

# Orbits of Distal Actions on Locally Compact Groups

Riddhi Shah\*

Communicated by A. Valette

**Abstract.** We discuss properties of orbits of (semi)group actions on locally compact groups  $G$ . In particular, we show that if a compactly generated locally compact abelian group acts distally on  $G$  then the closure of each of its orbits is a minimal closed invariant set (i.e. the action has [MOC]). We also show that for such an action distality is preserved if we go modulo any closed normal invariant subgroup and hence [MOC] is also preserved. We also show that any semigroup action on  $G$  has [MOC] if and only if the corresponding actions on a compact invariant metrizable subgroup  $K$  and on the quotient space  $G/K$  have [MOC].  
*Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:* Primary 37B05, secondary 22D05, 22D45.

*Key Words and Phrases:* Distal group actions, Minimal orbit closures, Generalised  $FC^-$ -groups.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and let  $\Gamma$  be a (topological) semigroup acting continuously on  $X$  by continuous self-maps. The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  is said to be *distal* if for any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , the closure of  $\{(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  does not intersect the diagonal  $\{(a, a) \mid a \in X\}$ . It is said to be *pointwise distal* if for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the action of  $\{\gamma^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $X$  is distal. The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $X$  is said to have [MOC] (minimal orbit closures) if the closure of every  $\Gamma$ -orbit is a minimal closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant set, i.e. for  $x, y \in X$ , if  $y \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}$  then  $\overline{\Gamma(y)} = \overline{\Gamma(x)}$ . The notion of distality was introduced by Hilbert (cf. Ellis [7], Moore [13]) and studied by many in different contexts, (see Abels [1]-[2], Furstenberg [8], Raja-Shah [17] and the references cited therein).

Let  $G$  be a locally compact (Hausdorff) group and let  $e$  denote the identity of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a semigroup acting continuously on  $G$  by endomorphisms. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal if and only if  $e \notin \overline{\Gamma x}$  for all  $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ . Note that if the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC], then it is distal; for if  $e \in \overline{\Gamma x}$ , then  $\{e\} = \overline{\Gamma e} = \overline{\Gamma x}$  and hence  $x = e$ . What we are interested in is the converse: If the  $\Gamma$ -action on

---

\*The author would like to thank H. Abels, S.G. Dani, Y. Guivarc'h and C.R.E. Raja for fruitful discussions. The author would also like to thank C.R.E. Raja for comments on a preliminary version which led to improvement in the presentation of the manuscript.

$G$  is distal, does it have [MOC]? The answer is known to be affirmative in any of the following cases: (1)  $G$  is compact (2)  $\Gamma$  is compact, (3)  $G$  is a connected Lie group and  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  (4)  $\Gamma$  is a group and  $G$  is discrete, or more generally, all  $\Gamma$ -orbits are closed. If  $\Gamma$  is a group and if  $\Gamma'$  is a closed co-compact normal subgroup, then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC] if and only if the  $\Gamma'$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC] (cf. [13]); it is easy to see that the same equivalence is true for distality. For a general locally compact group  $G$  and a group  $\Gamma$  which acts on  $G$  by automorphisms, the answer to the above question is not known. But in case of a certain kind of  $\Gamma$ , we get the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a compactly generated locally compact abelian group such that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $G$  by automorphisms. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal*
2. *The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC].*

Let us now discuss general actions on compact spaces. For a compact space  $K$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a semigroup of continuous bijective self-maps of  $K$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a subsemigroup of  $C(K)$ , the group of all continuous bijective self-maps on  $K$ . Let  $[\Gamma]$  be the group generated by  $\Gamma$  in  $C(K)$ . We know that  $\Gamma$  acts distally on  $K$  if and only if  $E(\Gamma)$ , the closure of  $\Gamma$  in  $K^K$  with weak topology, is a group (see [7], Theorem 1 which is for group actions and it can easily be seen that the same proof works for semigroup actions). It is obvious that  $E(\Gamma)$  is compact since  $K^K$  is so. When  $E(\Gamma)$  is a group, we have  $E(\Gamma) = E([\Gamma])$ ; moreover, for any  $x \in K$ ,  $\overline{\Gamma(x)} = E(\Gamma)(x) = E([\Gamma])(x)$ . In particular if  $K$  is a compact group and  $\Gamma$  acts on  $K$  by automorphisms and  $[\Gamma]$  is as above, then the following are equivalent:

1. The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $K$  is distal.
2. The  $[\Gamma]$ -action on  $K$  is distal.
3. The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $K$  has [MOC].
4. The  $[\Gamma]$ -action on  $K$  has [MOC].

Moreover, for a closed subgroup  $H$  of the compact group  $K$  which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant (i.e.  $\gamma(H) = H$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ), the above equivalence is also true for the actions of  $\Gamma$  and  $[\Gamma]$  on  $K/H$ . Note that for such an  $H$ , the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -action on the homogeneous space  $K/H = \{xH \mid x \in K\}$  is canonically defined as  $\gamma(xH) = \gamma(x)H$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ; it is clearly well-defined.

