

Right Ideals in Non–Associative Universal Enveloping Algebras of Lie Triple Systems

José M. Pérez–Izquierdo*

Communicated by E. Zelmanov

Abstract. We prove that the only proper right ideal of the universal enveloping algebra of a finite–dimensional central simple Lie triple system over a field of characteristic zero is its augmentation ideal. This provides new series of infinite–dimensional simple non–associative algebras strongly connected with Hopf algebras and geometry.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17A40.

Key Words and Phrases : Lie triple systems, Sabinin algebras, universal enveloping algebras, nonassociative bialgebras.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will assume that the base field is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

Any Lie algebra with the trilinear product $[x, y, z] = [[x, y], z]$ can be considered as a Lie triple system. A Lie triple system (abbreviated as L.t.s.) is a vector space T equipped with a trilinear product $[x, y, z]$ satisfying the followings identities:

$$[x, x, y] = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$[x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$[a, b[x, y, z]] = [[a, b, x], y, z] + [x, [a, b, y], z] + [x, y, [a, b, z]]. \tag{3}$$

The first and the second identities are reminiscent of Lie algebras. The third identity says that the maps $D_{a,b}: x \mapsto [a, b, x]$ are derivations of T . These maps are called inner derivations and they form the Lie algebra of inner derivations $\text{InnDer}(T)$. Roughly, Lie triple systems may be thought of as subspaces of Lie algebras stable under the product $[[x, y], z]$. This is due to the fact that for any Lie triple system T the vector space $L(T) = \text{InnDer}(T) \oplus T$ is a Lie algebra with the product defined by $[a, b] = D_{a,b}$ and $[D_{a,b}, c] = [[a, b], c] = [a, b, c]$ for any $a, b, c \in T$, and by the condition that $\text{InnDer}(T)$ a subalgebra. The map $\sigma: D_{a,b} + c \mapsto D_{a,b} - c$ is an automorphism of $L(T)$ with $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}$. Thus, Lie

*Supported by the CONACyT (U44100-F) and Gobierno de La Rioja (ANGI 2005/05)

triple systems are often considered as the negative eigenspaces of involutions of Lie algebras. The classification of these involutions has led to the classification of semisimple L.t.s. by Lister [2]. Later, Faulkner obtained Lister's classification of simple L.t.s. by means of Dynkin diagrams [1].

Given any (unital) nonassociative algebra A the generalized left alternative nucleus

$$\text{LN}_{\text{alt}}(A) = \{a \in A \mid (a, x, y) = -(x, a, y) \forall x, y \in A\},$$

where $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$, is a L.t.s. with the product

$$[a, b, c] = a(bc) - b(ac) - c(ab) + c(ba).$$

In analogy with the usual universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras, for any L.t.s. T there exists a unital algebra $U(T)$ and a monomorphism of L.t.s. $\iota: T \rightarrow \text{LN}_{\text{alt}}(U(T))$ with the additional property $\iota(a)\iota(b) - \iota(b)\iota(a) = 0$ for any $a, b \in T$. The pair $(U(T), \iota)$ is universal with respect to homomorphisms of L.t.s. $T \rightarrow \text{LN}_{\text{alt}}(A)$ with this property. To simplify the notation we will write a instead of $\iota(a)$. Thus,

$$[a, b, c] = a(bc) - b(ac) = a(bc) - (ab)c + (ba)c - b(ac) = -2(a, b, c)$$

in $U(T)$.

The universal enveloping algebra $U(T)$ is a nonassociative Hopf algebra or H-bialgebra (see [4, 5]) in the sense that it is a nonassociative bialgebra with a left and a right division $x \setminus y$ and x / y defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_{(1)} \setminus x_{(2)} y &= \epsilon(x)y = \sum x_{(1)} \cdot x_{(2)} \setminus y, \\ \sum y x_{(1)} / x_{(2)} &= \epsilon(x)y = \sum y / x_{(1)} \cdot x_{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ denotes the counit and $\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$ stands for the image of x under the comultiplication [6]. $U(T)$ is a coassociative, cocommutative bialgebra, the subspace of primitive elements being T , and it admits a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt type basis. Associativity is, however, replaced by the weaker identity

$$\sum x_{(1)}(y \cdot x_{(2)}z) = \sum x_{(1)}(yx_{(2)}) \cdot z.$$

The graded algebra $\text{Gr}(T)$ associated to the coradical filtration

$$U(T) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U(T)_n,$$

where $U(T)_n$ is the linear span of all possible products of at most n elements in T , is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S(T)$ on T . A map similar to the antipode of universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras is also available since the automorphism $a \mapsto -a$ of any L.t.s. T induces an automorphism $x \mapsto S(x)$ of $U(T)$ of order 2. The left and right division are written as

$$x \setminus y = S(x)y \quad \text{and} \quad y / x = \sum S(x_{(3)}) \cdot (x_{(1)}y)S(x_{(2)}).$$

