

A Remark on Pillen’s Theorem for Projective Indecomposable $kG(n)$ -Modules

Yutaka Yoshii

Communicated by K.-H. Neeb

Abstract. Let g be a connected, semisimple and simply connected algebraic group defined and split over the finite field of order p , and let $g(n)$ be the corresponding finite Chevalley group and g_n the n -th Frobenius kernel. Pillen has proved that for a $3(h-1)$ -deep and p^n -restricted weight λ , the G -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ which is extended from the G_n -PIM for λ has the same socle series as the corresponding $kG(n)$ -PIM $U_n(\lambda)$. Here we remark that this fact already holds for λ being $2(h-1)$ -deep.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 20C33; 20G05; 20G15.

Key Words and Phrases: Loewy series, projective indecomposable modules, $2(h-1)$ -deep weights.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected, semisimple and simply connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ which is defined and split over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $F : G \rightarrow G$ be the n -th Frobenius map, and let G_n be the (scheme-theoretic) kernel of F , which is called the n -th Frobenius kernel. The finite subgroup consisting of all fixed points of F on G is denoted by $G(n)$ and called a finite Chevalley group.

In order to study the projective indecomposable $kG(n)$ -modules $U_n(\lambda)$, it is effective to consider the corresponding projective indecomposable G_n -modules $Q_n(\lambda)$. When p is not "too small", any $Q_n(\lambda)$ can be extended to a certain G -module and is a direct sum of some U_n 's as a $kG(n)$ -module. The multiplicities of U_n 's in $Q_n(\lambda)$ are completely determined by Jantzen and Chastkofsky (see Proposition 2.2). Moreover, $Q_n(\lambda)$ also plays an important role when we study the Loewy series of $U_n(\lambda)$. Indeed, when $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, k)$, Andersen, Jørgensen and Landrock [2, Theorem 4.3] have proved that the Loewy series of the $kG(n)$ -PIM $U_n(\lambda)$ is obtained from that of the G -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ if $p \nmid \lambda + 1$. When G is arbitrary, the situation gets more complicated. However, Pillen has proved that a similar fact holds if λ is $3(h-1)$ -deep [9, Theorem 3.3], where h is the Coxeter number of G .

In this paper, we extend this result of Pillen's to the case of $2(h-1)$ -deep weight λ :

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that a p^n -restricted weight λ is $2(h-1)$ -deep. Then we have $\text{soc}_G^i Q_n(\lambda) = \text{soc}_{G(n)}^i U_n(\lambda)$ and $\text{rad}_G^i Q_n(\lambda) = \text{rad}_{G(n)}^i U_n(\lambda)$ for each i .*

Actually, most of the results written in [9, §3] can be extended to the $2(h-1)$ -deep case as the theorem. These are argued in the section 3. The method of the proof is essentially similar to Pillen's, but we need a little modification because in this $2(h-1)$ -deep case the various modules $Q_n(\mu)$ which appear in the proof are not always indecomposable as $kG(n)$ -modules. The key point is that the formula on the multiplicity of $U_n(\mu)$ in $Q_n(\lambda)$ with $\mu \neq \lambda$ limits severely the weight μ .

2. Preliminaries

Let G be the one as in the introduction, but for simplicity we assume that G is (almost) simple for the rest of the paper. The results can be easily extended to the semisimple case. Let T be a maximal split torus of G . Let $X = X(T)$ be the character group, Φ the root system relative to G and T , and Φ^+ the set of positive roots. Let Δ be the set of simple roots. Let α_0 be the highest short root. On the euclidean space $\mathbb{E} = X \otimes \mathbb{R}$ we can define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is invariant under the action of the Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we set $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and call it the coroot of α . Let ρ be half the sum of all positive roots, and set $h = \langle \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 1$, which is called the Coxeter number. The elements of the subsets

$$X^+ = \{\lambda \in X \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

and

$$X_n = \{\lambda \in X^+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p^n, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

are called the dominant weights and the p^n -restricted weights respectively. X^+ parametrizes the simple (rational) G -modules, and let $L(\lambda)$ denote the simple G -module of highest weight $\lambda \in X^+$. For $\lambda \in X^+$, we can write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p^n \lambda_1$ uniquely with $\lambda_0 \in X_n$ and $\lambda_1 \in X^+$, and then we have $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1)$ as G -modules (see [7, II 3.16 Proposition]). X_n parametrizes the simple $kG(n)$ -modules and they are obtained by restricting the simple G -modules $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in X_n$ to $G(n)$. For $\lambda \in X^+$ let $V(\lambda)$ be the Weyl G -module with highest weight λ .