In [17], it is shown that distality of a semigroup action is preserved by factor actions modulo compact invariant subgroups. We show that a similar result holds for [MOC], (see also Remark 2.2).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a subsemigroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $K$  be a compact metrizable  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC] if and only if  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $K$  and  $G/K$  have [MOC].*

The following result is about factor actions modulo closed normal invariant subgroups.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in Theorem 1.1. Let  $H$  be a closed normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC] if and only if  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $H$  and  $G/H$  have [MOC].*

We will later show that a similar result holds for distality for a larger class of  $\Gamma$ .

A locally compact group  $G$  is said to be *distal* (resp. *pointwise distal*) if the conjugacy action of  $G$  on  $G$  is distal (resp. pointwise distal). A distal group is obviously pointwise distal. Abelian groups, discrete groups and compact groups are obviously distal. Nilpotent groups, connected groups of polynomial growth are distal (cf. [19]) and p-adic Lie groups of type  $R$  and p-adic Lie groups of polynomial growth are pointwise distal (cf. Raja [14] and [15]).

In [17], jointly with C. R. E. Raja, we have shown that any locally compact group is pointwise distal if and only if it has shifted convolution property; i.e. for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , whose concentration functions do not converge to zero, there exists  $x \in \text{supp}\mu$ , the support of  $\mu$ , such that  $\mu^n x^{-n} \rightarrow \omega_H$ , the Haar measure of some compact group  $H$  which is normalised by  $\text{supp}\mu$ . For a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , the  $n$ -th convolution function of  $\mu$  is defined as  $f_n(\mu, C) = \sup_{g \in G} \mu^n(Cg)$ , for any compact subset  $C$  of  $G$ . We say that the concentration functions of  $\mu$  do not converge to zero if there exists a compact set  $C$  such that  $f_n(\mu, C) \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (see [17] for more details). The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 6.1 of [17] and Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is pointwise distal.
2.  $G$  has shifted convolution property.
3. For every  $g \in G$ , the conjugation action of  $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $G$  has [MOC].

A locally compact group  $G$  is said to be a *generalised  $FC^-$ -group* (resp.  *$FC^-$ -nilpotent*) if  $G$  has closed normal subgroups  $\{G = G_0, \dots, G_n = \{e\}\}$  such that  $G_{i+1} \subset G_i$  and  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a compactly generated group with relatively compact conjugacy classes (resp. every orbit of the conjugacy action of  $G$  on  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is relatively compact) for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Any compactly generated abelian group (resp. any polycyclic group) is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group. Any compactly generated group  $G$  has polynomial growth if and only if it is  $FC^-$ -nilpotent; and it is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group (cf. [12]). Note that generalised  $FC^-$ -groups are compactly generated (cf. [12], Proposition 2).

Recall that a subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is said to be equicontinuous (at  $e$ ) if and only if there exists a neighbourhood base at  $e$  consisting of  $\Gamma$ -invariant neighbourhoods; in case of totally disconnected groups, this is equivalent to the

existence of a neighbourhood base at  $e$  consisting of compact open  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups. If  $\Gamma$  is compact, then it is easy to see that  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous. If  $G$  is a totally disconnected group and if  $\Gamma$  has a polycyclic subgroup of finite index and it acts distally on  $G$ , then  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous (cf. [11], Corollary 2.4). If any group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $G$  by automorphisms and its image in  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is equicontinuous then we say that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is equicontinuous.

For a totally disconnected locally compact group  $G$ , we have the following:

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a totally disconnected locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a generalised  $FC^-$ -group which acts on  $G$  by automorphisms. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal.*
2. *The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC].*
3. *The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is equicontinuous.*

In Section 2, we discuss factor actions modulo compact (resp. closed normal) invariant groups and prove Theorem 1.2, Proposition 1.5 and an analogue of Theorem 1.3 for distal actions of a more general class of groups. In Section 3, we prove the equivalence of distality and [MOC] of certain actions, namely, Theorem 1.1. Note that if  $\Gamma$  acts on  $G$  by automorphisms, for convenience,  $\Gamma$  is often equated with its image in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , whenever there is no loss of any generality.

## 2. Orbits of Factor Actions

In this section we discuss [MOC] of factor actions modulo compact invariant groups and modulo closed normal invariant groups. We first show that [MOC] is preserved if we go modulo a compact invariant subgroup by proving Theorem 1.2. Before that we prove a proposition which proves a special case of the theorem in case the compact subgroup is a Lie group.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a subsemigroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $K$  and  $L$  be compact  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups of  $G$  such that  $L$  is a normal subgroup of  $K$  and  $K/L$  is a Lie group. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC] if and only if  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $G/K$  and  $K/L$  have [MOC].*

**Proof.** **Step 1.** Let  $G$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $K$  and  $L$  be as in the hypothesis. One way implication “only if” is easy to prove. Suppose the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC]. Then clearly the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $K/L$  also has [MOC], as  $K$  is closed and  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Now we want to show that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  has [MOC]. Let  $x \in G$  and let  $yK \in \overline{\Gamma(xK)}$  in  $G/K$  for some  $y \in G$ . Then  $yK \subset \overline{\Gamma(x)K} = \overline{\Gamma(x)K}$  and hence  $yk \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}$  for some  $k \in K$ . In particular, we get that  $ykL \subset \overline{\Gamma(x)L} = \overline{\Gamma(x)L}$  as  $L$  is compact. Hence  $ykL \in \overline{\Gamma(xL)}$  in  $G/L$ . Since the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC], we get that  $\overline{\Gamma(xL)} = \overline{\Gamma(ykL)}$  and hence  $x \in \overline{\Gamma(y)K}$  as  $k \in K$ ,  $L \subset K$  and both  $L$  and  $K$  are  $\Gamma$ -invariant groups. This implies that  $xK \in \overline{\Gamma(yK)}$  in  $G/K$  and

hence the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  has [MOC]. Note that the condition that  $K/L$  is a Lie group is not used in the proof of the “only if” statement.

