

The Lattice Subgroups Conjecture

Amira Ghorbel and Hatem Hamrouni

Communicated by E. B. Vinberg

Abstract. It has been conjectured by L. Corwin and F. P. Greenleaf that if Γ is a lattice subgroup of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G then $\log(\Gamma)$ is a Lie ring. In this note we show that this conjecture holds.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 22E40.

Key Words and Phrases: Nilpotent Lie group, discrete uniform subgroup, lattice subgroup, rational structure..

Let G be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . A discrete uniform subgroup Γ of G is called lattice subgroup if $\log(\Gamma)$ is an additive subgroup of \mathfrak{g} ([6]). In 1976, L. Corwin and F. P. Greenleaf in [2, page 141] (see also [1, page 222]) proposed the following

Conjecture 1 (The lattice subgroups conjecture). If Γ is a lattice subgroup of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G then $\log(\Gamma)$ is a Lie ring (i.e., for all X, Y in $\log(\Gamma)$, we have $[X, Y] \in \log(\Gamma)$).

Using the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula, it is clear that the conjecture holds when G is k -step connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group for $k \leq 4$ ([1, page 222]). But the problem in general remained open. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *The lattice subgroups conjecture holds.*

Before we begin with the proof we need the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 3 ([1]). Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra and let $\mathcal{B} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} . We say that \mathcal{B} is a strong Malcev basis for \mathfrak{g} if

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_i\}$$

is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let Γ be a discrete uniform subgroup of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G . A strong Malcev basis (X_1, \dots, X_n) for \mathfrak{g} is said to be

based on Γ if

$$\Gamma = \exp \mathbb{Z}X_1 \cdots \exp \mathbb{Z}X_n.$$

Such a basis always exists (see [1], [5]).

Let G be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group and let \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra. We say that \mathfrak{g} (or G) has a *rational structure* if there is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over \mathbb{Q} such that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that \mathfrak{g} has a rational structure if and only if \mathfrak{g} has an \mathbb{R} -basis (X_1, \dots, X_n) with rational structure constants.

Let \mathfrak{g} have a fixed rational structure given by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let \mathfrak{h} be an \mathbb{R} -subspace of \mathfrak{g} . Define $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We say that \mathfrak{h} is *rational* if $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} \}$, and that a connected, closed subgroup H of G is *rational* if its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is rational.

If G has a discrete uniform subgroup Γ , then \mathfrak{g} (hence G) has a rational structure such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}\text{-span} \{ \log(\Gamma) \}$. Conversely, if \mathfrak{g} has a rational structure given by some \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then G has a discrete uniform subgroup Γ such that $\log(\Gamma) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see [1] and [5]). If we endow G with the rational structure induced by a uniform subgroup Γ and if H is a Lie subgroup of G , then H is rational if and only if $H \cap \Gamma$ is a discrete uniform subgroup of H .

A proof of the next result can be found in Proposition 5.3.2 of [1].

Lemma 4. *Let G be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and let Γ be a discrete uniform subgroup of G . Let $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}_k = \mathfrak{g}$ be rational ideals of \mathfrak{g} with $\dim(\mathfrak{h}_i) = m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then there exists a strong Malcev basis (X_1, \dots, X_n) for \mathfrak{g} based on Γ such that for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{ X_1, \dots, X_{m_i} \}.$$

A basis satisfying Lemma 4 is called strong Malcev basis for \mathfrak{g} based on Γ passing through $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_k$.

Lemma 5 ([2], Lemma 3.9). *If Γ is a lattice subgroup of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ and (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a strong Malcev basis of \mathfrak{g} based on Γ , then (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for the additive lattice $\log(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.*

The next lemma is the rational version of Kirillov’s lemma ([4, Lemma 4.1], [3, Lemma 1]).

Lemma 6. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra with one dimensional center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

- (1) *Then there exists a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathbb{R}Y \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, with $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}Y \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ being the centralizer of Y in \mathfrak{g} .*
- (2) *Furthermore, if $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ has a discrete uniform subgroup Γ , we may choose Y in $\log(\Gamma)$, so that $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathbb{R}Y \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and \mathfrak{g}_0 will be rational ideals of \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 2] Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group and Γ a lattice subgroup of G . Let $\Lambda = \log(\Gamma)$. The proof

is by induction on the dimension of \mathfrak{g} . If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose then that the result has been proved for all the groups of dimensions less than n and that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n + 1$. Let (X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) be a strong Malcev basis for \mathfrak{g} strongly based on Γ passing through the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} (see Lemma 4). We shall prove that $[X_i, X_j] \in \Lambda$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ such that $i > j$.