For any non-trivial L.t.s. T its universal enveloping algebra $U(T)$ is infinite-dimensional. For finite-dimensional unital algebras A the existence of embeddings $\iota: T \rightarrow \text{LN}_{\text{alt}}(A)$ with the property $\iota(a)\iota(b) - \iota(b)\iota(a) = 0$ for $a, b \in T$,

(Ado's Theorem) was studied in [3]: it turns out that only finite-dimensional nilpotent L.t.s. may admit such embeddings. The lack of such embeddings is equivalent to the non-existence of ideals of finite codimension in $U(T)$ with trivial intersection with T . This result motivated in [3] the following conjecture, verified in several cases by direct calculations in Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt bases:

Conjecture. *The only proper ideal of the universal enveloping algebra of a simple Lie triple system is its augmentation ideal.*

The goal of this paper is to prove this conjecture by establishing the following stronger result:

Theorem 1.1. *The only proper right ideal of the universal enveloping algebra of a finite-dimensional simple Lie triple system over a field of characteristic zero is its augmentation ideal.*

The behavior of the left ideals is, however, rather different. The Harish-Chandra isomorphism for symmetric spaces, recast in our setting, implies that the right associative nucleus of $U(T)$, each of whose elements determines a left ideal, is isomorphic as an algebra to the algebra of invariants of the restricted Weyl group of the symmetric Lie algebra $(L(T), \sigma)$. By the Theorem of Kostant and Rallis on separation of variables for isotropy representations, $U(T)$ is a free right module for the right associative nucleus. This subject will be discussed in detail elsewhere.

Acknowledgment. I would like to express my gratitude to Jacob Mostovoy for careful reading of the manuscript.

2. The proof

In the course of the proof we use some results whose proofs are postponed to later sections for clarity.

Recall that for any $c \in T$ the subalgebra generated by c is associative, so c^n is a well defined element of $U(T)$. In fact, $(c^i, c^j, x) = 0$ for any $x \in U(T)$ [3].

Lemma 2.1. *For any $n \geq 0$ and $a, b, c \in T$, we have*

$$(c^n, a, b) \equiv nc^{n-1}(c, a, b) \pmod{U(T)_{n-2}}.$$

The meaning of this lemma is better understood by defining $R_{a,b}: T \rightarrow T$, $c \mapsto [c, a, b]$ or its extension to the whole $U(T)$

$$R_{a,b}(x) = -2(x, a, b).$$

These maps preserve the filtration of $U(T)$, so they induce corresponding maps $R_{a,b}^{\text{gr}}$ of zero degree on the graded algebra $\text{Gr}(U(T)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} U(T)_n/U(T)_{n-1}$, which is isomorphic to $S(T)$. Since the powers $\{c^n \mid c \in T, n \geq 0\}$ span $S(T)$, Lemma 2.1 says that $R_{a,b}^{\text{gr}}$ is the derivation on $S(T)$ induced by the map $c \mapsto [c, a, b]$ on T . The unifying feature of simple L.t.s. that we use in our proof is recorded in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let T be a finite-dimensional simple L.t.s. over a field of characteristic zero. Then $\text{End}(T)$ is the Lie algebra generated by the maps $R_{a,b}: c \mapsto [c, a, b]$ ($a, b \in T$).*

Any finite-dimensional simple L.t.s. contains a two-dimensional subsystem $S_2 = \text{span}\langle e, f \rangle$ with the product given by

$$[e, f, e] = 2e \quad \text{and} \quad [e, f, f] = -2f.$$

We can reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case $T = S_2$ as follows. Any nonzero right ideal I of $U(T)$ is filtered by $I_n = I \cap U(T)_n$ so it gives rise to a (two-sided) graded ideal

$$I^{\text{gr}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (I_n + U(T)_{n-1})/U(T)_{n-1} \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n/I_{n-1}$$