**Step 2.** Now we prove the “if” statement. Suppose  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $G/K$  and  $K/L$  have [MOC]. This implies that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $K/L$  is distal as  $K/L$  is a group. We will first show, using compactness of  $K$ , that since the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  has [MOC], it is distal. This, together with the previous assertion, would imply that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  is distal. Let  $x, y, a \in G$  be such that  $\gamma_d(xK) \rightarrow aK$  and  $\gamma_d(yK) \rightarrow aK$  in  $G/K$  for some  $\{\gamma_d\} \subset \Gamma$ . We need to show that  $xK = yK$ . Since  $K$  is compact, it is easy to show that  $\gamma(y^{-1}xK) \rightarrow eK$ . Since  $\{eK\}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant in  $G/K$ , [MOC] of the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  implies that  $y^{-1}xK = eK$ , and hence,  $xK = yK$ .

For any  $g \in G$ , let  $g' = gL$ . The map  $g \mapsto g'$  is a continuous proper map from  $G$  to  $G/L$ . Let  $x \in G$  and let  $y' \in \overline{\Gamma(x')}$  for some  $y \in G$ . We want to show that  $x' \in \overline{\Gamma(y')}$ . Then  $yK \in \overline{\Gamma(xK)}$ , and as the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  has [MOC],  $xK \in \overline{\Gamma(yK)}$ . This implies that  $xk \in \overline{\Gamma(y)}$  for some  $k \in K$ , and hence,  $x'k' \in \overline{\Gamma(y')}$ . Let  $\{\gamma_d\}$  and  $\{\beta_d\}$  be nets in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_d(x') \rightarrow y'$  and  $\beta_d(y') \rightarrow x'k'$ .

**Step 3.** Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the closure of the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Aut}(K/L)$ . Suppose  $\Gamma_0$  is compact. Then  $\Gamma_0$ , being a compact semigroup, is a group. Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be limit points of images of  $\{\beta_d\}$  and  $\{\gamma_d\}$  in  $\Gamma_0$  respectively. Then

$$\gamma_d(x'k') \rightarrow y'\gamma(k') \in \overline{\Gamma(y')} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_d(y'\gamma(k')) \rightarrow x'k'\alpha(k') \in \overline{\Gamma(y')},$$

where  $\alpha = \beta\gamma \in \text{Aut}(K/L)$ . Similarly we get that for

$$k_n = k'\alpha(k') \cdots \alpha^{n-1}(k') \in K/L, \quad x_n = x'k_n \in \overline{\Gamma(y')}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As  $\Gamma_0$  is a compact group, there exists a sequence  $\{n_j\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^{n_j} \rightarrow I$ , the identity of  $\text{Aut}(K/L)$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $k_{n_j} \rightarrow c' = cL \in K/L$ , for some  $c \in K$ . Hence  $x'c' \in \overline{\Gamma(y')}$ . Now as  $\alpha^{n_j} \rightarrow I$ ,

$$k_{2n_j} = k_{n_j}\alpha^{n_j}(k_{n_j}) \rightarrow (cL)^2 = c^2L.$$

Similarly, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$k_{mn_j} = k_{n_j}\alpha^{n_j}(k_{n_j}) \cdots \alpha^{(m-1)n_j}(k_{n_j}) \rightarrow c^mL \in K/L$$

and  $xc^mL \in \overline{\Gamma(yL)}$ . Since  $K/L$  is a compact (Lie) group,  $e' = eL$  is in the closure of  $\{c^mL\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $K/L$  and hence  $x' \in \overline{\Gamma(y')}$ , i.e.  $\overline{\Gamma(x')} = \overline{\Gamma(y')}$ . Hence the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC].

Since  $K/L$  is a Lie group,  $K/K^0L$  is finite, and hence,  $\text{Aut}(K/K^0L)$  is finite. Arguing as above for  $K^0L$  in place of  $L$ , we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K^0L$  has [MOC] and we may assume that  $K = K^0L$ , i.e.  $K/L$  is connected.

**Step 4.** Let  $Z$  be the subgroup of  $K$  such that  $L \subset Z$  and  $Z/L$  is the center of  $K/L$ . Then  $Z$  and  $Z^0L$  are closed and  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Moreover,  $K/Z$  is a connected semisimple Lie group and hence its automorphism group is compact. Therefore arguing as in Step 3 for  $Z$  in place of  $L$ , we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/Z$  has

[MOC], and since  $Z/Z^0L$  is finite, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/Z^0L$  also has [MOC]. Now replacing  $K$  by  $Z^0L$ , we may assume that  $K/L$  is a connected abelian Lie group.