If V is a G -module, we denote by $\text{wt}(V)$ the set of all distinct weights of V , and denote by $[V : L]_G$ the multiplicity of a simple G -module L in the composition factors of V . For $\lambda \in \text{wt}(V)$ let

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid tv = \lambda(t)v, \forall t \in T\}$$

be the weight space of λ in V . Set $\text{ch}(V) = \sum_{\lambda \in X} (\dim V_\lambda) e(\lambda)$, which is called the formal character of V , where $\{e(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X\}$ is the canonical basis of the group ring

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$. We denote by $\text{soc}_G^i V$ (resp. $\text{soc}_{G(n)}^i V$) the i -th G - (resp. $kG(n)$ -) socle of a module V , and similarly by $\text{rad}_G^i V$ (resp. $\text{rad}_{G(n)}^i V$) the i -th G - (resp. $kG(n)$ -) radical of V . For $\lambda \in X_n$, let $Q_n(\lambda)$ (resp. $U_n(\lambda)$) be the G_n - (resp. $kG(n)$ -) projective cover of $L(\lambda)$. It is well known that any projective indecomposable G_n -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ can be uniquely extended to a G -module if $p \geq 2(h - 1)$ (see [5, §4]). Then the G -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ is also projective as a $kG(n)$ -module and has a summand isomorphic to $U_n(\lambda)$ with multiplicity one.

Let W_p be the affine Weyl group. W_p acts on X as the dot action $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ for $w \in W_p$ and $\lambda \in X$.

We call that $\lambda \in X$ is a -deep ($a > 0$) if $p|\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle + c$ implies $|c| \geq a$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and that a G -module V is a -deep if any simple composition factor of V has an a -deep highest weight. To argue the main results, we use several facts on G -modules.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda, \nu \in X^+$ with λ lying in an alcove A .*

(1) (cf. [4, Lemma]) *Suppose that $\lambda + \gamma$ lies in the closure of the alcove A for any $\gamma \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))$. Then*

$$L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi} (\dim L(\nu)_{\pi}) L(\lambda + \pi)$$

as G -modules, where π runs over all distinct weights of $L(\nu)$ with $\lambda + \pi$ lying in the upper closure of A .

(2) ([8, Lemma 5.1 (2)]) *Suppose that $p \geq 2(h - 1)$ and that $\lambda + \gamma$ lies in the alcove A for any $\gamma \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))$. Then*

$$Q_n(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_{\pi}) Q_n(\lambda + \pi)$$

as G -modules.

Proof. We shall prove only (1). For convenience, we set $V(\lambda) = 0$ when $\lambda \notin X^+$. By Brauer’s formula and [7, II Proposition 7.11], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(V(w \cdot \lambda)) \text{ch}(L(\nu)) &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_{\pi}) \text{ch}(V(w \cdot \lambda + \pi)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_{\pi}) \text{ch}(T_{\lambda}^{\lambda + \pi} V(w \cdot \lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

for each $w \in W_p$ with $w \cdot \lambda \in X^+$, where T_{λ}^{μ} is the translation functor from λ to μ .

Now we can write $\text{ch}(L(\lambda)) = \sum_{w \in W_p, w \cdot \lambda \in X^+} b_{w \cdot \lambda} \text{ch}(V(w \cdot \lambda))$, where

$b_{w \cdot \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the sum is finite. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu)) &= \sum_{w \in W_p, w \cdot \lambda \in X^+} b_{w \cdot \lambda} \text{ch}(V(w \cdot \lambda)) \text{ch}(L(\nu)) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W_p, w \cdot \lambda \in X^+} b_{w \cdot \lambda} \sum_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_\pi) \text{ch}(T_\lambda^{\lambda+\pi} V(w \cdot \lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_\pi) \sum_{w \in W_p, w \cdot \lambda \in X^+} b_{w \cdot \lambda} \text{ch}(T_\lambda^{\lambda+\pi} V(w \cdot \lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{wt}(L(\nu))} (\dim L(\nu)_\pi) \text{ch}(T_\lambda^{\lambda+\pi} L(\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the exactness of the translation functors. Now by [7, II Proposition 7.15] $\text{ch}(T_\lambda^{\lambda+\pi} L(\lambda))$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda + \pi)$ if $\lambda + \pi$ in the upper closure of the alcove A , and otherwise zero. Since the highest weights of any two non-isomorphic composition factors of $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu)$ are not linked and since self-extensions of simple G -modules do not exist, the tensor product must be semisimple as a G -module by the linkage principle, and hence the claim follows. ■

The following proposition shows that the multiplicity $(Q_n(\lambda) : U_n(\mu))$ of a $kG(n)$ -PIM $U_n(\mu)$ in the restriction (to $G(n)$) of the G -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ is obtained in terms of multiplicities of G -composition factors:

Proposition 2.2. ([6, 2.10 Corollar 2], [3, §3 Corollary 2])
Suppose that $p \geq 2(h - 1)$. Then

$$(Q_n(\lambda) : U_n(\mu)) = \sum_{\nu \in X^+} [L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu) : L(\lambda + p^n \nu)]_G$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in X_n$.