that is stable under the derivations $R_{a,b}^{\text{gr}}$ for $a, b \in T$. By Theorem 2.2, the action of these operators on $\text{Gr}(T)$ can be identified with the natural action of $\text{End}(T)$ on $S(T)$. This module decomposes as direct sum of irreducible modules $S(T) \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V(n\lambda_1)$ where $V(n\lambda_1)$ corresponds to the homogeneous polynomials of degree n . Since I^{gr} is a submodule as well as a graded ideal then there must exist N such that

$$I^{\text{gr}} = \bigoplus_{n=N}^{\infty} U(T)_n/U(T)_{n-1}.$$

In terms of I we get the decomposition

$$U(T) = U(T)_{N-1} \oplus I \tag{4}$$

which shows that the codimension of I is finite. Therefore, given a subsystem $S_2 = \text{span}\langle e, f \rangle \subseteq T$, the right ideal $I \cap U(S_2) \subseteq U(S_2) \subseteq U(T)$ has finite codimension in $U(S_2)$. Assuming the truth of Theorem 1.1 in the case of S_2 , we get that $I \cap T \neq 0$. Since T is simple this intersection generates all T under the action of the operators $R_{a,b}$. Thus, I is either the augmentation ideal or the whole $U(T)$ as desired.

3. Proof of Lemma 2.1

The main tool in computing products in $U(T)$ is the operator identity

$$L_{ax+xa} = L_a L_x + L_x L_a \tag{5}$$

for all $a \in T$ and $x \in U(T)$ [3]. To use it we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} ac^n &= ac \cdot c^{n-1} - (a, c, c^{n-1}) = ca \cdot c^{n-1} - (a, c, c^{n-1}) \\ &= c \cdot ac^{n-1} + (c, a, c^{n-1}) - (a, c, c^{n-1}) = c \cdot ac^{n-1} - 2(a, c, c^{n-1}) \\ &= -2(a, c, c^{n-1}) - 2c \cdot (a, c, c^{n-2}) - \dots - 2c^{n-2}(a, c, c) + c^n a, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$c^n a = \frac{1}{2}(ac^n + c^n a) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c^i (a, c, c^{n-1-i}).$$

The associator (c^n, a, b) is then written as

$$(c^n, a, b) = \frac{1}{2}L_{ac^n+c^na}(b) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{c^i(a,c,c^{n-1-i})}(b) - L_{c^n}L_a(b)$$

that by (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (c^n, a, b) &= \frac{1}{2}[L_a, L_{c^n}](b) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{c^i(a,c,c^{n-1-i})}(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L_c^i[L_a, L_c]L_c^{n-1-i}(b) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{c^i(a,c,c^{n-1-i})}(b) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $L_{c^n} = L_c^n$. Since $D_{a,b} = [L_a, L_b]$ is a derivation of $U(T)$ [3] we get

$$\begin{aligned} (c^n, a, b) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^i D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i}b) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c^i(a, c, c^{n-1-i}) \cdot b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^i \cdot D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i})b + c^i \cdot c^{n-1-i}[a, c, b]\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c^i(a, c, c^{n-1-i}) \cdot b \\ &= \frac{n}{2}c^{n-1}[a, c, b] + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c^i \cdot D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i})b \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c^i D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i}) \cdot b \\ &= \frac{n}{2}c^{n-1}[a, c, b] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (c^i, D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i}), b). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The derivation $D_{a,c}$ preserves the filtration of $U(T)$ so $(c^i, D_{a,c}(c^{n-1-i}), b)$ lies inside $U(T)_{n-2}$ and $(c^n, a, b) \equiv \frac{n}{2}c^{n-1}[a, c, b] = -nc^{n-1}(a, c, b) = nc^{n-1}(c, a, b)$ modulo $U(T)_{n-2}$.

4. The two–dimensional case

The case $T = S_2$ is simple and illustrative because we can perform computations in the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis $\{e^i f^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$. In this case Theorem 2.2 encodes the following correspondence between maps and coordinate matrices in the basis $\{e, f\}$:

$$R_{e,e} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R_{e,f} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, R_{f,e} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R_{f,f} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fix I a nonzero right ideal of $U(S_2)$. By (4), I contains an element of the form $e^N + \alpha_1 e^{N-1} + \dots + \alpha_{N-1} e + \alpha_N$. Moreover, since (6) implies that

$R_{f,e}(e^n) = -2(e^n, f, e) = 2ne^n$ then I must contain the power e^N , although $e^{N-1} \notin I$. The result is a consequence of the following proposition which shows that in this situation $N = 1$ or $N = 0$, so I is either the augmentation ideal or the whole $U(S_2)$.