Let  $[\Gamma]$  be the group generated by  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Aut}(K/L)$ . Then  $[\Gamma]$  also acts distally on  $K/L$ . By Lemma 2.5 of [2], there exists a finite set of compact (normal)  $[\Gamma]$ -invariant subgroups  $\{K_0, \dots, K_n\}$  in  $K$  such that  $K = K_0 \supset K_1 \supset \dots \supset K_n = L$  and the image of  $[\Gamma]$  in  $\text{Aut}(K_i/K_{i+1})$  is finite for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Arguing as in Step 3 for  $K_1$  in place of  $L$ , we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K_1$  has [MOC]. Since the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Aut}(K_i/K_{i+1})$  is finite, using the above argument repeatedly for  $K_i/K_{i+1}$  in place of  $K/L$ , we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K_{i+1}$  has [MOC],  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $K_n = L$ , the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC]. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $G$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $K$  be as in the hypothesis. The “only if” statement follows as in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 2.1. Now we prove the “if” statement. Suppose that  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $G/K$  and  $K$  have [MOC]. Hence  $\Gamma$ -actions on  $G/K$ ,  $K$  and  $G$  are distal, (see Step 2 of the proof of Proposition 2.1). Let  $\mathcal{K}$  consist of closed (compact)  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups  $C$  of  $K$  such that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/C$  has [MOC]. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is nonempty as  $K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ . We put an order on  $\mathcal{K}$  by set inclusion. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{K_d\}$  be a totally ordered subset of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We show that  $K' = \cap K_d \in \mathcal{K}$ .

For any  $x \in G$  and  $y \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}K'$ , we show that  $\overline{\Gamma(x)}K' = \overline{\Gamma(y)}K'$ . We know that  $\overline{\Gamma(x)}K_d = \overline{\Gamma(y)}K_d$  for each  $d$ . First we show that  $\cap_d \overline{\Gamma(x)}K_d = \overline{\Gamma(x)}K'$ . One way inclusion is obvious. Let  $a \in \cap_d \overline{\Gamma(x)}K_d$ . Then  $C_d = \overline{\Gamma(x)} \cap aK_d \neq \emptyset$  for all  $d$ . Here,  $\mathcal{A}' = \{C_d\}$  is a collection of compact sets and intersection of finitely many subsets in  $\mathcal{A}'$  is nonempty since  $\mathcal{A}$  is totally ordered. Hence  $\cap_d C_d$  is nonempty. But

$$\cap_d C_d = \cap_d (\overline{\Gamma(x)} \cap aK_d) = \overline{\Gamma(x)} \cap (\cap_d aK_d) = \overline{\Gamma(x)} \cap aK' \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence  $a \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}K'$ . Therefore,  $\cap_d \overline{\Gamma(x)}K_d = \overline{\Gamma(x)}K'$ . Similarly,  $\cap_d \overline{\Gamma(y)}K_d = \overline{\Gamma(y)}K'$ . This implies that  $\overline{\Gamma(x)}K' = \overline{\Gamma(y)}K'$  and hence the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K'$  has [MOC], i.e.  $K' \in \mathcal{K}$ .

By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a minimal element in  $\mathcal{K}$ , say  $M$ . Here,  $M$  is a compact  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $K$  such that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/M$  has [MOC] and there is no proper subgroup of  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . We show that  $M = \{e\}$ . If possible suppose  $M$  is nontrivial. Since  $M \subset K$  is compact and metrizable and since the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $M$  is distal, it is not ergodic and there exists a (nontrivial) irreducible unitary representation  $\chi$  of  $M$  such that  $\chi\Gamma$  is finite upto equivalence classes (cf. [3], Theorem 2.1, see also [16] as the action of the group  $[\Gamma]$  generated by  $\Gamma$  is also distal). Let  $L = \cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ker(\chi\gamma)$ . Then  $L$  is a proper closed (compact) normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $M$  and since  $\chi\Gamma$  is finite upto equivalence classes,  $M/L$  is a (compact) Lie group. Moreover, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $M/L$  is distal (cf. [17], Theorem 3.1) and hence it has [MOC]. By Proposition 2.1, we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/L$  has [MOC]. Hence  $L \in \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction to the minimality of  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $M = \{e\}$  and the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC]. This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.2.** 1. In Theorem 1.2, if  $G$  is first countable then,  $K$  is also first countable, and hence, it is metrizable.

