_{\max}^\circ} f(X)e^{-\lambda(X)} dX. \end{aligned}$$

Above we used the following integral representation of the Bessel functions

$$\Psi(\lambda, X) = \int_H e^{-\lambda(\text{Ad}(h)X)} dh,$$

(cf. [8, Theorem 4.12]). Let  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Then for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  such that  $\lambda_i > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) &= \text{const.} \int_{C_{\max}^\circ \cap \mathfrak{a}} e^{-\lambda(X)} \left( \int_{C_{\max}^\circ \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp} f(X + Y) dY \right) dX \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{c_{\max}^\circ} e^{-\lambda(X)} \mathcal{A}(f)(X) dX, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(f)(X) := \int_{C_{\max}^\circ \cap \mathfrak{a}^\perp} f(X + Y) dY$$

denotes (the flat analogue of) the Abel transform of  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(C_{\max}^\circ)^{\text{Ad}(H)} \cong \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_0}$  at  $X \in c_{\max}^\circ$ . The expression (18) is similar to the one proved by Helgason in [15] for the Bessel Fourier transform on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) = \text{const.} \int_{c_{\max}^\circ} e^{-\lambda(X)} \mathcal{A}(f)(X) dX = \text{const.} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}(f))(\lambda), \tag{19}$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}$  denotes the Euclidean Laplace transform associated with  $c_{\max}^\circ$ . Let

$$\mathbb{V}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_i^2).$$

One may write  $\mathbb{V}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda)$  in two different ways. First, by (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) &= \text{const.} \mathbb{V}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}(f))(\lambda) \\ &= \text{const.} \mathfrak{F} \left[ \mathbb{V}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) \mathcal{A}(f) \right](\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Second, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{V}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t) \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (K_0(\lambda_i t_j)) \frac{\omega(t)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)} dt \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t) \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (K_0(\lambda_i t_j)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) dt \\ &= \text{const.} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_-} f(t) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_{\sigma(i)} K_0(\lambda_i t_{\sigma(i)}) \prod_{i < j} (t_{\sigma(j)}^2 - t_{\sigma(i)}^2) dt \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{c_{\max}^\circ} f(t) \mathbb{V}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_i \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_0(\lambda_i t_i) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$K_0(z) = \int_t^\infty \frac{e^{-zs}}{\sqrt{s^2 - t^2}} ds, \quad (\text{Re}(z) > 0, \quad t > 0),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{V}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\lambda) \\ &= \text{const.} \int_{c_{\max}^\circ} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} e^{-\lambda_i s_i} \left[ \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_n} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mathbb{V}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{t_i dt_i}{\sqrt{s_i^2 - t_i^2}} \right] ds \\ &= \text{const.} \mathfrak{F} \left( \mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}(f \mathbb{V}) \right)(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold tensor product of the one dimensional integral transformation

$$\mathbb{A}_1(F)(s) := \int_0^s F(t) \frac{t}{\sqrt{s^2 - t^2}} dt, \quad F \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad s > 0.$$

The later transform satisfies

$$F(t) = \text{const.} \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \mathbb{A}_1(F)(s) \frac{s}{\sqrt{t^2 - s^2}} ds. \tag{21}$$

Comparing (20) with (21), and using the injectivity of the Euclidean Laplace transform  $\mathfrak{F}$ , we get

$$\mathbb{V}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) \mathcal{A}(f)(t) = \text{const.} \mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}(f\mathbb{V})(t).$$

In view of (22), we obtain the second main result of the paper.

**Theorem B.** *Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(c_{\max}^\circ)^{\mathcal{W}_\circ}$ . For every  $t \in \mathfrak{a}_-$ , the inverse Abel transform is expressed as*

$$\mathbb{V}(t_1, \dots, t_n) f(t) = \text{const.} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{t_i} \frac{d}{dt_i} \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \mathbb{V}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) \mathcal{A}(f)(s) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{s_i ds_i}{\sqrt{t_i^2 - s_i^2}},$$

where  $\mathbb{V}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2)$ .

**Remark 5.1.** (Another way of computing the Bessel function  $\Psi(\lambda, t)$  via the rank one case.) Let  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}^{(1)}$  be the rank one symmetric space  $SO_0(1, 2)/SO_0(1, 1)$ . The associated restricted root system is given by  $\{\pm\alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha(t) = -t$  defines the positive root. Here  $\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{R}$ , and  $m_\alpha = 1$ . By [8, Example 4.13], the Bessel functions associated with  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}^{(1)}$  are given by

$$\Psi_{(1,0)}^{(1)}(\lambda, t) = K_0(\lambda t), \quad \text{Re}(\lambda) > 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}^{(n)}$  be the product of  $n$ -copies of  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}^{(1)}$ , and define on  $\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}^{(n)}$  the pseudo-Bessel function

$$\Psi_{(1,0)}^{(n)}(\lambda, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_0(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} t_i).$$