There are two cases to consider.

Case 1: $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})) \geq 2$. For $k = 1, 2$, let

$$\mathfrak{a}_k = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{X_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_k = \exp \mathfrak{a}_k.$$

Also let

$$p_k : G \longrightarrow G/A_k \quad \text{and} \quad dp_k : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}_k,$$

the canonical projections. Since A_k is a rational normal subgroup of G , then $p_k(\Gamma)$ is a lattice subgroup of G/A_k ([1, Lemma 5.1.4]). Now the inductive hypothesis says that

$$dp_k([X, Y]) \in \log(p_k(\Gamma)), \quad \forall k = 1, 2.$$

Consequently, there exist $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Lambda$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[X_i, X_j] = \gamma_1 + t_1 X_1 = \gamma_2 + t_2 X_2.$$

On the other hand, we have $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}X_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}X_{n+1}$, by Lemma 5. Then $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $[X_i, X_j] \in \Lambda$.

Case 2: $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})) = 1$. Using Lemma 6 we can suppose that the basis (X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) passes through \mathfrak{z}_0 and \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let \mathfrak{a} be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by X_i, X_j and $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$. First suppose that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{g}$. As \mathfrak{a} is rational, then $A \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice subgroup of A and therefore the induction hypothesis says that $[X_i, X_j] \in \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{a}$, in particular $[X_i, X_j] \in \Lambda$. Finally, we consider the case when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$. In this situation, we have $(i, j) = (n + 1, n)$. Let's write

$$[X_{n+1}, X_n] = \alpha X_{n-1} + \dots + \beta X_1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ we conclude that $\alpha \neq 0$. On the other hand, as $X_2 \in \mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathbb{R}Y \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Lemma 6) and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}X_1$, then there exists $(z, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $X_2 = zX_1 + yY$. Therefore, it is easy to check that \mathfrak{g}_0 is the centralizer of X_2 in \mathfrak{g} . Consequently, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that

$$[X_{n+1}, X_2] = aX_1.$$

Let the mapping $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X_{n+1}) &= X_{n+1} \\ \phi(X_n) &= X_n - \frac{\beta}{a} X_2 \\ \phi(X_{n-1}) &= X_{n-1} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} X_1 \\ \phi(X_i) &= X_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[X_2, \mathfrak{g}_0] = \{0\}$ then the mapping ϕ is a Lie algebra automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Using ϕ we can suppose that $\beta = 0$ (if not, replacing Γ by $\Phi(\Gamma)$ where Φ is the unique group automorphism of G such that the derivative of Φ at identity is ϕ). Let

$$p : G \longrightarrow G/Z(G) \quad \text{and} \quad dp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$$

be the canonical projections. As above, since $Z(G)$ is a normal rational subgroup of G then $p(\Gamma)$ is a lattice subgroup of $G/Z(G)$. We have by the induction hypothesis

$$dp([X_{n+1}, X_n]) \in \log(p(\Gamma)).$$

Consequently, there exist $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[X_{n+1}, X_n] = \gamma + tX_1. \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) and the condition $\beta = 0$ imply that $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore $[X_{n+1}, X_n] \in \Lambda$. This proves the theorem. ■

References

- [1] Corwin L., and F. P. Greenleaf, "Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part 1: Basic theory and examples," Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math., Vol. **18**, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1989.
- [2] —, *Character formulas and spectra of compact nilmanifolds*, J. Func. Analysis **21** (1976), 123–154.
- [3] Howe R., *On Frobenius reciprocity for unipotent algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}* , Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 163–172.
- [4] Kirillov A. A., *Représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents*, Uspekhi Math. Nauk **17** (1962), 57–110.
- [5] Malcev A. I., *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **39** (1951).
- [6] Moore C. C., *Decomposition of unitary representations defined by discrete subgroups of nilpotent Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 146–182.

A. Ghorbel and H. Hamrouni
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Sciences at Sfax
 Route Soukra, B.P. 1171. 3000 Sfax
 Tunisia
 Amira.Ghorbel@fss.rnu.tn
 hatemhamrouni@voila.fr

Received August 22, 2009
 and in final form September 13, 2009