2. Theorem 1.2 holds in case  $\Gamma$  is a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact group, (for e.g.  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $K$  is not (necessarily) metrizable. As in this case, the group  $M$  as above is not necessarily metrizable. Here,  $\Gamma \times M$  is locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact and hence  $M$  has arbitrarily small compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups  $M_d$  such that  $\cap_d M_d = \{e\}$  and  $M/M_d$  is second countable and hence metrizable (cf. [9], Theorem 8.7). Now from Theorem 3.1 of [17], if the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $M$  is distal then the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -action on  $M/M_d$  is also distal and hence not ergodic and we get a proper closed normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup (of  $M/M_d$ , and hence,) of  $M$ , denote it by  $L$  again, such that  $M/L$  is a Lie group. Now the assertion is obvious from the above proof. Note that any compactly generated locally compact group is  $\sigma$ -compact.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 3.1 in [17], Theorem 1.1 in [2] and Theorem 1.2 above since every connected locally compact group has a unique maximal compact normal (characteristic) subgroup such that the quotient is a connected Lie group.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected locally compact first countable group. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal if and only if it has [MOC].*

We now show that [MOC] is preserved by factors modulo closed normal invariant groups. Before that we prove Proposition 1.5 and a Lemma which will be useful in proving Theorem 2.5 below and also Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Proposition 1.5.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact totally disconnected group and let  $\Gamma$  be a generalised  $FC^-$ -group acting on  $G$  by automorphisms. Let  $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_0$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Also,  $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group. It is easy to see that we can replace  $\Gamma$  by  $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  and assume that  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ . We prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts distally on  $G$ . As  $\Gamma$  is totally disconnected, it has a compact open normal subgroup  $C$  such that  $\Gamma/C$  has a polycyclic subgroup of finite index (cf. [12]). Since  $C$  is compact, by Lemma 2.3 of [11], the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is also equicontinuous, (see also ‘Note added in Proof’ in [11] for non-metrizable groups). Now  $G$  has a neighbourhood base at  $e$  consisting of open compact subgroups  $K_d$  which are  $\Gamma$ -invariant and  $\cap_d K_d = \{e\}$ . For each  $d$ , since  $G/K_d$  is discrete, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K_d$  has [MOC]. Let  $x \in G$  and let  $y \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma(x)K_d} = \Gamma(x)K_d = \Gamma(y)K_d = \overline{\Gamma(y)K_d}$  as  $K_d$  is open for all  $d$ .  $\overline{\Gamma(x)} = \cap_d \overline{\Gamma(x)K_d} = \cap_d \overline{\Gamma(y)K_d} = \overline{\Gamma(y)}$ . This proves that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC]. We know that [MOC] implies distality. ■

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a group acting on  $G$  by automorphisms. Suppose that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is equicontinuous. Then there exist open (resp. compact)  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups  $H_d$  (resp.  $K_d$ ) such that  $H_d = K_d G^0$ ,  $K_d$  is the maximal compact normal subgroup of  $H_d$ ,  $K_d \cap G^0 = \cap_d K_d$  is the maximal compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $G^0$ . In particular, if  $G^0$*

has no nontrivial compact normal subgroup, then  $K_d$  is totally disconnected and  $H_d = K_d \times G^0$ , a direct product, for all  $d$ .

**Proof.** Since the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is equicontinuous, there exist open almost connected  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups  $H_d$  such that  $\{H_d/G^0\}$  form a neighbourhood base at the identity in  $G/G^0$  consisting of compact open subgroups.

Choose  $H = H_d$  for some fixed  $d$ . Since  $H$  is almost connected, it is Lie projective, and hence, it has a compact normal subgroup  $C$  (say) such that  $H/C$  is a Lie group with finitely many connected components. Therefore,  $H/C$ , and hence,  $H$  has a maximal compact normal subgroup; we denote it by  $C$  again. Then  $C$  is characteristic in  $H$ , and in particular, it is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Let  $H' = CG^0$ . Then  $H'/C$  is the connected component of the identity in the Lie group  $H/C$ . Therefore,  $H'$  is an open  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup in  $G$  and  $K = C \cap G^0$  is the maximal compact normal subgroup of  $G^0$ . Since  $H'/G^0$  is compact and open in  $G/G^0$ , passing to a subnet, we may assume that  $H_d \subset H'$  for all  $d$ . Let  $K_d = C \cap H_d$ . Then  $K_d$  is a compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup in  $H_d$  and  $H_d = K_d G^0$  as  $G^0 \subset H_d$ . Since  $K = C \cap G^0 \subset H_d$ ,  $K = K_d \cap G^0$  and  $K_d$  is the maximal compact normal subgroup in  $H_d$  for every  $d$ . Also, since  $\bigcap_d H_d = G^0$ , we get that  $\bigcap_d K_d = K$ . Moreover, if  $K_d \cap G^0 = K$  is trivial, then  $K_d$  is totally disconnected and  $H_d = K_d \times G^0$ , a direct product, as both  $K_d$  and  $G^0$  are normal in  $H_d$ , for all  $d$ . ■

To prove Theorem 1.3, in view of Theorem 1.1, it is enough if we prove the same statement for distal actions. Here, we prove the following for distal actions of a more general class of groups.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a generalised  $FC^-$ -group which acts on  $G$  by automorphisms. Let  $H$  be a closed normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal if and only if  $\Gamma$ -actions on both  $H$  and  $G/H$  are distal.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in the hypothesis. Suppose  $\Gamma$ -actions on  $G/H$  and  $H$  are distal. Then it is easy to see that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal.