On the other hand, recall that the restricted root system  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  associated with  $\mathcal{M} = SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$  consists of long roots with multiplicities 1 and short roots with multiplicities 2. By [26] we can prove that we may obtain the Bessel function  $\Psi(\lambda, t)$  associated with  $\mathcal{M}$  via  $\Psi_{(1,0)}^{(n)}(\lambda, t)$  as

$$\Psi(\lambda, t) = \frac{\text{const.}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^2} \mathbb{G}(0, 2) \Psi_{(1,0)}^{(n)}(\lambda, t), \tag{22}$$

where  $\mathbb{G}(0, 2)$  denotes the shift operator

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\mathcal{D}(t_j, \partial_{t_j}) - \mathcal{D}(t_i, \partial_{t_i})),$$



with

$$\mathcal{D} := \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt}.$$

Since  $K_0(z)$  is a solution to

$$u'' + \frac{1}{z}u' - u = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\lambda, t) &= \frac{\text{const.}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)(\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_0(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} t_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_{\sigma(j)}^2 - \lambda_{\sigma(i)}^2) \\ &= \frac{\text{const.}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2)(\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_0(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} t_i) \\ &= \text{const.} \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (K_0(\lambda_i t_j))}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with Theorem 3.1 part (ii). Notice that one may use (23) to give another proof for Theorem A. In a forthcoming paper we shall develop this approach further to prove a Paley-Wiener theorem for a larger class of noncompact causal symmetric spaces.

## References

- [1] Andersen, N., G. Ólafsson, and H. Schlichtkrull, *On the inversion of the Laplace and Abel transforms for causal symmetric spaces*, Forum Math. **15** (2003), 701–725.
- [2] Andersen, N., and G. Ólafsson, *A Paley-Wiener theorem for the spherical Laplace transform on causal symmetric spaces of rank 1*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 173–179.
- [3] Andersen, N., and J. Unterberger, *Harmonic Analysis on  $SU(n, n)/SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$* , J. Lie Theory **10** (2000), 311–322.
- [4] —, *An application of shift operators to ordered symmetric spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **52** (2002), 275–288.
- [5] Berezin, F. A., and F. I. Karpelevič, *Zonal spherical functions and Laplace operators on some symmetric spaces*, Dokl. Akad. SSSR (N.S.) **118** (1958), 9–12.
- [6] Branson, T., G. Ólafsson, and A. Pasquale, *The Paley-Wiener theorem and the local Huygens' principle for compact symmetric spaces: The even multiplicity case*, Indag. Math. **16** (2005), 393–428.
- [7] Ben Saïd, S., and B. Ørsted, *Analysis on flat symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **84** (2005), 1393–1426.
- [8] —, *Bessel functions for root systems via the trigonometric setting*, Int. Math. Res. Notes **9** (2005), 551–585.

- [9] Clerc, J.-L., *Une formule asymptotique du type Mehler-Heine pour les zonales d'un espace riemannien symétrique*, *Studia Math.* **57** (1976) 27–32.
- [10] Dunkl, C. F., *Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups*, In: “Hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials, and applications,” Tampa, FL, 1991, *Contemp. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, **138** (1992), 123–138.
- [11] Dooley, A., and J. W. Rice, *On contractions of semisimple Lie groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **289** (1985), 185–202.
- [12] Faraut, J., J. Hilgert, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical functions on ordered symmetric spaces*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **44** (1994), 927–966.
- [13] Gangolli, G., *On the Plancherel formula and the Paley-Wiener theorem for spherical functions on semisimple Lie groups*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **93** (1971), 150–165.
- [14] Helgason, S., *An analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for the Fourier transform on certain symmetric spaces*, *Math. Ann.* **165** (1966), 297–308.
- [15] —, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations. III. Tangent space analysis*, *Adv. Math.* **36** (1980) 297–323.
- [16] —, *The flat horocycle transform for a symmetric space*, *Adv. Math.* **91** (1992) 232–251.
- [17] —, “Groups and Geometric Analysis,” Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.
- [18] Hilgert, J., and G. Ólafsson, “Causal symmetric spaces. Geometry and harmonic analysis,” *Perspectives in Mathematics*, **18**, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, (1997).
- [19] Hoogenboom, B., *Spherical functions and invariant differential operators on complex Grassmann manifolds*, *Ark. Mat.* **20** (1982) 69–85.
- [20] Hua, J. K., “Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains,” Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963.
- [21] Jeu, M. de, *Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 4225–4250.
- [22] Inonu, E., and E. P. Wigner, *On the contraction of groups and their representations*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **39** (1953) 510–524.
- [23] Krötz, B., and G. Ólafsson, *The  $c$ -function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces*, *Invent. Math.* **149** (2002), 647–659.
- [24] Meaney, C., *The inverse Abel transform for  $SU(p, q)$* , *Ark. Mat.* **24** (1985), 131–140.
- [25] Opdam, E., *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993), 333–373.
- [26] —, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, *Acta Math.* **175** (1995), 75–121.
- [27] Ólafsson, G., *Spherical functions and spherical Laplace transform on ordered symmetric spaces*, see: <http://www.math.lsu.edu/~preprint>.

- [28] Ólafsson, G., and A. Pasquale, *A Paley-Wiener theorem for the  $\Theta$ -spherical transform: The even multiplicity case*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), 869–927.
- [29] Paley, R., and N. Wiener, “Fourier transforms in the complex domain,” Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. XIX, New York, 1934.
- [30] Stanton, R. J., *Mean convergence of Fourier series on compact Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **218** (1976), 61–87.
- [31] Wang, Z. X., and D. R. Guo, “Special functions,” World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [32] Watson, G. N., “A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England; The Macmillan Company, New York, 1944.
- [33] Zhu, F., *On the inverse Abel transformation for certain Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank two*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), 617–637.

S. Ben Saïd  
Institut Élie Cartan  
Département de Mathématiques  
Université Henri Poincaré–Nancy 1  
B.P. 239, F-54506, Vandoeuvre-Les-  
Nancy, cedex, France  
bensaid@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Received September 27, 2006  
and in final form Mai 7, 2007