Proposition 4.1. *In $U(S_2)$ we have that $(e^n, f, f)e = ne^n f - n(n-1)e^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. The cases $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ are obvious, so we assume that $n \geq 2$. On one hand, $(e^n, f, f)e$ is an eigenvector of $D_{e,f}$ with eigenvalue $2n - 2$, so it is a linear combination of $\{e^{n-1+i}f^i \mid i \geq 0\}$. Since it also belongs to $U(S_2)_{n+1}$, we deduce that $(e^n, f, f)e = \alpha e^n f + \beta e^{n-1}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F$. On the other hand, by (6) $(e^n, f, f)e = ne^{n-1}f \cdot e = ne^n f - n[e, e^{n-1}f]$ and, by Lemma 24 in [3], this is congruent to $ne^n f - n(n-1)e^{n-1}$ modulo $U(S_2)_{n-3}$. Therefore, $\alpha = n$ and $\beta = -n(n-1)$. ■

5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let T be a finite-dimensional simple L.t.s. and $L = L(T) = \text{InnDer}(T) \oplus T$ be its standard embedding Lie algebra. The results of the previous section allow us to assume that $\dim T \geq 3$. By [2] $L(T)$ is a semisimple Lie algebra so its Killing form $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is nondegenerate. The corresponding automorphism σ of order 2 preserves the Killing form so $\text{InnDer}(T)$ and T are orthogonal and the restriction of $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ to these subspaces is nondegenerate. The adjoint of $R_{a,b} = \text{ad}_b \text{ad}_a|_T$ relative to $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $R_{b,a}$ and

$$2 \text{tr}(R_{a,b}) = 2 \text{tr}(\text{ad}_b \text{ad}_a|_T) = K(a, b).$$

As an $\text{InnDer}(T)$ -module, T is either irreducible or it decomposes into a direct sum of two irreducible submodules $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ with the further restriction that $[T_1, T_1] = 0 = [T_2, T_2]$. In the latter case the center $Z(\text{InnDer}(T))$ of $\text{InnDer}(T)$ is one-dimensional and it is spanned by the map acting as the identity Id on T_1 and as $-\text{Id}$ on T_2 . The skew-symmetry of this map with respect to the Killing form implies that T_1 and T_2 are isotropic relative to the Killing form, so $T_2 \cong T_1^*$, the dual module of T_1 .

One important property of simple L.t.s. that we will use is that for any $0 \neq x_\eta \in T_\eta$ with η a nonzero weight of T relative to some Cartan subalgebra of $\text{InnDer}(T)$ there exists $x_{-\eta} \in T_{-\eta}$ such that $\text{span}\langle x_\eta, x_{-\eta}, [x_\eta, x_{-\eta}] \rangle$ is a three-dimensional Lie algebra isomorphic to $sl(2)$ [1]. In particular, $[x_\eta, x_{-\eta}, x_\eta] \neq 0$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the Lie algebra generated by $\{R_{a,b} \mid a, b \in T\}$. We will use the notation

$$\tau_{x,y} : z \mapsto K(y, z)x, \quad \sigma_{x,y} = \tau_{x,y} + \tau_{y,x}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{x,y} = \tau_{x,y} - \tau_{y,x}.$$

Assume that T is a $\text{InnDer}(T)$ -irreducible module. Fix μ to be the highest weight of T relative to a basis of a root system of $\text{InnDer}(T)$ and $0 \neq x_\mu \in T_\mu$. For any weight η of T and $x_\eta \in T_\eta$, $[x_\eta, x_\mu, x_\mu] \in T_{2\mu+\eta}$ so it vanishes if $\eta \neq -\mu$. Since in case that $\eta = -\mu$ we have already noticed that $[x_{-\mu}, x_\mu, x_\mu] \neq 0$, then

R_{x_μ, x_μ} is a nonzero multiple of τ_{x_μ, x_μ} . Therefore, τ_{x_μ, x_μ} as well as $\tau_{x_{-\mu}, x_{-\mu}}$ and $\lambda_{x_\mu, x_{-\mu}} = K(x_\mu, x_{-\mu})^{-1}[\tau_{x_\mu, x_\mu}, \tau_{x_{-\mu}, x_{-\mu}}]$ belong to \mathcal{L} .