Now we prove the converse. Suppose the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $H$  is also distal. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we may assume that  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ . We prove that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H$  is distal. By Theorem 3.3 of [17], the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is distal and hence equicontinuous (by Proposition 1.5). By Lemma 2.4, there exists an open  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup  $L$  in  $G$  such that  $L = KG^0$ , where  $K$  is the maximal compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $L$ . We know that  $G/L$  is discrete, and hence, so is  $G/HL$ , where  $HL$  is an open  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup. Therefore, it is enough to prove that  $\Gamma$  acts distally on  $HL/H$ . Since  $HL/H$  is isomorphic to  $L/(L \cap H)$ , without loss of any generality, we may assume that  $G = L = KG^0$  and  $K$  is the maximal compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup in  $G$ . In particular,  $G/K$  is a connected Lie group.

Here,  $HK$  and  $K \cap H$  are closed, normal and  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups. By Theorem 3.1 of [17] we know that  $\Gamma$  acts distally on  $G/K$ ,  $HK/K$  and on  $K/(K \cap H)$ ; the latter is isomorphic to  $HK/H$ . Hence it is enough to prove that

$\Gamma$  acts distally on the group  $G/HK$  which is isomorphic to  $(G/K)/(HK/K)$ .

Replacing  $G$  by  $G/K$  and  $H$  by  $HK/K$ , we may assume that  $G$  is a connected Lie group and  $H$  is a closed normal Lie subgroup. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Since the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal, so is the corresponding action of  $\{d\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  (cf. [2], Theorem 1.1). Equivalently, the eigenvalues of  $d\gamma$  are of absolute value 1, for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (cf. [1], Theorem 1'). Since  $H$  is normal and  $\Gamma$ -invariant, the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $H^0$  is a Lie subalgebra which is an ideal invariant under  $d\gamma$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and the Lie algebra of  $G/H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $d\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  are also of absolute value 1 for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  acts distally on  $G/H$  (cf. [1], [2]). This completes the proof. ■

### 3. Distality and [MOC]

In this section we show that if  $\Gamma$  is a locally compact, compactly generated abelian (resp. Moore) group acting on a locally compact group by automorphisms, then distality and [MOC] of the  $\Gamma$ -action are equivalent. We first prove a proposition which will be useful in proving Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal. Given a net  $\{\gamma_d\}$  in  $\Gamma$ , let*

$$M = \{g \in G \mid \{\gamma_d(g)\}_d \text{ is relatively compact}\}.$$

*Then  $M$  is a closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup.*

**Proof.** It is obvious that  $M$  is a subgroup and it is  $\Gamma$ -invariant since  $\Gamma$  is abelian. Therefore  $\overline{M}$  is also a  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup. If  $M$  is trivial, then  $M = \overline{M}$ . Suppose  $M$  is a nontrivial subgroup of  $G$ . Without loss of any generality, we may assume that  $G = \overline{M}$ , i.e.  $M$  is dense in  $G$ .

**Step 1.** By Theorem 3.3 of [17], the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is distal. Since  $\Gamma$  is a compactly generated locally compact abelian group, it is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group. By Proposition 1.5, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  has [MOC] and the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is equicontinuous. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an open (resp. compact)  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup  $H$  (resp.  $K$ ) such that  $H = KG^0$ , where  $K$  is the maximal compact normal subgroup of  $H$ . Since  $H$  is open and  $\Gamma$ -invariant, it is enough to show that  $H \subset M$  and hence, we may assume that  $G = H$ . Here, since  $K$  is a maximal compact normal  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup,  $K \subset M$  and  $G/K$  is a connected Lie group without any nontrivial compact subgroup. Moreover, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/K$  is distal (cf. [17], Theorem 3.1). Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$  be the natural projection. Since  $K$  is compact,  $\pi(M) = \{gK \in G/K \mid \{\gamma_d(gK)\}_d \text{ is relatively compact in } G/K\}$  and  $M$  is closed if and only if  $\pi(M)$  is closed. Moreover,  $\pi(M)$  is dense in  $G/K$ . Now, we may replace  $G$  by  $G/K$  and assume that  $G$  is a connected Lie group without any nontrivial compact normal subgroup and  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Step 2.** Since  $G$  has no nontrivial compact central subgroup,  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is almost algebraic (as a subgroup of  $GL(\mathcal{G})$ ) (cf. [4]), where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be the smallest almost algebraic subgroup containing  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Here  $\Gamma'$  is an open subgroup of finite index in the Zariski closure  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  in  $GL(\mathcal{G})$ ,

hence  $\Gamma'$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  have the same connected component of the identity. It follows from Corollary 2.5 of [1], that the unipotent radical  $U$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a closed co-compact normal subgroup of  $\Gamma'$ . Let  $P(G)$  denote the space of all regular Borel probability measures on  $G$  with weak\* topology. Note that  $\text{Aut}(G)$  has a natural action on  $P(G)$ ; namely, for any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\mu \in P(G)$ ,  $\alpha(\mu)(B) = \mu(\alpha^{-1}(B))$  for all Borel sets  $B$  in  $G$  (see [10] for generalities on measures on groups). From Corollary 3.4 of [6], we get that for any measure  $\mu$  in  $P(G)$ , the  $U$ -orbit of  $\mu$ , and hence, the  $\Gamma'$ -orbit of  $\mu$  is closed in  $P(G)$ , i.e.  $\{\alpha(\mu) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma'\}$  is closed in  $P(G)$ .