Remark. Using this proposition, Pillen proves that if λ is $(h - 1)$ -deep, then $Q_n(\lambda) = U_n(\lambda)$ (see [8, Lemma 6.1 (1)]).

3. Main results

The main results are obtained as consequences of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a $2(h - 1)$ -deep G -module. Suppose that V satisfies $\langle \xi, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \leq 2(p^n - 1)(h - 1)$ for any $\xi \in \text{wt}(V)$. Then $\text{soc}_G^i V = \text{soc}_{G(n)}^i V$ for each i .*

This is a "refinement" of Pillen's [9, Lemma 3.1]. Though the weight assumption here is a bit stronger than the p^n -boundedness (i.e. $\langle \xi, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle < 2p^n(h - 1)$) there, it does not affect the proofs of the results.

Proof. Since any quotient G -module of V also satisfies all of the hypotheses of V , it suffices to prove that $\text{soc}_G V = \text{soc}_{G(n)} V$ because of the induction on i .

Suppose that $\text{soc}_G V \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1)$, where $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p^n \lambda_1$ with $\lambda_0 \in X_n$, $\lambda_1 \in X^+$ and \mathcal{X} is a set of dominant weights (but respecting multiplicities). As in the proof of [1, Lemma 2.2] or [9, Lemma 3.1], the embedding

$$\text{soc}_G V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} Q_n(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1)$$

can be extended to all of V .

The inclusion $\text{soc}_G V \subseteq \text{soc}_{G(n)} V$ follows from the fact that each $L(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}$ is semisimple as a $kG(n)$ -module. Indeed, $L(p^n \lambda_1)$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda_1)$ as a $kG(n)$ -module and the hypothesis of the weights of V implies $\langle \lambda_1, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 2(h-1)$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and then the G -module $L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1)$ is p^n -restricted and semisimple by Proposition 2.1 (1) since λ_0 is $2(h-1)$ -deep.

Again by Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}_G V &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{wt}(L(\lambda_1))} (\dim L(\lambda_1)_\nu) L(\lambda_0 + \nu) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} Q_n(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1) &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} Q_n(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{wt}(L(\lambda_1))} (\dim L(\lambda_1)_\nu) Q_n(\lambda_0 + \nu) \end{aligned}$$

as $kG(n)$ -modules. Note that each $L(\lambda_0 + \nu)$ is mapped into $Q_n(\lambda_0 + \nu)$ under the compositions of these isomorphisms and the embedding

$$\text{soc}_G V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} Q_n(\lambda_0) \otimes L(p^n \lambda_1).$$

Suppose that $\text{soc}_G V \neq \text{soc}_{G(n)} V$. Then there exists a $kG(n)$ -composition factor $L(\zeta)$ of $\text{soc}_{G(n)} V$ with $\zeta \in X_n$ which is not contained in $\text{soc}_G V$. By Proposition 2.2, each $Q_n(\lambda_0 + \nu)$ (considered as a $kG(n)$ -module) can be written as

$$Q_n(\lambda_0 + \nu) = U_n(\lambda_0 + \nu) \oplus (\text{a direct sum of some } U_n(\eta)\text{'s with } \eta \neq \lambda_0 + \nu).$$

It follows that there exist $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\nu \in X^+$ with $\lambda_0 + \nu \neq \zeta$ such that $(Q_n(\lambda_0 + \nu) : U_n(\zeta)) \neq 0$. Again by Proposition 2.2, there exists a nonzero weight $\gamma \in X^+$ such that $L(\lambda_0 + \nu + p^n \gamma)$ occurs at least once as a G -composition factor of $L(\zeta) \otimes L(\gamma)$. Note that $\zeta - (p^n - 1)\gamma \geq \lambda_0 + \nu$. The simple $kG(n)$ -module $L(\zeta)$ is a $kG(n)$ -composition factor of the restriction of a certain G -composition factor $L(\mu) = L(\mu_0) \otimes L(p^n \mu_1)$ of V . Now we claim that $\langle \mu_1 + \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle > 2(h-1)$. Indeed, if $\langle \mu_1 + \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \leq 2(h-1)$, then the G -module $L(\mu_1) \otimes L(\gamma)$ is semisimple and has the highest weight $\mu_1 + \gamma$. Then the $2(h-1)$ -deepness of μ_0 and Proposition 2.1