For any map $d \in so(T)$ skew-symmetric with respect to the Killing form we have that $[d, \tau_{x, y}] = \tau_{d(x), y} + \tau_{x, d(y)}$ so by using root vectors of positive roots, and due to the irreducibility of T , we easily get that $\lambda_{x_\mu, y} \in \mathcal{L}$ for any $y \in T$. From this we can obtain that $so(T) = \text{span}\langle \lambda_{x, y} \mid x, y \in T \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

The traceless map $0 \neq R_{x_\mu, x_\mu} \in \mathcal{L}$ is symmetric with respect to the Killing form. By the usual decomposition of $sl(T)$ into the direct sum of two irreducible submodules (symmetric and skew-symmetric maps) with respect to the action of $so(T)$ by commutation it follows that $sl(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Since $2 \text{tr}(R_{x, x}) = K(x, x)$ then \mathcal{L} also contains the identity, so $\mathcal{L} = \text{End}(T)$.

Let us assume now that T is not irreducible. We have

$$\text{End}(T) = \text{End}(T)_0 \oplus \text{End}(T)_1$$

with

$$\text{End}(R)_0 = \{f \in \text{End}(T) \mid f(T_j) \subseteq T_j, j = 1, 2\}$$

(even maps) and

$$\text{End}(R)_1 = \{f \in \text{End}(T) \mid f(T_j) \subseteq T_{3-j}, j = 1, 2\}$$

(odd maps).

Fix μ to be the highest weight of T_1 with respect to a basis of a root system of $\text{InnDer}(T)$, $0 \neq x_\mu \in (T_1)_\mu$ and $0 \neq x_{-\mu} \in (T_2)_{-\mu}$ (recall that $T_2 \cong T_1^*$). Since $[T_1, T_1] = 0$ and $T_2 \cong (T_1)^*$ then $[x_\eta, x_\mu, x_\mu] = 0$ for any weight $\eta \neq -\mu$. As before, this implies that $\lambda_{x_\mu, x_{-\mu}} \in \mathcal{L}$ which ultimately gives that

$$so(T)_0 := so(T) \cap \text{End}(T)_0 = \lambda_{T_1, T_2} \subseteq \mathcal{L}.$$

The dimension of T_1 is ≥ 2 , so under commutation $\text{End}(T)_0$ is the sum of $so(T)_0$ and two irreducible $so(T)_0$ -modules: the traceless even symmetric maps and the scalar maps. As above $\{R_{x, y} + R_{y, x} \mid x \in T_1, y \in T_2\}$ is not contained inside $sl(T)$, so $\text{Id} \in \mathcal{L}$. In order to prove that $\text{End}_0(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ we only have to check that $\mathcal{L}_0 \neq so(T)_0 \oplus F \text{Id}$. By the contrary, if $R_{x, y} + R_{y, x} \subseteq F \text{Id}$ for any $x \in T_1, y \in T_2$ then taking traces we obtain that $R_{x, y} + R_{y, x} = \frac{K(x, y)}{\dim T} \text{Id}$. Given $x' \in T_1$, by (2)

$$\frac{K(x, y)}{\dim T} x' = [x', x, y] + [x', y, x] = [x', y, x] = [x, y, x'] = \frac{K(x', y)}{\dim T} x$$

which contradicts that $\dim T_1 \geq 2$. Therefore, $\text{End}_0(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

$\text{End}_1(T)$ decomposes as the direct sum of two irreducible submodules under the action of $\text{End}_0(T)$ by commutation, namely those maps that kill T_1 and those that kill T_2 . Since R_{x_μ, x_μ} and $R_{x_{-\mu}, x_{-\mu}}$ are nonzero elements of these types then $\text{End}_1(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, so $\mathcal{L} = \text{End}(T)$ as desired.

References

- [1] Faulkner, J. R., *Dynkin diagrams for Lie triple systems*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 384–1013.

- [2] Lister, W. G., *A structure theory of Lie triple systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 217–242.
- [3] Mostovoy, J., and J. M. Pérez–Izquierdo, *Ideals in non–associative universal enveloping algebras of Lie triple systems*, math.RA/0506179.
- [4] Pérez–Izquierdo, J. M., *Algebras, hyperalgebras, nonassociative bialgebras and loops*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), 834–876.
- [5] Shestakov, I. P., and U. U. Umirbaev, *Free Akivis algebras, primitive elements, and hyperalgebras*, J. Algebra **250** (2002), 533–548.
- [6] Sweedler, E. M., “Hopf algebras”, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1969.

José M. Pérez–Izquierdo
Dpto. Matemáticas y Computación
Universidad de La Rioja
26004 Logroño
Spain
jm.perez@unirioja.es

Received July 12, 2007
and in final form March 17, 2008