**Step 3.** We now prove that  $\{\gamma_d\}_d$  is relatively compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Suppose  $\{\gamma_d\}_d$  is not relatively compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is a Lie group, there exists a divergent sequence  $\{\gamma'_n\}$  in the set  $\{\gamma_d\}_d$ , i.e.  $\{\gamma'_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence. We know that  $\{\gamma'_n(g)\}$  is relatively compact for all  $g$  in a dense subgroup  $M$ . There exists a countable subgroup  $M_1 \subset M$  which is dense in  $G$ . Let  $M_1 = \{g_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\{\gamma'_n(g_i)\}_n$  converges for all  $i$ . Let  $x_i \in G$  be such that  $\gamma'_n(g_i) \rightarrow x_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/2^i)\delta_{g_i}$  and let  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/2^i)\delta_{x_i}$ , where for any  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta_g$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $g$ . Then  $\mu, \lambda \in P(G)$  and it is easy to see that  $\{\gamma_n(\mu)\}$  converges to  $\lambda$ . Now from Step 2, there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  such that  $\gamma'_n(\mu) \rightarrow \gamma(\mu) = \lambda$ . Since  $M_1$  is dense in  $G$ , the support of  $\mu$  is whole of  $G$ . Therefore the support of  $\gamma(\mu)$  is also whole of  $G$ . Now by Theorem 1.6 of [5], we get that  $\{\gamma'_n\}$  is relatively compact and for any limit point  $\beta$  of it,  $\beta(\mu) = \gamma(\mu)$ . This implies that  $\beta(g) = \gamma(g)$  for all  $g \in M_1$  and hence  $\beta = \gamma$ . Therefore,  $\gamma'_n \rightarrow \gamma$ , i.e.  $\{\gamma'_n\}$  is convergent.

This contradicts the above assumption that  $\{\gamma'_n\}$  is divergent. Hence we have that  $\{\gamma_d\}_d$  is relatively compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Therefore,  $\{\gamma_d(x)\}_d$  is relatively compact for all  $x \in G$  and  $G = M$ , i.e.  $M$  is closed. ■

**Remark 3.2.** From the above proof it is clear that if  $G$  is a connected Lie group without any nontrivial compact central subgroup,  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  acting distally on  $G$  and if  $\{\gamma_d\} \subset \Gamma$  is such that  $\{\gamma_d(g)\}_d$  is relatively compact for all  $g$  in a dense subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\{\gamma_d\}$  is relatively compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a compactly generated locally compact abelian group. Suppose that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC], then we know that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal.

Now suppose that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal. We show that it has [MOC]. Let  $x \in G$  and let  $y \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}$ . We need to show that  $x \in \overline{\Gamma(y)}$ . We have that  $\gamma_d(x) \rightarrow y$  for some  $\{\gamma_d\} \subset \Gamma$ . Let  $M = \{g \in G \mid \{\gamma_d(g)\}_d \text{ is relatively compact}\}$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $M$  is a closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup and  $x$ , and hence,  $y$  belongs to  $M$ . Without loss of any generality we may assume that  $M = G$ . In view of Theorem 1.2 and Remark 2.2, we can go modulo the maximal compact normal subgroup of  $G^0$  which is characteristic in  $G$  and assume that  $G^0$  is a Lie group without any nontrivial compact normal subgroup. Note that  $\Gamma$  is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group and the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is distal (by Theorem 3.3 of [17]). Hence from Proposition 1.5, we get that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/G^0$  is equicontinuous. Let  $H_d = K_d \times G^0$  be open  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups, where  $K_d$  are totally disconnected

compact  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups such that  $\cap_d K_d = \{e\}$  in  $G$ , (see Lemma 2.4). Then passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume that  $\gamma_d(x) = yk_d g_d = yg_d k_d$ , where  $k_d \in K_d$  and  $g_d \in G^0$ ,  $k_d \rightarrow e$ ,  $g_d \rightarrow e$ . In particular, we get that  $\gamma_d^{-1}(y) = x\gamma_d^{-1}(k_d^{-1})\gamma_d^{-1}(g_d^{-1})$ . We know that  $\{\gamma_d|_{G^0}\}$  is relatively compact, (see Remark 3.2). Let  $\gamma$  be a limit point of  $\{\gamma_d|_{G^0}\}$  in  $\text{Aut}(G^0)$ . Then  $\gamma^{-1}$  is a limit point of  $\{\gamma_d^{-1}|_{G^0}\}$  in  $\text{Aut}(G^0)$ . Therefore, passing to a subnet if necessary, we get that

$$\gamma_d^{-1}(g_d^{-1}) \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}(e) = e \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_d^{-1}(y) = xk'_d \gamma_d^{-1}(g_d^{-1}) \rightarrow x$$

where  $k'_d = \gamma_d^{-1}(k_d^{-1}) \in K_d$  and  $k'_d \rightarrow e$  as  $K_d$  are  $\Gamma$ -invariant and  $\cap_d K_d = \{e\}$ . In particular,  $x \in \overline{\Gamma(y)}$ . Since this is true for any  $x \in G$  and any  $y \in \overline{\Gamma(x)}$ , the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC]. ■