(1) implies that the G -module $L(\mu_0) \otimes L(\mu_1) \otimes L(\gamma)$ (hence $L(\zeta) \otimes L(\gamma)$) must be p^n -restricted, and hence $L(\lambda_0 + \nu + p^n\gamma)$ does not appear in the composition factors of $L(\zeta) \otimes L(\gamma)$, which is contradiction. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mu, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle &= \langle \mu_0 + p^n \mu_1, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \\
 &= \langle \mu_0 + \mu_1, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + (p^n - 1) \langle \mu_1, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \\
 &\geq \langle \zeta, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + (p^n - 1) \langle \mu_1 + \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle - (p^n - 1) \langle \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \\
 &= \langle \zeta - (p^n - 1)\gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + (p^n - 1) \langle \mu_1 + \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \\
 &> \langle \lambda_0 + \nu, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 2(p^n - 1)(h - 1) \\
 &\geq 2(p^n - 1)(h - 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the weight hypothesis of V . ■

Remarks. (1) We can easily check that this lemma holds for not only Chevalley groups but also twisted groups, because there is a twisted analogue to Proposition 2.2 (see [6, 2.10 Corollar 2]).

(2) If $n = 1$ and G is of type A_2 or B_2 , then the lemma holds if any composition factor of V has a highest weight $\mu = \mu_0 + p\mu_1$ such that μ_0 and $\mu_0 + w\mu_1$ lie in the same alcove for any $w \in W$. Indeed, in these cases it is known that if $(Q_1(\lambda) : U_1(\zeta)) \neq 0$ with $\lambda \neq \zeta$, then $\langle \zeta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = p - 1$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$ (see [1, 4.2, 4.3 and 5.2]), hence ζ is p -singular. This fact implies that the composition factor $L(\zeta)$ in the proof does not occur.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.1.) Since $p \geq 2(h - 1)$, the G_n -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ can be uniquely extended to an indecomposable G -module. By the linkage principle, the G -module $Q_n(\lambda)$ is $2(h - 1)$ -deep. The highest weight of $Q_n(\lambda)$ is $2(p^n - 1)\rho + w_0\lambda$ and then we have

$$\langle 2(p^n - 1)\rho + w_0\lambda, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle = 2(p^n - 1)(h - 1) - \langle \lambda, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \leq 2(p^n - 1)(h - 1).$$

Therefore, $Q_n(\lambda)$ satisfies all the hypotheses of V in Lemma 3.1, and we get $\text{soc}_G^i Q_n(\lambda) = \text{soc}_{G(n)}^i Q_n(\lambda)$ for each i . Now the result for the socle series follows from the remark of Proposition 2.2. The result for the radical series follows immediately from duality. ■

Remark. As in [9, Theorem 3.3 (2)], if $n = 1$ and we assume Lusztig's conjecture for G , then $U_1(\lambda)$ is rigid for any $2(h - 1)$ -deep λ .

Let $M_n(\lambda)$ be a principal series module for $\lambda \in X_n$ (see [9, §1]). As in [9, Corollary 3.2], Lemma 3.1 implies the following:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\lambda \in X_n$ and suppose that $\lambda - \rho$ is $2(h - 1)$ -deep. Then the Loewy length of the $G(n)$ -module $M_n(\lambda)$ is equal to that of the Weyl G -module $V(\lambda + (p^n - 1)\rho)$.*

Acknowledgment. I am very grateful to the referee for reading the first draft carefully, giving me important comments, and correcting some errors.

References

- [1] Andersen, H. H., *Extensions of simple modules of finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **111** (1987), 388–403.
- [2] Andersen, H. H., Jørgensen, J., and Landrock, P., *The projective indecomposable modules of $SL(2, p^n)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), 38–52.
- [3] Chastkofsky, L., *Projective characters for finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **69** (1981), 347–357.
- [4] Humphreys, J. E., *Generic Cartan invariants for Frobenius kernels and Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **122** (1989), 345–352.
- [5] Jantzen, J. C., *Darstellungen halbeinfacher Gruppen und ihrer Frobenius-Kerne*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **317** (1980), 157–199.
- [6] —, *Zur Reduktion modulo p der Charaktere von Deligne und Lusztig*, J. Algebra **70** (1981), 452–474.
- [7] —, “Representations of Algebraic Groups,” 2nd ed., Math. Surveys Monogr. **107**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [8] Pillen, C., *Reduction modulo p of some Deligne-Lusztig characters*, Arch. Math. **61** (1993), 421–433.
- [9] —, *Loewy series for principal series representations of finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **189** (1997), 101–124.

Yutaka Yoshii
Nara National College of Technology
22 Yata
Yamatokoriyama, Nara
639-1080, Japan
yyoshii@libe.nara-k.ac.jp

Received April 24, 2012
and in final form November 14, 2012