A locally compact group  $G$  is said to be a *central* group or a  $Z$ -group if  $G/Z(G)$  is compact, where  $Z(G)$  is the center of  $G$ . It is said to be a *Moore* group if all its irreducible unitary representations are finite dimensional. All abelian groups and all compact groups are  $Z$ -groups and  $Z$ -groups are also Moore groups. A Moore group has a normal subgroup  $H$  of finite index such that  $\overline{[H, H]}$  is compact (cf. [18]). It is easy to see from this, that any Moore group  $G$  is  $FC^-$ -nilpotent as  $G_0 = G$ ,  $G_1 = H$ ,  $G_2 = \overline{[H, H]}$  and  $G_3 = \{e\}$ . Since  $G_0/G_1$  is finite, and  $G_1/G_2$  is abelian and  $G_2/G_3$  is compact, we have that the conjugacy action of  $G$  on  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  has relatively compact orbits for all  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Hence any compactly generated Moore group has polynomial growth and it is a generalised  $FC^-$ -group (cf. [12], Theorem 1, Lemma 1).

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be a compactly generated Moore group acting on  $G$  by automorphisms. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G$  is distal if and only if it has [MOC].*

The proof of the above corollary is essentially the same as that of Theorem 1.1. As  $\Gamma$  is a Moore group, it has a closed normal subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  of finite index whose commutator group is relatively compact. (cf. [18], Theorem 1). Then by Lemma 4.1 of [13], it is enough to show that the  $\Gamma_1$ -action on  $G$  has [MOC]. Without loss of any generality, we may assume that  $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$  is relatively compact and hence it is easy to see that the group  $M$  defined in the above proof is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. We will not repeat the proof here.

**Remark 3.4.** From above, it is obvious that Theorem 1.1 holds for any compactly generated locally compact group  $\Gamma$  such that its commutator subgroup is relatively compact. Moreover from Lemma 4.1 in [13] we know that the action of a group  $\Gamma$  on  $G$  has [MOC] if the action of any co-compact subgroup of  $\Gamma$  on  $G$  has [MOC]. Hence Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 hold for compact extensions of such a group  $\Gamma$  mentioned above, and in particular, for compact extensions of compactly generated abelian, or more generally, of Moore groups.

We conjecture that Theorem 1.1 holds for an action of any generalised  $FC^-$ -group. It already holds for the action of such a group on totally disconnected groups, compact groups and connected groups.

## References

- [1] Abels, H., *Distal affine transformation groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **299/300** (1978), 294–300.
- [2] —, *Distal automorphism groups of Lie groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **329** (1981), 82–87.
- [3] Berend, D., *Ergodic semigroups of epimorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **289** (1985), 393–407.
- [4] Dani, S. G., *On automorphism groups of connected Lie groups*, Manuscripta Math. **74** (1992), 445–452.
- [5] —, *Invariance groups and convergence of types of measures on Lie groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **112** (1992), 91–108.
- [6] Dani, S. G., and C. R. E. Raja, *Asymptotics of measures under group automorphisms and an application to factor sets*, in: “Lie groups and ergodic theory,” Mumbai, 1996: Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., **14** (1998), 59–73.
- [7] Ellis, R., *Distal transformation groups*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 401–405.
- [8] Furstenberg, H., *The structure of distal flows*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 477–515.
- [9] Hewitt, E., and K. A. Ross, “Abstract harmonic analysis,” Vol. I, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [10] Heyer, H., “Probability measures on locally compact groups,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [11] Jaworksi, W., and C. R. E. Raja, *The Choquet-Deny theorem and distal properties of totally disconnected locally compact groups of polynomial growth*, New York J. Math. **13** (2007), 159–174.
- [12] Losert, V., *On the structure of groups with polynomial growth II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **63** (2001), 640–654.
- [13] Moore, C. C., *Distal affine transformation groups*, Amer. J. of Math. **90** (1968), 733–751.
- [14] Raja, C. R. E., *On classes of  $p$ -adic Lie groups*, New York J. Math. **5** (1999), 101–105.
- [15] —, *On growth, recurrence and the Choquet-Deny theorem for  $p$ -adic Lie groups*, Math. Z. 251 (2005), 827–847.

- [16] —, *Distal actions and ergodic actions on compact groups*, New York J. Math. **15** (2009), 301–318.
- [17] Raja, C. R. E., and R. Shah, *Distal actions and shifted convolution property*, Israel J. of Math. **177** (2010), 391–412.
- [18] Robertson, L., *A note on structure of Moore Groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 594–599.
- [19] Rosenblatt, J., *A distal property of groups and the growth of connected locally compact groups*, Mathematika **26** (1979), 94–98.

Riddhi Shah  
School of Physical Sciences (SPS)  
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)  
New Delhi 110067, India  
rshah@mail.jnu.ac.in  
riddhi.kausti@gmail.com

Received October 23, 2010  
and in final form November 14, 2011